## Universität des Saarlandes



# Fachrichtung 6.1 – Mathematik

Preprint Nr. 326

The essential spectrum of Toeplitz tuples with symbol in  $H^{\infty}+C$ 

Jörg Eschmeier

Saarbrücken 2012

Preprint No. 326 submitted: January 29, 2013

# The essential spectrum of Toeplitz tuples with symbol in $H^{\infty}+C$

### Jörg Eschmeier

Saarland University
Department of Mathematics
P.O. Box 15 11 50
66041 Saarbrücken
Germany
eschmei@math.uni-sb.de

Edited by FR 6.1 – Mathematik Universität des Saarlandes Postfach 15 11 50 66041 Saarbrücken Germany

Fax:  $+49\ 681\ 302\ 4443$ 

e-Mail: preprint@math.uni-sb.de WWW: http://www.math.uni-sb.de/

# The essential spectrum of Toeplitz tuples with symbol in $H^{\infty} + C$

Jörg Eschmeier

Let  $H^2(D)$  be the Hardy space on a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with smooth boundary. Using Gelfand theory and a spectral mapping theorem of Andersson and Sandberg [2] for Toeplitz tuples with  $H^{\infty}$ -symbol, we show that a Toeplitz tuple  $T_f = (T_{f_1}, \ldots, T_{f_m}) \in L(H^2(\sigma))^m$  with symbols  $f_i \in H^{\infty} + C$  is Fredholm if and only if the Poisson-Szegö extension of f is bounded away from zero near the boundary of D. Corresponding results are obtained for the case of Bergman spaces. Thus we extend results of McDonald [9] and Jewell [7] to systems of Toeplitz operators.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary. Extending results of McDonald [9] for the unit ball, Jewell proved in [7] that a Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  with symbol in  $H^{\infty} + C$  on the Bergman space or Hardy space over D is Fredholm if and only if f, or its Poisson-Szegö extension in the case of the Hardy space, is bounded away from zero near the boundary of D. A basic ingredient of the proof was the observation that, for every multiplicative linear functional  $\varphi$  of  $H^{\infty}(D)$  belonging to the fibre of the maximal ideal space of  $H^{\infty}(D)$  over a boundary point  $\lambda \in \partial D$  and any function  $f \in H^{\infty}(D)$ , the value  $\varphi(f)$  belongs to the cluster set of f at  $\lambda$ .

In the present note we replace single Fredholm operators  $T_f$  by tuples  $T_f = (T_{f_1}, \ldots, T_{f_m})$  of Toeplitz operators with symbol  $f \in (H^{\infty} + C)^m$ . If the above cluster value property of  $H^{\infty}(D)$  were known to be true for tuples  $f \in H^{\infty}(D)^m$  instead of single functions, then the methods from [7] could be extended in a straightforward way to calculate the essential spectrum of the essentially commuting multioperator  $T_f$ . However, the cluster value property for finite tuples in  $H^{\infty}(D)$  is equivalent to the validity of the Corona Theorem for  $H^{\infty}(D)$ . This equivalence is well known and follows, for instance, as a direct application of Theorem 1 from [5].

In the following we show that, in spite of this difficulty, properties of the Poisson transform and suitable results from Gelfand theory can be used to prove the spectral mapping formula

$$\sigma_e(T_f) = \bigcap (\overline{f(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \text{ open})$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A13; Secondary 47B35, 47A53.

for Toeplitz tuples  $T_f$  with symbol in  $f \in (H^{\infty} + C)^m$  on Hardy and Bergman spaces over strictly pseudoconvex domains. Here again, in the Hardy-space case, the symbol f has to be interpreted as the Poisson-Szegő extension of f.

