

M. Bildhauer · M. Fuchs

Twodimensional anisotropic variational problems

Received: 2 October 2001 / Accepted: 25 October 2001 /
 Published online: 28 February 2002 – © Springer-Verlag 2002

Abstract. We consider local minimizers $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, Ω a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , of the variational integral $\int_{\Omega} f(\nabla u) dx$ with integrand f of upper (lower) growth rate q (s). We show using a lemma due to Frehse and Seregin that u has Hölder continuous first derivatives provided that $q < 2s$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 49N60, 49N99, 35J45

Regularity of vector-valued local minimizers of anisotropic variational integrals has been investigated by many authors in recent years, we refer to [AF2], [PS], [BF1], [BF2] and the references quoted therein, where it is shown that under slightly varying technical conditions on the energy density partial $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity holds provided that the upper and lower growth rate do not differ too much. With the exception of the very special case of integrands just depending on the modulus of the gradient (see, for instance, [FM] or [Bi]) it seems to be an open problem if at least in two dimensions singular points can be excluded. In this short note we want to give a positive answer under quite general structure conditions.

To be precise, consider a bounded Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $f: \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denote a function of class C^2 such that

$$(1) \quad \lambda(1 + |X|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} |Y|^2 \leq D^2 f(X)(Y, Y) \leq \Lambda(1 + |X|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |Y|^2$$

holds for all $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ with positive constants λ, Λ . Here s and q are fixed exponents such that $1 < s < q < \infty$. From (1) it follows that f is strictly convex, moreover, (1) implies the growth estimate (see [AF1] in case $s < 2$ or $q < 2$; for $s \geq 2$ the statement is immediate)

$$(2) \quad a|X|^s - b \leq f(X) \leq A(|X|^q + 1) \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$

for suitable constants $a, b, A > 0$.

Definition 1. A function u from the local Sobolev space $W_{s,loc}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is termed a local minimizer of the variational integral $J[w] = \int_{\Omega} f(\nabla w) dx$ if and only if

$$(a) \quad \int_{\Omega'} f(\nabla u) dx < \infty \text{ for all } \Omega' \Subset \Omega$$

and

$$(b) \quad \int_{\text{spt}(u-v)} f(\nabla u) dx \leq \int_{\text{spt}(u-v)} f(\nabla v) dx$$

for all $v \in W_{s,loc}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\text{spt}(u-v) \Subset \Omega$.

This definition is very natural since for boundary data $u_0 \in W_s^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $J[u_0] < \infty$ the problem

$$J \rightarrow \min \text{ in } u_0 + \mathring{W}_s^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$$

admits a unique solution. We have the following result:

Theorem 1. Let f satisfy condition (1), let u denote a local minimizer and assume that in addition to $1 < s < q$ we have

$$(3) \quad q < 2s.$$

Then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any exponent $0 < \alpha < 1$.

As a model, our result applies to the variational integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\partial_1 u|^2 + (1 + |\partial_2 u|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) dx,$$

if we choose q in the interval $[2, 4)$. It would be interesting to know if condition (3) is optimal.

Another example covered by Theorem 1 is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} \left((1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} + |\partial_2 u|^2 \right) dx$$

for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$.

The *Proof of Theorem 1* is organized in several steps combining techniques of [BF1] with arguments due to Frehse and Seregin [FrS] which also turned out to be useful in the context of [FuS1], [FuS2]. In what follows we always assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

Step 1. Approximation

W.l.o.g. we may assume that the disc $B_{2R} = B_{2R}(0)$ is compactly contained in Ω . Following [BF1], Section 2, we replace u by its mollification u_{ε} (with ε being small) and let

$$f_\varepsilon(Z) = f(Z) + \delta(\varepsilon) (1 + |Z|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2N},$$

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon^{-1} + \|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(B_{2R})}^{2q}}.$$

Moreover, we denote by v_ε the unique solution of the problem

$$\int_{B_{2R}} f_\varepsilon(\nabla w) \, dx \rightarrow \min \text{ in } u_\varepsilon + \overset{\circ}{W}_q^1(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^N).$$

