Universität des Saarlandes



# Fachrichtung 6.1 – Mathematik

Preprint Nr. 380

## Denoising and Inpainting of Images Using TV-Type Energies: Theoretical and Computational Aspects

Michael Bildhauer, Martin Fuchs and Joachim Weickert

Saarbrücken 2016

### Denoising and Inpainting of Images Using TV-Type Energies: Theoretical and Computational Aspects

#### Michael Bildhauer

Saarland University Department of Mathematics P.O. Box 15 11 50 66041 Saarbrücken Germany bibi@math.uni-sb.de

#### Martin Fuchs

Saarland University Department of Mathematics P.O. Box 15 11 50 66041 Saarbrücken Germany fuchs@math.uni-sb.de

#### Joachim Weickert

Mathematical Image Analysis Group Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science Saarland University, Building E1.7 D-66041 Saarbrücken, Germany weickert@mia.uni-saarland.de

Edited by FR 6.1 – Mathematik Universität des Saarlandes Postfach 15 11 50 66041 Saarbrücken Germany

Fax: + 49 681 302 4443 e-Mail: preprint@math.uni-sb.de WWW: http://www.math.uni-sb.de/

# Denoising and Inpainting of Images Using TV-Type Energies: Theoretical and Computational Aspects

M. Bildhauer M. Fuchs J. Weickert

AMS Subject Classification: 49N60, 49Q20, 49N15, 65N06.

Keywords: denoising of images, image inpainting, variational methods, TV-like regularizations, variable exponents.

#### Abstract

In our note we discuss variational approaches towards the denoising of images and towards the image inpainting problem combined with simultaneous denoising. Our techniques are based on variants of the TV-model but in contrast to this case a complete analytical theory is available in our setting. At the same time, numerical experiments illustrate the advantages of our models in comparison with some established techniques.

In our note we investigate the variational problem

(1) 
$$J[u] := \int_{\Omega - D} (f - u)^2 \, dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(|\nabla u|\right) \, dx \to \min,$$

which serves as a mathematical model either for the pure denoising of a greyscale image, if the case  $D = \emptyset$  is considered, or for an image inpainting problem (with inpainting region D) combined with simultaneous denoising, provided we assume that  $D \neq \emptyset$ .

In both cases we will mainly concentrate on densities  $\Psi$  being of linear growth w.r.t.  $|\nabla u|$ , which means that we discuss variants of the TV-regularization. However, as it will be outlined below, we can include arbitrary growth rates of  $\Psi$ . Variational methods for the denoising of images are nowadays well established and we refer the reader to the papers [AcV], [AuV], [BCMVW], [CCN], [CL], [CE], [CSV], [CLR], [Ka], [ROF], [Ve], [BF1] and the references quoted therein.

At the same time there is a variety of image inpainting techniques being of local or non–local nature and using either variational arguments or PDE–type methods. For an overview the reader should consult the references [ACFLS], [ACS], [AFCS], [AK], [BSCB], [BHS], [CKS], [CS1], [CS2], [ES], [PSS], [Sh], [BF2], [BF3], [BF4], [BF5] and [BFT]. Let us now clarify our assumptions and notation concerning problem (1). Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , e.g. a rectangle, and let D denote an  $\mathcal{L}^2$ measurable subset of  $\Omega$  such that

(2) 
$$0 \le \mathcal{L}^2(D) < \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega).$$

If we think of pure denoising, we just set  $D = \emptyset$ . We consider a greyscale image described by a function  $u: \Omega \to [0, 1]$ , where u(x) measures the intensity of the grey level at the point  $x \in \Omega$ . Suppose now that a certain part of the image represented by the region D is damaged and that the observed data being described in terms of a given function  $f: \Omega - D \to [0, 1]$  are noisy. Then the restoration of the missing part  $D \to [0, 1]$  of the image together with simultaneous denoising of the observed data f might be achieved by looking at problem (1), where  $\alpha > 0$  denotes a positive parameter and where the quality of data fitting is measured through the quadratic fidelity term  $\int_{\Omega - D} (f - u)^2 dx$ . Of essential importance is the structure of the regularizing quantity  $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(|\nabla u|) dx$ , i.e. the behaviour of the density  $\Psi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ . We here look at the following family of densities: for a real parameter  $\mu$  let

