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## Overview

Today's topics are fractions. This is an important technique in commutative algebra.

1. Multiplicative sets and localization
2. Primary decomposition and localization
3. Proof of the second uniqueness theorem

## Multiplicative sets and fractions

If we want to add or multiply two fractions, we have to be able to multiply the denominators:

$$
\frac{a}{s}+\frac{b}{t}=\frac{a t+b s}{s t}
$$

Definition. A multiplicative subset $U \subset R$ of a ring $R$ is a subset which satisfies
a) $1 \in U$
b) $s, t \in U \Longrightarrow s t \in U$.

Example. The most important multiplicative sets are:

1. $U=\left\{f^{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ powers of an element $f \in R$,
2. $U=R \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ the complement of a prime ideal,
3. $U=\{r \in R \mid r s \neq 0 \forall s \neq 0\}$ the set of non-zero divisors.

If $R$ is an integral domain, then (0) is a prime ideal and the set of non-zero divisors coincides with the complement of (0).

## Localization in $U$

Let $U \subset R$ be a multiplicative subset of a ring. We will define a ring of fractions

$$
R\left[U^{-1}\right]=\left\{\left.\frac{a}{s} \right\rvert\, a \in R \text { and } s \in U\right\}
$$

as follows: Consider on $R \times U$ the following equivalence relation:

$$
\left(a_{1}, s_{1}\right) \sim\left(a_{2}, s_{2}\right) \text { iff } \exists u \in U \text { such that } u\left(s_{2} a_{1}-s_{1} a_{2}\right)=0 \in R .
$$

The factor $u$ is needed for the transitivity, since $R$ might not be an integral domain.

$$
\left(a_{1}, s_{1}\right) \sim\left(a_{2}, s_{2}\right) \text { and }\left(a_{2}, s_{2}\right) \sim\left(a_{3}, s_{3}\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad \exists u, v \in U$ such that $u\left(s_{2} a_{1}-s_{1} a_{2}\right)=0$ and $v\left(s_{3} a_{2}-s_{2} a_{3}\right)=0$
$\Rightarrow \quad 0=v s_{3} u\left(s_{2} a_{1}-s_{1} a_{2}\right)-u s_{1} v\left(s_{3} a_{2}-s_{2} a_{3}\right)=u v s_{2}\left(s_{3} a_{1}-s_{1} a_{3}\right)$
$\Rightarrow \quad\left(a_{1}, s_{1}\right) \sim\left(a_{3}, s_{3}\right)$ since $u v s_{2} \in U$.
The fraction $\frac{a}{s}=\{(b, t) \in R \times U \mid(a, s) \sim(b, t)\}$ denotes the equvalence class of $(a, s)$.

## Localization in $U$ continued

Then

$$
R\left[U^{-1}\right]=(R \times U) / \sim
$$

defines the localization as a set. It is a subset of $2^{R \times U}$. The usual formulas give $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ the structure of a commutative ring with $1=\frac{1}{1}$. Of course, one has to verify that addition and multiplication are well-defined. For example, if $\left(a_{1}, s_{1}\right) \sim\left(a_{2}, s_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\frac{a_{1}}{s_{1}}+\frac{b}{t}=\frac{a_{1} t+s_{1} b}{s_{1} t}=\frac{a_{2} t+s_{2} b}{s_{2} t}=\frac{a_{2}}{s_{2}}+\frac{b}{t}
$$

because $u\left(s_{2} a_{1}-s_{1} a_{2}\right)=0$ implies
$u\left(s_{2} t\left(t a_{1}+s_{1} b\right)-s_{1} t\left(a_{2} t+s_{2} b\right)\right)=t^{2} u\left(s_{2} a_{1}-s_{1} a_{2}\right)=0$.
The map

$$
\iota: R \rightarrow R\left[U^{-1}\right], r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}
$$

is a ring homomorphism, which might be not injective:

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\iota)=\{r \in R \mid \exists u \in U \text { with ur }=0\} .
$$

Notice that the elements $\iota(u)$ are units in $R\left[U^{-1}\right]: \frac{u}{1} \frac{1}{u}=1$.