As in [BF1], Lemma 2.1, we have

(4) $v_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_s^1(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$;

(5) $\delta(\varepsilon) \int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx \rightarrow 0$;

(6) $\int_{B_{2R}} f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{B_{2R}} f(\nabla u) \, dx$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Note, that (4) is not explicitly stated in [BF1], only the weak convergence in the space $W_1^1(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is established, but by using inequality (2), the claim is immediate. A complete proof is also given in [BF2], Lemma 2.1, since at this stage the choice $r = q$ is admissible in [BF2]. Up to now we did not use condition (3). The bound on q is necessary if we want to quote [BF1], Lemma 3.4, with the choice $\mu = 2 - s$. Then we get

(7) $\exists t > q : \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^t(B_r)} \leq c(r, R) < \infty$

for any radius $r < 2R$ with constant c independent of ε . In particular, u is in the space $W_{t,loc}^1(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$. It should be noted that Lemma 3.4 of [BF1] actually gives (7) for any finite number t , see also Remark III. 3.8 of [Bi].

Step 2. The starting inequality

Consider a disc $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset B_{2R}$ and let $\eta \in C_0^1(B_{2r}(x_0))$ denote a cut-off function such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_r(x_0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{c}{r}$. If $\Delta_h g$ is the difference quotient of a function g in direction e_s , $s = 1, 2$, then it was shown in [BF1], inequality (3.2), that

(8)
$$\int \eta^2 \Delta_h (Df_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)) : \nabla \Delta_h v_\varepsilon \, dx$$

$$= -2 \int \eta \Delta_h (Df_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)) : (\nabla \eta \otimes \Delta_h (v_\varepsilon - Qx)) \, dx$$

is valid for any $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$. Moreover, the calculations in [BF1], proof of Lemma 3.1, show that we may pass to the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ with the result that (8) turns into (summation over $s = 1, 2$)

(9)
$$\int \eta^2 D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon) (\partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon, \partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon) \, dx$$

$$\leq -2 \int \eta D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon) (\partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon, \nabla \eta \otimes \partial_s [v_\varepsilon - Qx]) \, dx.$$

Next observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)(\partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon, \nabla \eta \otimes \partial_s [v_\varepsilon - Qx]) \right| \\ & \leq \left(D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)(\partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon, \partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)(\nabla \eta \otimes \partial_s [v_\varepsilon - Qx], \nabla \eta \otimes \partial_s [v_\varepsilon - Qx]) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and write

$$H_\varepsilon = \left(D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)(\partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon, \partial_s \nabla v_\varepsilon) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which by Lemma 3.1 of [BF1] is a function of class $L^2_{loc}(B_{2R})$. From (9) we get $(T_r(x_0) = B_{2r}(x_0) - B_r(x_0))$

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx & \leq cr^{-1} \int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon \sqrt{|D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)|} |\nabla v_\varepsilon - Q| dx \\ & \leq cr^{-1} \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} |D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)| |\nabla v_\varepsilon - Q|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and the definition of f_ε we deduce

$$|D^2 f_\varepsilon(\nabla v_\varepsilon)| |\nabla v_\varepsilon - Q|^2 \leq c(1 + \delta(\varepsilon)) (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\nabla v_\varepsilon - Q|^2.$$

Let us introduce the field $W(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{4}} \xi$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$. Moreover, assume from now on that $q \geq 2$. Then, from [Gi], p. 151, we infer

$$(11) \quad (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\xi - Q|^2 \leq c |W(\xi) - W(Q)|^2,$$

and this is exactly the place where $q \geq 2$ is needed. For $q < 2$ the left-hand side of (11) has to be replaced by (see [CFM] Lemma 2.1) $(1 + |\xi|^2 + |Q|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\xi - Q|^2$ making the next calculations impossible. Now, using (11) and returning to (10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx & \leq cr^{-1} \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} |W(\nabla v_\varepsilon) - W(Q)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

with c independent of r , x_0 and ε (note that $\delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1$ for ε sufficiently small). Since W is a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2N} , we may choose Q in such a way that

$$W(Q) = \int_{T_r(x_0)} W(\nabla v_\varepsilon) dx$$

which enables us to estimate the second integral on the right-hand side of (12) with the help of Sobolev-Poincaré's inequality, thus

$$(13) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \leq c r^{-1} \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T_r(x_0)} \left| \nabla(W(\nabla v_\varepsilon)) \right| dx.$$