(3) 
$$\Psi(t) := \Phi_{\mu}(t) := \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} (1+r)^{-\mu} dr ds, t \ge 0,$$

and observe that for  $\mu \neq 1$  and  $\mu \neq 2$ 

(4) 
$$\Phi_{\mu}(t) = \frac{t}{\mu - 1} + \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \frac{1}{\mu - 2} (t + 1)^{-\mu + 2} - \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \frac{1}{\mu - 2}$$

whereas

(5) 
$$\Phi_1(t) = t \ln (1+t) + \ln (1+t) - t,$$

(6) 
$$\Phi_2(t) = t - \ln(1+t) \; .$$

This shows:

- i) If  $\mu < 1$ , then  $\Psi$  is a strictly convex and strictly increasing function of growth order  $p := 2 \mu > 1$ . Thus the variational problem (1) is well posed on the Sobolev-space  $W_p^1(\Omega)$  (see, e.g., [Ad] for a definition of this class).
- ii) In case  $\mu = 1$  the correct class for problem (1) is the Orlicz-Sobolev space (see again [Ad])  $W_h^1(\Omega)$  generated by the N-function  $h(t) = t \ln(1+t), t \ge 0$ .
- iii) For  $\mu > 1$  our density  $\Psi$  is still strictly increasing and strictly convex but now of linear growth approximating the TV-density  $|\nabla u|$  in the sense that (compare (4))

(7) 
$$\lim_{\mu \to \infty} (\mu - 1) \Phi_{\mu}(t) = t, \ t \ge 0.$$

Now we have to work in the space  $BV(\Omega)$  of functions having finite total variation (see, e.g., [Gi]), which means that the quantity  $\int_{\Omega} \Psi(|\nabla u|) dx$  has to be replaced by the expression

(8) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mu} \left( |\nabla u| \right) := \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mu} \left( |\nabla^{a} u| \right) \, dx + \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \left| \nabla^{s} u \right| \left( \Omega \right)$$

for functions  $u \in BV(\Omega)$ . Here  $\nabla u = \nabla^a u \perp \mathcal{L}^2 + \nabla^s u$  is the decomposition of the vector measure  $\nabla u$  in its regular and singular part w.r.t. Lebesgue's measure. The reader should note that our definition (8) is in accordance with the notation of a convex function of a measure introduced for example in [DT].

In the sequel we will describe the theoretical results valid for problem (1) for various choices of  $\mu$ . We emphasize:

• The density  $\Psi = \Phi_{\mu}$  occurring in formula (3) - (6) can be replaced by

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} (\varepsilon + r)^{-\mu} dr ds \quad \text{or}$$
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} (\varepsilon + r^{2})^{-\mu/2} dr ds$$

with arbitrary parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$ . More generally, we can even consider  $\mu$ -elliptic energies in the spirit of, e.g., [BF3].

• All the results stated below remain valid in the case of pure denoising for which hypothesis (2) is replaced by the requirement  $D = \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Consider a measurable function  $f : \Omega - D \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and fix a parameter  $\alpha > 0$ .

- i) (power growth) Let  $\mu < 1$  and define  $p := 2 \mu$ . Then the variational problem (1) with  $\Psi$  defined in (3) admits a unique solution u in the space  $W_p^1(\Omega)$ . u satisfies  $0 \le u \le 1$  and belongs to the class  $C^{1,\beta}(\Omega)$  for any  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .
- ii) (logarithmic growth) If  $\mu = 1$ , then we have the results of i) with  $W_p^1(\Omega)$  being replaced by  $W_h^1(\Omega)$ ,  $h(t) := t \ln(1+t)$ .