### 2 Preliminaries

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary and let  $H^2(D)$  be the Hardy space on D. Since the point evaluation at every point of D is continuous on  $H^2(D)$ , the space  $H^2(D)$  is an analytic functional Hilbert space and hence prossesses a reproducing kernel  $K: D \times D \to \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the normalized surface measure on  $\partial D$ . We shall identify  $H^2(D)$  with its image  $H^2(\sigma)$  under the isometry

$$H^2(D) \to L^2(\sigma), \ f \mapsto f^*$$

associating with each function  $f \in H^2(D)$  its non-tangential boundary value  $f^*$ . For  $z \in D$ , consider the function

$$P(z,\cdot) = \frac{|K(\cdot,z)^*|^2}{K(z,z)} \in L^2(\sigma).$$

As usual, we call P the Poisson-Szegö kernel and define the Poisson-Szegö integral of a function  $f \in L^2(\sigma)$  by

$$\mathscr{P}[f]: D \to \mathbb{C}, \ z \mapsto \int_{\partial D} fP(z, \cdot)d\sigma.$$

The Poisson-Szegö integral reproduces functions in  $H^2(D)$ . For  $f \in C(\partial D)$  the Poisson-Szegö integral entends to a function  $F \in C(\overline{D})$  with  $F|\partial D = f$  (see[10] or [8] for both properties).

For  $f \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ , we define the Toeplitz operator  $T_f \in L(H^2(\sigma))$  and the Hankel operator  $H_f \in L(H^2(\sigma), L^2(\sigma))$  with symbol f by

$$T_f = PM_f | H^2(\sigma)$$
 and  $H_f = (1 - P)M_f | H^2(\sigma)$ .

Here  $P: L^2(\sigma) \to H^2(\sigma)$  denotes the orthogonal projection and  $M_f: L^2(\sigma) \to L^2(\sigma)$ ,  $g \mapsto fg$ , is the operator of multiplication with f. For  $z \in D$ , let  $k_z = K(\cdot, z)^* / \|K(\cdot, z)\|_{H^2(\sigma)}$  be the normalized reproducing kernel vector at the point z. The Berezin transform of an operator  $T \in L(H^2(\sigma))$  is the function

$$\Gamma(T): D \to \mathbb{C}, \ z \mapsto \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle.$$

The Berezin transform of the Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  with symbol  $f \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$  coincides with its Poisson-Szegö integral

$$\Gamma(T_f)(z) = \langle T_f k_z, k_z \rangle_{H^2(\sigma)} = \int_{\partial D} f P(z, \cdot) d\sigma.$$

It is well known (see for instance [5]) that the Berezin transform of a compact operator  $K \in L(H^2(\sigma))$  vanishes on the boundary of D in the sense that

$$\lim_{z \to \partial D} \Gamma(K)(z) = 0.$$

For a given subset  $S \subset L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ , the Toeplitz algebra with symbol class S is the closed subalgebra of  $L(H^2(\sigma))$  defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(S) = \overline{\operatorname{alg}} \{ T_f; f \in S \}.$$

Important choices for S are the set of all bounded analytic functions (or better their boundary values), which will be denoted by  $H^{\infty} = H^{\infty}(\sigma)$  in the sequel, and the class  $C = C(\partial D)$  consisting of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $\partial D$ . A result of Aytuna and Chollet [1], generalizing a corresponding observation of Rudin for the unit ball, shows that  $H^{\infty}+C=H^{\infty}(\sigma)+C(\partial D)\subset L^{\infty}(\sigma)$  is a closed subalgebra. It is known (see for instance [4]) that the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}(H^{\infty}+C)$  contains the set  $\mathcal{K}(H^{2}(\sigma))$  of all compact operators and that the map

$$\tau: H^{\infty} + C \to \mathcal{T}(H^{\infty} + C)/\mathcal{K}(H^2(\sigma)), \ f \mapsto T_f + \mathcal{K}(H^2(\sigma))$$

is an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras. In particular, Toeplitz tuples  $T_f = (T_{f_1}, \ldots, T_{f_m})$  with symbols  $f_i \in H^{\infty} + C$  essentially commute in the sense that the commutators

$$[T_{f_i}, T_{f_j}] = T_{f_i} T_{f_j} - T_{f_j} T_{f_i} \quad (1 \le i, j \le m)$$

are compact.