Note that the weak differentiability of $W(\nabla v_\varepsilon)$ is established in [GM] or [CA], references are given in Lemma 2.2, b), of [BF1]. Finally, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla(W(\nabla v_\varepsilon)) \right| &\stackrel{*}{\leq} c (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{4}} |\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon| \\ &= c (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} |\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon| (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{q-s}{4}} \\ &\leq c (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} |\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon| (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

on account of $q < 2s$, and we may use inequality (1) to get

$$(1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} |\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon| \leq c H_\varepsilon.$$

Letting $h_\varepsilon = (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s}{4}}$, (13) implies

$$(14) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \leq c r^{-1} \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon dx$$

being valid for any disc $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset B_{2R}$.

Remark 1. The above inequality * needs a technical comment. Let us write $W_\varepsilon := W(\nabla v_\varepsilon) = h_\varepsilon^{\frac{q-2}{s}} \nabla v_\varepsilon$. From Proposition 3.2 in [BF1] we infer $h_\varepsilon \in W_{2,loc}^1(B_{2R})$ together with

$$\nabla h_\varepsilon = \frac{s}{2} |\nabla v_\varepsilon| (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s}{4}-1} \nabla |\nabla v_\varepsilon|.$$

Since $n = 2$ and $h_\varepsilon \geq 1$, we see

$$h_\varepsilon^{\frac{q-2}{s}} \in W_{t,loc}^1(B_{2R}) \text{ for any } t < 2,$$

and $v_\varepsilon \in W_{2,loc}^2(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^N)$ implies $\nabla v_\varepsilon \in L_{loc}^p(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ for any finite p . Observing also $h_\varepsilon \in L_{loc}^p(B_{2R})$, $p < \infty$, we clearly have $W_\varepsilon \in W_{1,loc}^1(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ together with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha W_\varepsilon &= \partial_\alpha (h_\varepsilon^{\frac{q-2}{s}}) \nabla v_\varepsilon + h_\varepsilon^{\frac{q-2}{s}} \partial_\alpha \nabla v_\varepsilon \\ &= \frac{q-2}{s} h_\varepsilon^{\frac{q-2}{s}-1} \partial_\alpha h_\varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon + h_\varepsilon^{\frac{q-2}{s}} \partial_\alpha \nabla v_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula for $\partial_\alpha h_\varepsilon$ we have proved *.

Step 3. Application of the Frehse-Seregin lemma

Inequality (14) exactly corresponds to the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1 in [FrS], and we get: for any $p \geq 1$ and for any compact subdomain ω of B_{2R} there is a constant $K = K(\omega, p)$ such that

$$(15) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\varepsilon^2 dx \leq K |\ln r|^{-p}$$

is true for any disc $B_r(x_0) \Subset \omega$. Note that K also depends on $\|H_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\omega)}$ and $\|h_\varepsilon\|_{W_2^1(\omega)}$ but on account of [BF1], Lemma 3.1 (in combination with (5), (6) and (7)) and Proposition 3.5 with the choice $\mu = 2 - s$ these quantities stay bounded uniformly w.r.t. ε . Let $G_\varepsilon = (1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} \nabla v_\varepsilon$. Recalling $h_\varepsilon \in W_{2,loc}^1(B_{2R})$ we see that

$$(1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} = h_\varepsilon^{\frac{s-2}{s}} = h_\varepsilon^{1-\frac{2}{s}}$$

belongs to the same function space. v_ε is an element of $W_{2,loc}^2(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ (see [BF1], Lemma 2.2, b), (i)), thus $G_\varepsilon \in W_{1,loc}^1(B_{2R}; \mathbb{R}^{2N})$, and for the derivative we get (see also Proposition 3.2 in [BF1]) $|\nabla G_\varepsilon| \leq c(1 + |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} |\nabla^2 v_\varepsilon|$ so that $|\nabla G_\varepsilon|^2 \leq cH_\varepsilon^2$. Therefore (15) implies