**Proof:** The statements of i) follow by standard arguments as outlined for example in the textbook [LU]. For ii) we refer to [BF1], Theorem 1.1 - 1.3, and [BF3], Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.  $\Box$ 

Let us pass to the linear growth case for which we obtained according to [BF1], Theorem 1.4 - 1.8, [BF3], Theorem 1.3 and 1.4, [BF4], Theorem 1.2 and Corallary 1.1, and [BFT]:

**THEOREM 2.** Consider f,  $\alpha$  as in Theorem 1 and assume that  $\mu > 1$ . It holds:

- i) Problem (1) has at least one solution  $u \in BV(\Omega)$  and each solution u satisfies  $0 \le u(x) \le 1$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ .
- ii) If u and  $\widetilde{u}$  are J-minimizing in  $BV(\Omega)$ , then  $u = \widetilde{u}$  a.e. on  $\Omega D$ ,  $\nabla^a u = \nabla^a \widetilde{u}$  on  $\Omega$  and  $|\nabla^s u|(\Omega) = |\nabla^s \widetilde{u}|(\Omega)$ .
- *iii)*  $\inf_{W_1^1(\Omega)} J = \inf_{BV(\Omega)} J$ .
- iv) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all  $L^1$ -cluster points of J-minimizing sequences from  $W_1^1(\Omega)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  coincides with the set of all BV-solutions of problem (1).

- v) For any  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  there is an open set  $D_u \subset D$  with  $\mathcal{L}^2(D-D_u) = 0$  and  $u \in C^{1,\beta}(D_u)$ for any  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .
- vi) Let  $\mu \in (1,2)$ . Then (1) admits exactly one minimizer u being in addition of class  $W_1^1(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\beta}(\Omega)$  for all  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .
- vii) If there exists  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  belonging to the space  $W_1^1(\Omega)$ , then it follows that  $\mathcal{M} = \{v\}$ .
- viii) For  $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$  we have the estimate

$$\|u - v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = \|u - v\|_{L^{2}(D)} \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} |\nabla^{s}(u - v)|(\overline{D}).$$

An alternative approach towards the linear growth case  $\mu > 1$  consists in an analysis of the dual variational problem. As in [BF4] we define the Lagrangian

$$l(v,\tau) := \alpha \int_{\Omega} \left[ \tau \cdot \nabla v - \Phi^*_{\mu}(|\tau|) \right] \, dx + \int_{\Omega - D} (v - f)^2 \, dx \,,$$

where  $(v,\tau) \in W_1^1(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ . Here  $\Phi^*_{\mu}$  denotes the conjugate function of  $\Phi_{\mu}$ . Consider the variational problem

(9) 
$$R[\tau] := \inf_{v \in W_1^1(\Omega)} l(v, \tau) \to \max \text{ in } L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$$

being in duality to our starting problem (1). In [BF4] we showed (see Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 in this reference; for further details compare [FT].)

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $\mu > 1$  and let  $f, \alpha$  as in Theorem 1.

- i) Problem (9) admits a unique solution  $\sigma$ .
- ii) It holds  $\sigma \in W_{2,\text{loc}}^1(\text{int }(D), \mathbb{R}^2)$  as well as  $\sigma = DF(\nabla^a u)$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Here we have abbreviated  $F(\xi) = \Phi_\mu(|\xi|), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and u denotes any BV-minimizer of problem (1).
- *iii)* The inf-sup relation holds, *i.e.* we have

$$\inf_{W_1^1(\Omega)} J = \sup_{L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)} R \,.$$

iv) In case  $\mu \in (1,3)$  we have  $\sigma \in C^{0,\beta}(int(D), \mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .

Up to now the exponent  $\mu$  occurring in formula (3) denotes a fixed real number. However, from the point of view of applications, it might be helpful to work with different values of  $\mu$  on prescribed subregions of  $\Omega$  leading to a solution being smooth on the zone  $[\mu < 2]$  and with "irregular behaviour" on parts of  $\Omega$  with large values of  $\mu(x)$ . To be precise we discuss this idea in the context of pure denoising working with densities involving variable exponents  $\mu(x)$  generating functionals of linear growth. Assume that we are given a function  $\mu = \mu(x)$  of class  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  such that

(10) 
$$\mu(x) \in (1,\infty), \ x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