The Koszul complex (cf. [6])

$$K^{\bullet}(T,H): 0 \to \Lambda^0(H) \xrightarrow{\delta_T^0} \Lambda^1(H) \xrightarrow{\delta_T^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_T^{n-1}} \Lambda^n(H) \to 0$$

of an essentially commuting tuple  $T \in L(H)^m$  of bounded operators on a Hilbert space H is an essential complex of Hilbert spaces in the sense that  $\delta_T^{i+1} \circ \delta_T^i$  is compact for every i. The tuple T is called Fredholm if the Koszul complex  $K^{\bullet}(T, H)$  possesses an essential homotopy, that is, there are bounded operators  $\epsilon^i : \Lambda^i(H) \to \Lambda^{i-1}(H)$  with

$$\epsilon^{i+1}\delta_T^i - \delta_T^{i-1}\epsilon^i - 1_{\Lambda^i(H)} \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda^i(H))$$

for all *i*. One can show (Lemma 2.6.10 and Theorem 10.2.5 in [6]) that the tuple T is Fredholm if and only if the Koszul complex  $K^{\bullet}(L_T, \mathcal{C}(H))$  of the commuting tuple  $L_T = (L_{T_1}, \ldots, L_{T_m})$  consisting of the left multiplication operators

$$L_{T_i}: \mathcal{C}(H) \to \mathcal{C}(H), \ [A] \mapsto [T_i A]$$

with  $T_i$  on the Calkin algebra  $\mathcal{C}(H) = L(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$  is exact. The essential spectrum of an essentially commuting tuple  $T \in L(H)^m$  is defined as

$$\sigma_e(T) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^m; K^{\bullet}(z - T, H) \text{ is not Fredholm } \} = \sigma(L_T, \mathcal{C}(H)),$$

where  $\sigma(L_T, \mathcal{C}(H))$  denotes the Taylor spectrum [11] of the commuting tuple  $L_T \in L(\mathcal{C}(H))^m$ .

### 3 Main Result

To prove the spectral mapping theorem for the essential spectrum of Toeplitz tuples with symbol in  $H^{\infty} + C$ , we need a result on the asymptotic multiplicativity of the Poisson-Szegö transform.

**1 Lemma.** For  $f, g \in H^{\infty} + C$ , the Poisson-Szegö transform satisfies

$$\lim_{z \to \partial D} |\mathscr{P}[fg](z) - \mathscr{P}[f](z)\mathscr{P}[g](z)| = 0.$$

**Proof.** We need some results on the Berezin transform that are implicitly contained in [3]. Since every point  $z \in \partial D$  is a peak point for the Banach algebra  $A(D) = \{f \in C(\overline{D}); f | D \text{ holomorphic}\}$ , it follows that A(D) is a pointed function algebra in the sense of [3] (see Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 in [3]). It is elementary to check that the Hardy space  $H^2(D)$  is a quasi-free Hilbert module over A(D) as defined in [3].

For  $z \in D$ , consider the isometry

$$V_z: \mathbb{C} \to H^2(\sigma), \ t \mapsto tk_z.$$

The mapping  $P_z = V_z V_z^*$  is the orthogonal projection onto the one-dimensional subspace of  $H^2(\sigma)$  spanned by  $k_z$ . For given operators  $S, T \in L(H^2(\sigma))$ , the estimate

$$|\Gamma(ST)(z) - \Gamma(S)(z)\Gamma(T)(z)| = |(V_z^*STV_z - V_z^*SP_zTV_z)(1)|$$
$$= |V^*S[T, P_z]V_z(1)| \le ||S|| ||[T, P_z]|$$

holds for every point  $z \in D$ . For  $\alpha \in \partial D$ , the set of all operators  $T \in L(H^2(\sigma))$  with the property that

$$\lim_{z \to \alpha} ||[T, P_z]| = 0$$

is a  $C^*$ -algebra containing the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}(A(D)|\partial D) = \mathcal{T}(C)$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [3]). An elementary compactness argument shows that  $\lim_{z\to\partial D}\|[T,P_z]\|=0$  for every operator  $T\in\mathcal{T}(C)$ . Therefore the relation