$$(16) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla G_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq K |\ln r|^{-p},$$

and if we choose $p > 2$ in (16), then the version of the Dirichlet-growth theorem given in [Fr], p.287, implies continuity of G_ε on ω with modulus of continuity independent of ε . In [BF1], Proposition 3.5, (iii), we showed $\nabla v_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla u$ almost everywhere on B_{2R} , therefore $G = (1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} \nabla u$ is a continuous function. Since $\xi \mapsto (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{4}} \xi$ is a homeomorphism (note that this field is proportional to the gradient of the strictly convex potential $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s+2}{4}}$), we finally get continuity of ∇u . Thus, the criterion for regular points stated in Lemma 4.1 of [BF1] (which works in case $n = 2$ just under the condition (3)) is satisfied everywhere which proves the claim of Theorem 1 in case $q \geq 2$.

Let us now look at the case that (1) is valid with exponents $1 < s < q < 2$. But then (1) also holds for the new choice $q = 2$, i.e. we have

$$\lambda(1 + |X|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} |Y|^2 \leq D^2 f(X)(Y, Y) \leq \Lambda |Y|^2,$$

and we may repeat all our calculations with q replaced by the exponent 2. Since $2 < 2s$, the appropriate version of condition (3) holds which gives the result. \square

Remark 2. We like to remark that it is not necessary to refer to partial regularity theory, a direct proof based on inequality (14) can be obtained as in [FrS], Theorem 2.4.

During our calculations condition (3) is needed to have uniform bounds for the quantities $\|H_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\omega)}$ and $\|h_\varepsilon\|_{W^1_2(\omega)}$ on subdomains ω compactly contained in the disc $B_{2R}(0)$, which means that the constant K in inequality (15) and (16) does not depend on ε . Let us now look at the example

$$(17) \quad f(\xi) = |\xi| \ln(1 + |\xi|) + |\xi_2|^2, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}.$$

Letting $g(\xi) = |\xi| \ln(1 + |\xi|)$ we find

$$\frac{1}{1 + |\xi|} |\eta|^2 \leq D^2 g(\xi)(\eta, \eta) \leq 2 \frac{\ln(1 + |\xi|)}{|\xi|} |\eta|^2,$$

hence

$$(18) \quad \lambda (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\eta|^2 \leq D^2 f(\xi)(\eta, \eta) \leq \Lambda |\eta|^2 \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$

which means that formally (1) holds with $s = 1, q = 2$ and suitable positive constants λ, Λ . In contrast to Definition 1 local minimizers should now be located in the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^1_{A,loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N), A(t) = t \ln(1+t)$, compare, e.g. [Ad], and using the notation from [BF1] we see from (18) that f is of “ (s, μ, q) -type” with $s = \mu = 1, q = 2$. But the “ (s, μ, q) -condition” from [BF1] is violated in our example so that no information concerning the regularity of local minimizers (i.e. local higher integrability or $C^{0,\alpha}$ -regularity of the gradient) can be deduced from [BF1]. Since we now are in the limit case of (3) and also of the (s, μ, q) -condition from [BF1], it is reasonable to impose further conditions on the data which might be sufficient for proving regularity. One natural possibility is to look at a boundary value problem with sufficiently regular boundary data.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $u_0 \in W^1_2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and let $u \in u_0 + \overset{\circ}{W}^1_A(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the unique solution of*

$$J[w] = \int_\Omega f(\nabla w) dx \rightarrow \min \text{ in } u_0 + \overset{\circ}{W}^1_A(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$$

with f defined in (17). Then u is a continuously differentiable function.