We define  $\Phi_{\mu(x)}(t)$  according to (3) and observe the validity of (4) - (7) now for each  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Let  $F(x,\xi) = \Phi_{\mu(x)}(|\xi|)$ . It is easy to check that the density F satisfies (i) - (iv) from p.312 in [AFP]. Here the reader should observe that from (10) together with the requirement  $\mu \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  it actually follows that  $\mu(x) \in [\mu_1, \mu_2]$  with suitable numbers  $1 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ . For  $u \in BV(\Omega)$  let

$$K[u] := \int_{\Omega} F(x, \nabla^a u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} F_{\infty}\left(x, \frac{\nabla^s u}{|\nabla^s u|}\right) d \left|\nabla^s u\right| \,,$$
$$F_{\infty}(x, \xi) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{F(x, t\xi)}{t} \,.$$

Then we have the following lower semicontinuity result (compare [AFP], Theorem 5.54 on p.312 and the remarks on p.313)

$$K[u] \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} K[u_n]$$

for each sequence  $u_n \in BV(\Omega)$  converging in  $L^1(\Omega)$  to the BV-function u. In our particular case it holds  $F_{\infty}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)-1}|\xi|$ , which is a direct consequence of the formulas (4) and (6). Altogether we therefore look at the following variational problem as a model for pure denoising with energies of linear growth involving variable exponents

(11) 
$$I[u] := \int_{\Omega} (f-u)^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mu(x)} \left( |\nabla^a u| \right) dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu(x) - 1} d \left| \nabla^s u \right| \to \min \text{ in BV}(\Omega) \,.$$

**THEOREM 4.** Consider a measurable function  $f: \Omega \to [0,1]$ , fix  $\alpha > 0$  and let  $\mu \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfy (10).

- i) Problem (11) admits a unique solution  $u \in BV(\Omega)$ . We have  $0 \le u(x) \le 1$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ .
- ii) Let  $\Omega_2 := \{x \in \Omega : \mu(x) < 2\}$  (possibly empty depending on the choice of  $\mu$ ). Then it holds  $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\Omega_2)$  for any  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .

**Proof:** i) The existence of an *I*-minimizer can be deduced from the preliminary remarks, uniqueness is a consequence of strict convexity of  $v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} (v-f)^2 dx$ , and the "maximum-principle" follows as in [BF1].

principle" follows as in [BF1]. ii) On  $\Omega_2$  the continuity of  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi}(\cdot, \nabla u)$  follows with similar arguments as applied in [BF3] leading to the continuity of  $\nabla u$  on  $\Omega_2$  modulo a small singular set. In order to rule out these singularities, the reader should consult [BFT] applying minor adjustments: as usual the approach towards the regularity of the *I*-minimizing function  $u \in BV(\Omega)$  is based on the analysis of a suitable regularizing sequence  $\{u_{\delta}\}$ , i.e. for  $\delta > 0$  one studies the problem

$$I_{\delta}[w] := \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 \, dx + I[w] \to \min \text{ in } W_2^1(\Omega)$$

with unique solution  $u_{\delta}$  showing a nice behaviour. In order to justify  $u_{\delta} \to u$  in  $L^{1}(\Omega)$  as  $\delta \to 0$ , we first observe that there exists  $\overline{u} \in BV(\Omega)$  such that  $u_{\delta} \to \overline{u}$  in  $L^{1}(\Omega)$  at least for a subsequence. We claim the validity of  $u = \overline{u}$ . In fact, the lower semicontinuity of the functional I w.r.t. BV-convergence gives

$$I[\overline{u}] \leq \liminf_{\delta \to 0} I[u_{\delta}],$$

hence by the I-minimality of u we find

$$I[u] \le I[\overline{u}] \le \liminf_{\delta \to 0} I_{\delta}[u_{\delta}].$$

Quoting [AG], Proposition 2.3, we can choose a sequence  $\{u_m\}$  from the space  $C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_1^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$u_m \to u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), \ \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_m|^2} \, dx \to \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}.$$

The  $I_{\delta}$ -minimality of  $u_{\delta}$  shows  $I_{\delta}[u_{\delta}] \leq I_{\delta}[u_m]$  and therefore

$$\liminf_{\delta \to 0} I_{\delta}[u_{\delta}] \le I[u_m] \,,$$

which means

$$I[u] \le I[\overline{u}] \le I[u_m],$$

Next we remark (see, e.g., [Re], [Sp] or [BS], Theorem 4.1) that the functional K is continuous w.r.t. the convergence  $u_m \to u$ , thus we find  $I[u] = I[\overline{u}]$ , since  $\int_{\Omega} (u_m - f)^2 dx \to \int_{\Omega} (u - f)^2 dx$  follows from  $u_m \to u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and the observation that due to  $0 \le u \le 1$  we may assume the validity of the same inequality for  $u_m$  which is a direct consequence of the definition of  $u_m$ . This implies  $u = \overline{u}$  by the uniqueness of the minimizer.