$$\lim_{z \to \partial D} |\Gamma(ST)(z) - \Gamma(S)(z)\Gamma(T)(z)| = 0$$

holds for any pair of operators  $S \in L(H^2(\sigma)), T \in \mathcal{T}(C)$ . Since for  $g \in C$  and  $f \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ , the semi-commutator  $T_fT_g - T_{fg} = PM_fH_g$  is compact (Theorem 4.2.17 in [12]), it follows that

$$|\mathscr{P}[fg](z) - \mathscr{P}[f](z)\mathscr{P}[g](z)| \le \Gamma(T_{fg} - T_f T_g)(z)|$$
$$+ |\Gamma(T_f T_g)(z) - \Gamma(T_f)(z)\Gamma(T_g)(z)|$$

tends to zero as  $z \to \partial D$ . Using in addition the fact that  $\mathscr{P}[fg] = \mathscr{P}[f]\mathscr{P}[g]$  for  $f, g \in H^{\infty}$ , one easily deduces the assertion.

We begin by proving one half of our spectral mapping theorem in a particular situation. For simplicity, we use the notation  $F = \mathscr{P}[f] = (\mathscr{P}[f_1], \dots, \mathscr{P}[f_m])$  for the Poisson-Szegö transform of a tuple  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)^m$ .

**2 Lemma.** For given  $g \in (H^{\infty})^r$ ,  $h \in C^s$  and f = (g,h), the spectral inclusion

$$\bigcap (\overline{F(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \text{ open}) \subset \sigma_e(T_f)$$

holds.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $T_f$  is Fredholm. It suffices to show that  $F = \mathscr{P}[f]$  is bounded away from zero close to the boundary of D. Since  $T_f$  is Fredholm, the row multiplication

$$H^2(\sigma)^m \xrightarrow{T_f} H^2(\sigma)$$

with m=r+s has finite-codimensional range. The orthogonal projection  $Q \in L(H^2(\sigma))$  to the kernel of the operator  $T_f T_f^*$  has finite rank and  $T_f T_f^* + Q$  is bounded below. Hence there is a constant c>0 with

$$T_f T_f^* + Q \ge c 1_{H^2(\sigma)}.$$

Since the Berezin transform  $\Gamma(Q)(z)$  tends to zero as z approaches the boundary of D, there is an open neighbourhood U of  $\partial D$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma(T_{f_i} T_{f_i}^*)(z) = \Gamma(T_f T_f^*) \ge c/2$$

for all  $z \in U \cap D$ . An elementary calculation (Lemma 7 in [5]) yields that

$$\Gamma(T_{g_i}T_{g_i}^*) = |G_i|^2 \quad (i = 1, \dots, r)$$

on D. Since  $T_{h_i}T_{h_i}^*-T_{|h_i|^2}$  is compact and since by Lemma 1

$$\mathscr{P}[|h_i|^2](z) - |\mathscr{P}[h_i](z)|^2 \stackrel{z \to \partial D}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

it follows that

$$\Gamma(T_{h_i}T_{h_i}^*)(z) - |H_i(z)|^2 \xrightarrow{z \to \partial D} 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, s).$$

Summarizing we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_i(z)|^2 - \Gamma(T_f T_f^*)(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|H_i(z)|^2 - \Gamma(T_{h_i} T_{h_i}^*)(z)) \to 0$$

as z approaches the boundary of D. Thus the assertion follows.

To prepare the proof of the opposite inclusion, we recall some results from Gelfand theory. Consider a unital algebra homomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{M} \to L(X)$  from a unital commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  into the algebra of all bounded operators on a Banach space X. A spectral system on  $B = \overline{\Phi(\mathcal{M})}$  is a rule  $\sigma$  that assigns to each finite tuple  $a \in B^r$  a compact subset  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{C}^r$  which is contained in the joint spectrum  $\sigma_B(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^r; 1 \notin \sum_{i=1}^r (z_i - a_i)B\}$  of a in B and which is compatible with projections in the sense that

$$p(\sigma(a,b)) = \sigma(a)$$
 and  $q(\sigma(a,b)) = \sigma(b)$ ,

where p and q are the projections of  $C^{r+s}$  onto its first r and last s coordinates.