## Localization of modules

Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $U \subset R$ a multiplicative subset. Then we can define similarly $M\left[U^{-1}\right]$ :
$\left(m_{1}, s_{1}\right) \sim\left(m_{2}, s_{2}\right)$ iff $\exists u \in U$ such that $u\left(s_{2} m_{1}-s_{1} m_{2}\right)=0 \in R$
is an equivalence relation on $M \times U$, and the set of equivalence classes

$$
M\left[U^{-1}\right]=\left\{\left.\frac{m}{s} \right\rvert\, m \in M, s \in U\right\}
$$

becomes an $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$-module by

$$
\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{t}=\frac{a m}{s t}
$$

## Notation

Definition. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be a prime ideal and $M$ and an $R$-module. Then

$$
M_{\mathfrak{p}}=M\left[U^{-1}\right]
$$

where $U=R \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ is called the localization of $M$ in $\mathfrak{p}$. For $f \in R$ the localization of $M$ in $f$ is

$$
M_{f}=M\left[U^{-1}\right]
$$

for $U=\left\{f^{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
Example.

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\{\left.\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\rvert\, b \text { is a power of } 2\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}=\left\{\left.\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\rvert\, b \text { with } 2 \nmid b\right\}
$$

are quite different.

## A local property

Theorem. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. TFAE

1) $M=0$.
2) $M_{\mathfrak{p}}=0$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$.
3) $M_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$ for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$.

Proof. Only the implication 3) $\Longrightarrow 1$ ) is non-trivial. Let $M \neq 0$ be a non-zero module and $m \in M$ a non-zero element. Then $I=\operatorname{ann}(m) \subsetneq R$ is a proper ideal since $1 \notin I$. The set of ideals $\mathcal{M}=\{J$ ideal in $R \mid I \subset J\}$ contains a maximal element $\mathfrak{m}$ with respect to inclusion. (This is clear for noetherian rings. For more general rings one applies Zorn's Lemma.) The ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of $R$, and $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ because

$$
\frac{m}{1} \neq 0
$$

No element of $R \backslash \mathfrak{m}$ annihilates $m$ because $\mathfrak{m} \supset I=\operatorname{ann}(m)$.

## Extended and contracted ideals

Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ a ring homomorphism, $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal in $A$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ an ideal in $B$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{e}=\mathfrak{a} B=\left\{\sum_{i} b_{i} \varphi\left(a_{i}\right) \mid b_{i} \in B \text { and } a_{i} \in \mathfrak{a}\right\}
$$

is called the extended ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$, and

$$
\mathfrak{b}^{c}=\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})
$$

is called the contracted ideal of $\mathfrak{b}$.
Primary decompositions behave well under contractions:

1. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a prime ideal or primary ideal, then $\mathfrak{b}^{c}$ is prime respectively primary as well.
2. $\left(\mathfrak{b}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{2}\right)^{c}=\mathfrak{b}_{1}^{c} \cap \mathfrak{b}_{2}^{c}$.
3. $(\operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{b}))^{c}=\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{b}^{c}\right)$.

## Extended and contracted ideals

The behavior under extension can be complicated:
Example. Consider $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. Then the prime ideals $(p) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ extend as follows:

1) $(2)^{e}=(1+\sqrt{-1})^{2}$ is a square of a prime ideal.
2) If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then $(p)^{e}$ is the product of two distinct prime ideals, for example $(5)^{e}=(2+\sqrt{-1})(2-\sqrt{-1})$.
3) If $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, then $(p)^{e}$ is a prime ideal.