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 but now we work with a global regularization: for $0 < \delta < 1$ define $f_\delta(\xi) = \frac{\delta}{2} |\xi|^2 + f(\xi)$ and let u_δ denote the unique solution of

$$\int_\Omega f_\delta(\nabla w) dx \rightarrow \min \text{ in } u_0 + \overset{\circ}{W}^1_2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N).$$

We have (see, for instance, [FO])

$$(19) \quad u_\delta \xrightarrow{\delta \downarrow 0} u \text{ in } W^1_1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$(20) \quad u_\delta \in W^2_{2,loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \sup_\Omega |u_\delta| \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} |u_0|.$$

The first claim of (20) is immediate. For the second one we refer to [DLM] or [BF2], Lemma 1.3. Note that the integrand f under consideration is of the type $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) = g(|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$, where g is an increasing function of each argument. By (18) we see that condition (4), i. e. $q < 4 - \mu$, of [Bi], Chapter V, p. 167, holds with the choices $q = 2$, $\mu = 1$, thus we deduce from Theorem V.1.4 of [Bi]

$$\sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \int_{\Omega'} |\nabla u_\delta|^2 dx < \infty$$

for any subdomain $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$. Now, using (20), a standard test of the differentiated version of the Euler-Lagrange equation for u_δ implies that (9) holds with equality sign and with ε replaced by δ . Recalling $|D^2 f_\delta(\xi)| \leq c$ and the uniform bounds for the local Dirichlet integrals of u_δ , we deduce for the functions

$$H_\delta := \left(D^2 f_\delta(\nabla u_\delta)(\partial_s \nabla u_\delta, \partial_s \nabla u_\delta) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

that for any subdomain $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$

$$(21) \quad \sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \int_{\Omega'} H_\delta^2 dx < \infty.$$

As before we let $h_\delta = (1 + |\nabla u_\delta|^2)^{1/4}$ and get $\int_\Omega h_\delta^2 dx \leq c < \infty$ as well as $|\nabla h_\delta|^2 \leq c H_\delta^2$ so that by (21)

$$(22) \quad \sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \|h_\delta\|_{W_2^1(\Omega')} < \infty, \quad \Omega' \Subset \Omega.$$

Now, the same calculations leading to (13) (choose $q = 2$ there) imply

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\delta^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{r} \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\delta^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T_r(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u_\delta| dx$$

where again we used the boundedness of $D^2 f_\delta$. From (18) it follows that

$$|\nabla^2 u_\delta| \leq c h_\delta H_\delta,$$

thus we get the starting inequality for the Frehse-Seregin lemma:

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\delta^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{r} \left(\int_{T_r(x_0)} H_\delta^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T_r(x_0)} h_\delta H_\delta dx, \quad B_{2r}(x_0) \Subset \Omega.$$

Let us fix $p > 2$. Recalling (21) and (22) we deduce

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} H_\delta^2 dx \leq K |\ln r|^{-p} \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla G_\delta|^2 dx \leq K |\ln r|^{-p}$$

being valid for all discs $B_r(x_0)$ such that $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \Omega'$, where Ω' is some subdomain compactly contained in Ω , K denoting a constant depending on $\text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ but being independent of δ . Here we have abbreviated

$$G_\delta = G(\nabla u_\delta), \quad G(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$

(note that $|\nabla G_\delta|^2 \leq cH_\delta^2$ and $|G_\delta|^2 \leq c\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_\delta|^2}$ which means $G_\delta \in W_2^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2N})$). The variant of the Dirichlet-growth theorem given by Frehse [Fr] implies uniform continuity of the functions G_δ , more precisely

$$(23) \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} G_\delta \leq \tilde{K} |\ln r|^{1-\frac{p}{2}}$$

for a uniform constant \tilde{K} and all discs $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$ such that $x_0 \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and $r \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(\tilde{\Omega}, \partial\Omega)$, where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is some fixed subdomain such that $\tilde{\Omega} \Subset \Omega$. (\tilde{K} depends on $\text{dist}(\tilde{\Omega}, \partial\Omega)$ but not on δ .) Let us cover the closure of $\tilde{\Omega}$ with a finite number L of discs $B_{r_i}(x_i)$ such that (see (23))

$$\text{osc}_{B_{r_i}(x_i)} G_\delta \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \delta < 1, i = 1, \dots, L.$$