For a given set M, let us denote by c(M) the set of all finite tuples of elements in M. Standard results going back to J.L. Taylor (see, e.g., Proposition 2.6.1 in [6]) show that, for a spectral system  $\sigma$  as above, the set

$$\Delta_{\Phi,\sigma} = \{ \lambda \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}; \ \hat{f}(\lambda) \in \sigma(\Phi(f)) \text{ for all } f \in c(\mathcal{M}) \}$$

is the unique closed subset of the maximal ideal space  $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\hat{f}(\Delta_{\Phi,\sigma}) = \sigma(\Phi(f))$  for all  $f \in c(\mathcal{M})$ . Here  $\Phi(f) = (\Phi(f_1), \dots, \Phi(f_r))$  and the Gelfand transform  $\hat{f} = (\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_r)$  are formed componentwise for  $f \in \mathcal{M}^r$ .

Let  $\Phi_0: \mathcal{M} \to L(X)$  be the restriction of  $\Phi$  to a unital closed subalgebra  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$ , and let  $\sigma_0$  denote the spectral system on  $B_0 = \overline{\Phi(\mathcal{M}_0)}$  obtained by restricting  $\sigma$ . An elementary exercise, using the uniqueness property of  $\Delta_{\Phi_0,\sigma_0}$ , shows that the restriction map

$$r: \Delta_{\Phi,\sigma} \to \Delta_{\Phi_0,\sigma_0}, \ \lambda \mapsto \lambda | \mathcal{M}_0$$

is well defined, surjective and continuous (relative to the Gelfand topologies).

As before, let  $H^2(\sigma)$  be the Hardy space on a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with smooth boundary. We apply the above remarks to the Banach algebras  $\mathcal{M}_0 = H^{\infty}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = H^{\infty} + C$  and the algebra homomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{M} \to L(\mathcal{C}(H^2(\sigma)))$ ,  $f \mapsto L_{T_f}$ , mapping  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  to the operator  $L_{T_f}$  of left multiplication with  $T_f$  on the Calkin algebra  $\mathcal{C}(H^2(\sigma))$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the spectral system on  $B = \overline{\Phi(\mathcal{M})}$  associating with each tuple  $a \in B^r$  its Taylor spectrum as a commuting tuple of bounded operators on  $\mathcal{C}(H^2(\sigma))$ . We write  $\sigma_0$  for the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $B_0 = \overline{\Phi(\mathcal{M}_0)}$ .

Recall that, for a tuple  $f \in c(L^{\infty}(\sigma))$ , we write  $F = \mathscr{P}[f]$  for its Poisson-Szegö transform. As usual we shall identify functions  $f \in H^{\infty}(\sigma)$  with their Poisson-Szegö transform  $F = \mathscr{P}[f] \in H^{\infty}(D)$ . It was shown by Andersson and Sandberg [2] (Theorem 1.2) that the spectral mapping fomula

$$\sigma(\Phi(f)) = \sigma_e(T_f) = \bigcap \left(\overline{f(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \text{ open}\right)$$

holds for every tuple  $f \in c(H^{\infty})$ . Let  $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$  be the tuple of coordinate functions. Using Theorem 1 in [5] we obtain that

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) \in \bigcap \left(\overline{f(U \cap D)}; \ U \text{ open neighbourhood of } \hat{\pi}(\lambda)\right)$$

for  $f \in c(H^{\infty})$  and every functional  $\lambda \in \Delta_{\Phi_0,\sigma_0}$ .