Only 2) is a non-trival statement. It is equivalent to a theorem of Fermat, which says that a prime $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ is sum of two squares: $\left(5=2^{2}+1^{2}, 13=3^{2}+2^{2}, \ldots, 97=9^{2}+4^{2}\right.$, etc. $)$

## Extended and contracted ideals

Proposition. For a ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ and notation as before we have

1. $\mathfrak{a}^{e c} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{c e} \subset \mathfrak{b}$.
2. $\mathfrak{a}^{e}=\mathfrak{a}^{e c e}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{\text {cec }}=\mathfrak{b}^{c}$.
3. The set of contracted ideals is $C=\left\{\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}^{e c}\right\}$, and the set of extended ideals is $E=\left\{\mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}^{\text {ce }}\right\}$. These sets are in bijection via $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}^{e}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \mapsto \mathfrak{b}^{c}$.
Proof. 1) is clear. 2) follows from 1): $\mathfrak{a}^{e c} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ implies $\mathfrak{a}^{\text {ece }} \supset \mathfrak{a}^{e}$, and apply $\mathfrak{b}^{c e} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ to $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{a}^{e}$ gives the other inclusion. 3) follows with 2).
The situation is better for localizations maps

$$
\iota: R \rightarrow R\left[U^{-1}\right] .
$$

Passing from a ring to a localization makes things easier at least from a theoretical point of view. For example, the ideal theory of $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ is a simplified version of the ideal theory of $R$.

## Ideal theory of localizations

Theorem. Let $U \subset R$ be a multiplicative subset of a ring and let $\iota: R \rightarrow R\left[U^{-1}\right], r \mapsto r / 1$ denote the natural homomorphism.

1. If $I$ is an ideal in $R$, then

$$
I^{e c}=\iota^{-1}\left(I R\left[U^{-1}\right]=\{a \in R \mid \exists u \in U \text { with ua } \in I\} .\right.
$$

2. If $J$ is an ideal in $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$, then

$$
J^{c e}=\iota^{-1}(J) R\left[U^{-1}\right]=J
$$

Thus the map $J \mapsto \iota^{-1}(J)$ is an injection of the set ideals of $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ into the set of ideals of $R$.
3. If $R$ is noetherian, then $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ is noetherian.
4. $\iota^{-1}$ induces a bijection between the set of prime ideals of $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ and the set of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $R$ with $U \cap \mathfrak{p}=\emptyset$.
5. $\iota^{-1}$ induces a bijection between the set of primary ideals of $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ and the set of prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ of $R$ with $U \cap \mathfrak{q}=\emptyset$.

## Proof

Part 1: If $a \in R$, then $a \in \iota^{-1}\left(I R\left[U^{-1}\right]\right) \Longleftrightarrow a / 1 \in I R\left[U^{-1}\right]$
$\Longleftrightarrow u a \in I$ for some $u \in U$.
Part 2: Let $b / u \in R\left[U^{-1}\right]$. Then $b / u \in J \Longleftrightarrow b / 1 \in J$
$\Longleftrightarrow b \in \iota^{-1}(J) \Longleftrightarrow b / u \in \iota^{-1}(J) R\left[U^{-1}\right]$.
Part 3 follows from part 2.
Part 5 and 4: Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a primary ideal of $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$. Then $\mathfrak{q}^{c}=\iota^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a primary ideal of $R$ which does not intersect $U$ because $\mathfrak{q}$ contains no units.
Conversely, let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a primary ideal in $R$ with $\mathfrak{q} \cap U=\emptyset$.
Then $\mathfrak{q}^{e}=\mathfrak{q} R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ is a proper ideal because $\mathfrak{q}^{e c}=\iota^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{q}^{e}\right)=\mathfrak{q}$ follows from part 1 : $u a \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $u^{n} \notin \mathfrak{q}$ implies $a \in \mathfrak{q}$ since $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary. It remains to prove that $\mathfrak{q}^{e}$ is a primary ideal. Suppose $a / u \cdot b / v \in \mathfrak{q}^{e}$, then $w a b \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some $w \in U$ by part 1 . Hence wa $\in \mathfrak{q}$ or $b^{n} \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some $n$ since $\mathfrak{q}$ is primary. It follows $a / u \in \mathfrak{q}^{e}$ or $(b / v)^{n} \in \mathfrak{q}^{e}$ because $w u$ and $v$ are units in $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$.
In case of prime ideals we have $n=1$ in the argument above.