We note that the assumption  $\mu \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  can be weakened but we omit the details.

The idea of denoising an observed image  $f: \Omega \to [0, 1]$  in such a way that the solution  $u: \Omega \to [0, 1]$  shows a different degree of smoothness on prescribed subregions of  $\Omega$  can also be made precise by considering the energy density

(12) 
$$G(x,\xi) := \eta(x) |\xi| + (1 - \eta(x)) \Phi_{\mu(x)}(|\xi|), \ x \in \overline{\Omega}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\eta$  denotes some function in  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ . In place of (11) we have to discuss the problem (using the natural definition of  $\int_{\Omega} G(x, \nabla u)$  on BV ( $\Omega$ ))

(13) 
$$\int_{\Omega} (u-f)^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \eta(x) d|\nabla u| + \alpha \int_{\Omega} (1-\eta(x)) \Phi_{\mu(x)} (|\nabla^a u|) dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1-\eta(x)}{\mu(x)-1} d|\nabla^s u| \rightarrow \min \text{ in BV}(\Omega),$$

6

which means that on the set  $[\eta = 1]$  we actually apply a TV-regularization. Along the lines of Theorem 4 the following results can be established:

**THEOREM 5.** Consider  $f, \alpha, \mu$  as in Theorem 4 and define G according to (12) with  $\eta$  as before. Then the problem  $\int_{\Omega} (f-u)^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega} G(x, \nabla u) \to \min$  in  $BV(\Omega)$  as stated in (13) admits a unique solution u. It holds  $0 \le u \le 1$  a.e. Moreover we have  $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\Omega^*)$  for any  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\Omega^*$  denotes the interior of  $\{x \in \Omega : \eta(x) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(x) < 2\}$ .

After this overview on the theoretical properties, let us discuss some numerical aspects and pass to a computational experiment. The goal is to illustrate the behaviour of our model (1), (3) for different parameters  $\mu$  and compare it to alternative approaches used in the literature. We focus on the pure denoising problem, i.e.  $D = \emptyset$ .

A minimizer of the energy (1) with penalizing function  $\Psi(t) = \Phi_{\mu}(t)$  satisfies necessarily an Euler-Lagrange equation of type

(14) 
$$u - f - \alpha \operatorname{div}(g_{\mu}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u) = 0$$

with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions

(15) 
$$\partial_n u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where *n* denotes the normal vector to the image boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . For  $\mu \neq 1$  and  $\mu \neq 2$ , the diffusivity function  $g_{\mu}(t) := \frac{\Phi'_{\mu}(t)}{2t}$  in (14) is given by

(16) 
$$g_{\mu}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & (t=0)\\ \frac{1-(1+t)^{1-\mu}}{2(\mu-1)t} & (\text{else}). \end{cases}$$

For  $\mu = 1$  it satisfies

(17) 
$$g_1(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & (t=0)\\ \frac{\ln(1+t)}{2t} & (\text{else}), \end{cases}$$

and  $\mu = 2$  yields

(18) 
$$g_2(|\nabla u|) = \frac{1}{2(1+t)}.$$

We discretize this problem with a finite difference method ([Le], [MM]) on a regular pixel grid of size h in both directions. This leads to a nonlinear system of equations with the following structure:

(19) 
$$(\boldsymbol{I} - \alpha \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{u})) \, \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f},$$

where N denotes the number of pixels,  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  is the unit matrix, the unknown vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$  contains the grey values of the processed image u in all pixels, and  $f \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is a discretization of the noisy image f. The term A(u) u represents the discrete counterpart

of div $(g_{\mu}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)$ , where the matrix-valued function  $\boldsymbol{A} : \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  depends in a nonlinear way on the unknown image  $\boldsymbol{u}$ . It also incorporates the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions.