**3 Proposition.** For  $g \in (H^{\infty})^r$ ,  $h \in C^s$  and f = (g,h), the spectral inclusion formula

$$\sigma_e(T_f) \subset \bigcap \left(\overline{F(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \ \text{open}\right)$$

holds.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $0 \in \sigma_e(T_f)$ . It suffices to show that 0 is contained in the intersection on the right. By the remarks preceding the proposition there is a functional  $\lambda \in \Delta_{\Phi,\sigma}$  with  $0 = \hat{f}(\lambda) = (\hat{g}(\lambda), \hat{h}(\lambda))$ . Since  $\lambda | C \in \Delta_C$ , there is a point  $z_0 \in \partial D$  with

$$\lambda(\varphi) = \varphi(z_0) \quad (\varphi \in C).$$

In particular, it follows that  $\lim_{z\to z_0} H(z) = h(z_0) = 0$ . The above cited results from [2] and [5] imply that

$$0 = \hat{g}(\lambda) \in \bigcap \left( \overline{g(U \cap D)}; \text{ Uopen neighbourhood of } z_0 \right).$$

Hence there is a sequence  $(z_k)_{k\geq 1}$  in D with  $\lim_{k\to\infty}z_k=z_0$  and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (g(z_k), \ H(z_k)) = 0.$$

This observation completes the proof.

Our next aim is to show that Lemma 2 and Proposition 3 remain true for arbitrary symbols  $f \in (H^{\infty} + C)^m$ .

**4 Theorem.** For  $f \in (H^{\infty} + C)^m$ , the formula

$$\sigma_e(T_f) = \bigcap \left( \overline{F(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \ \text{open} \right)$$

holds.

**Proof.** Let  $f = g + h \in (H^{\infty} + C)^m$  be given with  $g \in (H^{\infty})^m$  and  $h \in C^m$ . Using a particular case of the analytic spectral mapping theorem for the Taylor spectrum, we obtain that

$$\sigma_e(T_f) = \sigma_e(T_g + T_h) = \sigma(L_{T_g} + L_{T_h})$$

$$= \{z + w; (z, w) \in \sigma(L_{T_g}, L_{T_h})\} = \{z + w; (z, w) \in \sigma_e(T_g, T_h)\}.$$

If  $(z, w) \in \sigma_e(T_g, T_h)$ , then by Proposition 3 there is a sequence  $(u_k)$  in D converging to some point  $u \in \partial D$  such that

$$(z, w) = \lim_{k \to \infty} (G, H) (u_k).$$

But then

$$z + w = \lim_{k \to \infty} (G + H) \ (u_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} F(u_k).$$

Hence  $\sigma_e(T_f)$  is contained in the intersection on the right-hand side. Conversely, if  $\xi$  is a point in the intersection on the right-hand side, then there is a sequence  $(u_k)$  in D converging to a point  $u \in \partial D$  such that

$$\xi = \lim_{k \to \infty} F(u_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} (G(u_k) + H(u_k)).$$

But then  $w = \lim_{k\to\infty} H(u_k) = h(u)$  exists and hence also  $z = \lim_{k\to\infty} G(u_k)$  exists. By Lemma 2 we know that  $(z, w) \in \sigma_e(T_g, T_h)$ . Hence  $\xi = z + w \in \sigma_e(T_f)$  as was to be shown.

For a tuple  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in L(H)^n$  of operators on a Hilbert space H, the right essential spectrum  $\sigma_{re}(T)$  is usually defined as the set of all points  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  for which the range of the row multiplication

$$H^n \xrightarrow{(z_1-T_1,\dots,z_n-T_n)} H$$

is not finite codimensional or, equivalently, the row multiplication

$$\mathcal{C}(H)^n \xrightarrow{(z_1-L_{T_1},\dots,z_n-L_{T_n})} \mathcal{C}(H)$$

is not onto (see e.g. Lemma 2.6.10 in [6] for the equivalence). Hence the right essential spectrum  $\sigma_{re}(T)$  of T coincides with the right spectrum  $\sigma_{r}(L_{T}, \mathcal{C}(H))$  of the multiplication tuple  $L_{T}$  on the Calkin algebra. Since Lemma 2 remains true with  $\sigma_{e}(T_{f})$  replaced by  $\sigma_{re}(T_{f})$  (see the proof of Lemma 2) and since the analytic spectral mapping formula used in the proof of Theorem 4 also holds for the right Taylor spectrum (Corollary 2.6.8 in [6]), we obtain the following consequence.