## Primary decomposition and localization

Corollary. Let $U$ be a multiplicative subset of a ring $R$ and

$$
I=\mathfrak{q}_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{r}
$$

a primary decomposition of an ideal $I \subset R$. Then

$$
I^{e}=\bigcap_{\mathfrak{q}_{i}: q_{i} \cap U=\emptyset} \mathfrak{q}_{i}^{e}
$$

is a primary decomposition of the extended ideal $I^{e} \subset R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ and

$$
I^{e c}=\bigcap_{q_{i}: q_{i} \cap U=\emptyset} \mathfrak{q}_{i .} .
$$

In particular the last intersection does not depend on the choice of the primary decomposition.

## Proof

We need one more Lemma.
Lemma. Let $\iota: R \rightarrow R\left[U^{-1}\right]$ be a localization, and let I and $J$ be ideals in $R$. Then

$$
I^{e} \cap J^{e}=(I \cap J)^{e} .
$$

Proof of the Lemma. $I^{e} \cap J^{e} \supset(I \cap J)^{e}$ is clear. Suppose

$$
\frac{a}{u}=\frac{b}{v} \in I^{e} \cap J^{e} \text { with } a \in I \text { and } b \in J
$$

Then there exists a $w \in U$ such that $w v a=w u b \in I \cap J$. Hence

$$
\frac{a}{u}=\frac{w v a}{u w v} \in(I \cap J)^{e} .
$$

Primary ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{j}$ with $\mathfrak{q}_{j} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ extend to $\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{e}=(1)$, since elements of $U$ become units in $R\left[U^{-1}\right]$. Thus these can be dropped in the intersection, and

$$
I^{e}=\bigcap_{q_{i}: q_{i} \cap U=\emptyset} \mathfrak{q}_{i}^{e}
$$

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ uniqueness theorem

The rest of the theorem clear, because contraction commutes with intersections and $\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\text {ec }}=\mathfrak{q}_{i}$ for primary ideals with $\mathfrak{q}_{i} \cap U \neq \emptyset$.
Corollary. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ be a minimal associated prime of a minimal primary decomposition

$$
I=\mathfrak{q}_{1} \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{r}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{q}_{i}$ is uniquely determined by $I$.
Proof. Consider the localization in $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$, i.e., with respect to $U=R \backslash \mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is minimal all other associated primes $\mathfrak{p}_{j}=\operatorname{rad}\left(\mathfrak{q}_{j}\right)$ intersect $U$ :

$$
\left(R \backslash \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right) \cap \mathfrak{p}_{j}=\emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_{j} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{i}
$$

and $\mathfrak{p}_{j}$ would be smaller than $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Since $U$ is multiplicative $\mathfrak{p}_{j} \cap U \neq \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{q}_{j} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ holds. Thus

$$
\rho^{e c}=\mathfrak{q}_{i}
$$

holds by the theorem.

## Examples

1. $R=\mathbb{Z}$. The ideals of $\mathbb{Z}$ are principal and

$$
(n)=\left(p_{1}^{e_{1}}\right) \cap \ldots \cap\left(p_{r}^{e_{r}}\right)
$$

is the primary decomposition if

$$
n=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{r}^{e_{r}}
$$

is the prime factorization.
2. The polynomial ring $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ for any field $K$ is factorial. As above the primary decomposition of an principal ideal $(f)$ corresponds to factorizations: If

$$
f=u f_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot f_{r}^{e_{r}}
$$

with $u \in K^{*}$ a unit and $f_{j}$ irreduzible, then $(f)=\left(f_{1}^{e_{1}}\right) \cap \ldots \cap\left(f_{r}^{e_{r}}\right)$ is the primary decomposition.