In order to solve the nonlinear system (19) numerically, we use an iterative algorithm that replaces it by a sequence of linear systems of equations:

$$(20) \boldsymbol{u}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{f},$$

(21) 
$$\left(\boldsymbol{I} - \alpha \boldsymbol{A} \left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(k-1)}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{u}^{(k)} = \boldsymbol{f} \qquad (k = 1, 2, ...),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{u}^{(k)}$  denotes the solution of the k-th iteration step. Approaches of this type are known under that name Kačanov method [FKN] or lagged diffusivity method. In [WHSZSS] it has been shown that they are equivalent to the half-quadratic regularization algorithms of Geman and Yang [GY], and an analysis of their convergence properties can be found e.g. in [Ze]. With Gershgorin's theorem [Ge] it follows that for any  $\alpha \geq 0$  and any vector  $\boldsymbol{u}^{(k-1)}$ , the system matrix of (21) is positive definite. Hence, each linear system has a unique solution. Since we use a 4-neighbourhood for the discretization of  $\operatorname{div}(g_{\mu}(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)$ , the system matrices have a sparse structure with at most five nonvanishing entries in each row. A classical iterative solver such as the Gauß-Seidel method constitutes a simple numerical algorithm that benefits from this sparsity and is guaranteed to converge for positive definite system matrices [MM]. We stop our Gauß-Seidel iterations when the Euclidean norm of the residual

(22) 
$$\boldsymbol{r}^{(k)} := \boldsymbol{f} - \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \alpha \boldsymbol{A} \left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(k-1)}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{u}^{(k)}$$

of (21) has decreased by a specified factor:

(23) 
$$\|\boldsymbol{r}^{(k)}\|_2 \le \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{r}^{(1)}\|_2.$$

We choose  $\epsilon := 10^{-4}$ . In a similar way, we can check the residual of the nonlinear system (19) in order to stop the outer Kačanov iterations.

Figure 1 shows an application of our approach to the denoising of a digital greyscale image. Since each grey value of this image is encoded by a single byte, we consider the range [0, 255] instead of [0, 1]. Of course, all theoretical results that we presented before an unaffected by this rescaling. For our denoising experiment we add Gaussian noise with zero mean to the original image, and we evaluate different parameter settings in order to recover the noise-free image as good as possible. As a measure of the approximation quality of some restoration  $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$  w.r.t. the noise-free image  $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we use the mean squared error (MSE)

(24) 
$$\operatorname{MSE}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_i - v_i)^2.$$

For each chosen parameter  $\mu$ , we optimize the regularization parameter  $\alpha$  such that the MSE is minimized. Fig. 1(c)–(e) shows the results of our approach for  $\mu = 0$ , 1.5, and 20. The case  $\mu = 0$  represents the classical Whittaker-Tikhonov regularization [Wh], [Ti], [BPT]. It minimizes a quadratic energy, which comes down to a linear Euler-Lagrange equation with constant diffusivity  $g_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Its MSE is given by 155.82. Such linear methods



Figure 1: Denoising experiment. (a) Top left: Test image,  $256 \times 256$  pixel, range [0, 255]. (b) Top middle: Degraded by additive Gaussian noise with zero mean and standard deviation  $\sigma = 49.49$ . (c) Top right: Whittaker-Tikhonov regularization ( $\mu = 0$ ) of the noisy image (b) with regularization parameter  $\alpha = 5.8$ , yielding an MSE of 155.82. (d) Bottom left: Result for  $\mu = 1.5$  and  $\alpha = 54$ . MSE = 122.96. (e) Bottom middle: Result for  $\mu = 20$  and  $\alpha = 1410$ . MSE = 114.70. (f) Bottom right: Result for TV-regularization with  $\alpha = 86$ . MSE = 124.48.

are well-known to suffer from blurring of semantically important structures such as edges. Using a nonlinear approach with  $\mu = 1.5$  allows a better preservation of edges since its diffusivity decreases with  $|\nabla u|$ . This is rewarded be a lower MSE of 122.96. These results can be improved by allowing larger values for  $\mu$ : choosing  $\mu = 20$  yields an MSE of 114.70. Increasing  $\mu$  any further, however, would deteriorate the results again. Interestingly, our reconstructions for  $\mu = 1.5$  and  $\mu = 20$  also outperform the popular TV-regularization [ROF] that uses the nondifferentiable density  $\Psi(|\nabla u|) = |\nabla u|$  which corresponds to the singular diffusivity  $g(|\nabla u|) = \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|}$ : An implementation of TV-regularization by means of the FISTA algorithm [BT] gives a worse MSE of 124.48. The result is depicted in Fig. 1(f). Thus, our experiment illustrates that staying a bit away from the TV limit can be beneficial for obtaining better restorations.