**5 Corollary.** For  $f \in (H^{\infty} + C)^m$ , the formula

$$\sigma_e(T_f) = \sigma_{re}(T_f) = \bigcap \left( \overline{F(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \ \text{open} \right)$$

holds.  $\Box$ 

Our main result (Theorem 4) can also be proved for Toeplitz tuples  $T_f \in L(L_a^2(D))^m$  with symbol  $f \in (H^\infty(D) + C(\overline{D})^m$  on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(D) = \{f \in \mathscr{O}(D); \|f\|^2 = \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda < \infty\}$  formed with respect to the volume measure  $\lambda$  on a strictly pseudoconvex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with smooth boundary. It suffices to replace the spectral mapping formula for Toeplitz tuples with  $H^\infty$ -symbol of Andersson and Sandberg from [2] by the corresponding spectral mapping formula for the Bergman space (Theorem 8.2.6 in [6]) and to replace the Poisson-Szegö transform by the Poisson-Bergman transform. All properties needed for the Poisson-Bergman integral can be found in [8]. We only state the corresponding result in the Bergman case.

**6 Theorem.** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary. Then for  $f \in (H^{\infty}(D) + C(\overline{D}))^m$ , the essential spectrum of the Toeplitz tuple  $T_f \in L(L_a^2(D))^m$  on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(D)$  is given by

$$\sigma_e(T_f) = \sigma_{re}(T_f) = \bigcap \left( \overline{f(U \cap D)}; \ U \supset \partial D \text{ open} \right).$$

The reader should observe that, since the Poisson-Bergman transform of a continuous function  $h \in C(\overline{D})^m$  extends to a continuous function  $H \in C(\overline{D})^m$  with  $H|\partial D = h|\partial D$ , the intersection on the right-hand side does not change when f is replaced by its Poisson-Bergman transform F.

### References

- [1] A. Aytuna, A.M. Chollet, *Une extension d'une resultat de W. Rudin*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 104 (1976), 383-388.
- [2] M. Andersson, S. Sandberg, The essential spectrum of holomorphic Toeplitz operators on  $H^p$  spaces, Studia Math. 154 (2003), 223-231.
- [3] K.R. Davidson, R.G. Douglas, The generalized Berezin transform and commutator ideals, Pacific J. Math. 222 (2005), 29-56.
- [4] M. Didas, J. Eschmeier, *Derivations on Toeplitz algebras*, Preprint, Universität des Saarlandes, 2012.
- [5] R.G. Douglas, J.Eschmeier, *Spectral inclusion theorems*, Oper. Theory Adv. and Appl., Vol. 222, 113-128, Springer, Basel, 2012.
- [6] J. Eschmeier, M. Putinar, Spectral decompositions and analytic sheaves, LMS Monograph Series, Vol. 10, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [7] N.P. Jewell, Fredholm Toeplitz operators on strongly pseudoconvex domains, Studia Math. 68 (1980), 25-34.
- [8] St.G. Krantz, On a construction of L. Hua for positive reproducing kernels, Michigan Math. J. 59 (2010), 211-230.
- [9] G. McDonald, Fredholm properties of Toeplitz operators on the ball, Indiana J. Math 26 (1977), 567-576.
- [10] E.M. Stein, Boundary behaviour of holomorphic functions of serveral complex variables, Math. Notes, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1972.
- [11] J.L. Taylor, A joint spectrum for several commuting operators, J. Funct. Anal. 6 (1970), 172-191.
- [12] H. Upmeier, Toeplitz operators and index theory in several complex variables, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol. 81, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

Jörg Eschmeier Fachrichtung Mathematik Universität des Saarlandes Postfach 15 11 50 D-66041 Saarbrücken, Germany e-mail: eschmei@math.uni-sb.de