Then $|G_\delta(x) - G_\delta(z)| \leq L$ for $x, z \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and we get from

$$|G_\delta(x)| \leq \left| \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} G_\delta(z) dz \right| + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |G_\delta(x) - G_\delta(z)| dz$$

the bound $|G_\delta(x)| \leq M < \infty$ for all $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Here we use $\sup_{0 < \delta < 1} \|G_\delta\|_{W_{2,loc}^1(\Omega)} < \infty$ implying that $\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} G_\delta(z) dz$ stays bounded. Thus we may apply Arcela's theorem to get $G_\delta \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ uniformly for a continuous function \tilde{G} on the closure of $\tilde{\Omega}$. Since G is a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{2N} , we have $\nabla u_\delta = G^{-1} \circ G_\delta \rightarrow G^{-1} \circ \tilde{G}$ everywhere on $\tilde{\Omega}$, and $G^{-1} \circ \tilde{G}$ is of class C^0 . But from $\nabla u_\delta \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ it follows that $G^{-1} \circ \tilde{G} = \nabla u$ which proves the claim of Theorem 2. □

Remark 3. Of course our arguments are valid not only for the particular example (17), we can consider any integrand $f \geq 0$ of class C^2 satisfying (18) and for which $f(\xi) \geq mA(|\xi|) - M$ with $m, M > 0$ and some N-function A is valid, provided $f(\xi) = g(|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|)$ with g increasing in each argument.

References

[AF1] Acerbi, E., Fusco, N., Regularity for minimizers of non-quadratic functionals. The case $1 < p < 2$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **140** (1989), 115–135
 [AF2] Acerbi, E., Fusco, N., Partial regularity under anisotropic (p, q) growth conditions. J. Diff. Equ. 107, No. 1 (1994), 46–67
 [Ad] Adams, R. A., Sobolev spaces. Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London 1975
 [Bi] Bildhauer, M., Convex variational problems with linear, nearly linear and/or anisotropic growth conditions. To appear
 [BF1] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., Partial regularity for variational integrals with (s, μ, q) -growth. Calc. Var. **13** (2001), 537–560
 [BF2] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., Partial regularity for a class of anisotropic variational integrals with convex hull property
 [CA] Campanato, S., Hölder continuity of the solutions of some non-linear elliptic systems. Adv. Math. **48** (1983), 16–43
 [CFM] Carozza, M., Fusco, N., Mingione, G., Partial regularity of minimizers of quasi-convex integrals with subquadratic growth. Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl. (4) **175** (1998), 141–164

- [DLM] D'Ottavio, A., Leonetti, F., Musciano, C., Maximum principle for vector valued mappings minimizing variational integrals. *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **XLVI** (1998), 677–683
- [Fr] Frehse, J., Two dimensional variational problems with thin obstacles. *Math. Z.* **143** (1975), 279–288
- [FrS] Frehse, J., Seregin, G., Regularity of solutions to variational problems of the deformation theory of plasticity with logarithmic hardening. *Proc. St. Petersburg Math. Soc.* **5** (1998), 184–222 English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. II*, **193** (1999), 127–152
- [FM] Fuchs, M., Mingione, G., Full $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity for free and constrained local minimizers of elliptic variational integrals with nearly linear growth. *Manus. Math.* **102** (2000), 227–250
- [FO] Fuchs, M., Osmolovski, V., Variational integrals on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Z. Anal. Anw.* **17** (1998), 393–415
- [FuS1] Fuchs, M., Seregin, G., Variational models for fluids of Prandtl-Eyring type and plastic materials with logarithmic hardening. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **22** (1999), 317–351
- [FuS2] Fuchs, M., Seregin, G., Fuchs, M., Seregin, G., A regularity theory for variational integrals with $L \log L$ -growth. *Calc. Var.* **6** (1998), 171–187
- [Gi] Giaquinta, M., Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems. *Ann. Math. Studies* 105, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1983
- [GM] Giaquinta, M., Modica, G., Remarks on the regularity of the minimizers of certain degenerate functionals. *Manus. Math.* **57** (1986), 55–99
- [PS] Passarelli Di Napoli, A., Siepe, F., A regularity result for a class of anisotropic systems. *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* **28**, No.1-2 (1996), 13–31