### References

- [Ad] Adams, R. A., Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65.
- [AcV] Acar, R., Vogel, C.R., Analysis of bounded variation penalty methods for illposed problems. Inverse Problems 10 (1994), 1217–1229.
- [ACFLS] Arias, P., Caselles, V., Facciolo, G., Lazcano V. and Sadek, R., Nonlocal variational models for inpainting and interpolation. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 22 (2012), suppl. 2.
- [ACS] Arias, P., Caselles, V., and Sapiro, G., A variational framework for non-local image inpainting. In Cremers, D., Boykov, Y., Blake, A. and Schmidt, F. R. (Eds.), Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Vol. 5681 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, Berlin, pp. 345–358, 2009.
- [AFCS] Arias, P., Facciolo, G., Caselles, V., and Sapiro, G., A variational framework for exemplar-based image inpainting, Int. J. Comput. Vis. 93 (2011), no. 3, 319–347.
- [AFP] Ambrosio, L., Fusco, N., Pallara, D., Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2000).
- [AG] Anzellotti, G., Giaquinta, M., Convex functionals and partial regularity. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 102 (1988), 243-272.
- [AK] Aubert, G. and Kornprobst, P., Mathematical Problems in Image Processing. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 147, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [AuV] Aubert, G., Vese, L., A variational method in image recovery. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 34, no. 5, (1997), 1948–1979.
- [BS] Beck, L., Schmidt, Th., On the Dirichlet problem for variational integrals in BV. J. Reine Angew. Math. 674 (2013), 113-194.
- [BT] Beck, A., Teboulle, M., Fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems. SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences 2 (2009), 183-202.
- [BSCB] Bertalmio, M., Sapiro, G., Caselles, V., Ballester, C., Image inpainting. Proc. SIGGRAPH 2000, New Orleans, LI, July 2000, pp. 417-424
- [BPT] Bertero, M., Poggio, T. A., Torre, V., Ill-posed problems in early vision. Proceedings of the IEEE 76 (1988), 869-889.
- [BF1] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., A variational approach to the denoising of images based on different variants of the TV-regularization. Appl. Math. Optim. 66 (3) (2012), 331-361.
- [BF2] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., Image inpainting with energies of linear growth a collection of proposals. J. Math. Sciences 196 (4) (2014), 490-497.

- [BF3] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., On some perturbations of the total variation image inpainting method. Part 1: Regularity theory. J. Math. Sciences 202 (2) (2014), 154-169.
- [BF4] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., On some perturbations of the total variation image inpainting method. Part 2: Relaxation and dual variational formulation. J. Math. Sciences 205 (2) (2015), 121-140.
- [BF5] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., On some perturbations of the total variation image inpainting method. Part 3: Minimization among sets with finite perimeter. J. Math. Sciences 207 (2) (2015), 142-146.
- [BFT] Bildhauer, M., Fuchs, M., Tietz, C., C<sup>1,α</sup>-interior regularity for minimizers of a class of variational problems with linear growth related to image inpainting. St. Petersburg Math. J. 27 (3) (2016), 381-392
- [BCMVW] Blomgren, P., Chan, T. F., Mulet, P., Vese, L., Wan, W. L., Variational PDE models and methods for image processing. Numerical Analysis 1999 (Dundee), 43–67, Chapman & Hall/CRC Res. Notes Math., 420, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000.
- [BHS] Burger, M., He, L., Schönlieb, C.-B., Cahn-Hilliard inpainting and a generalization for grayvalue images. SIAM J. Imaging Sci 2 (2009), no. 4, 1129–1167.
- [CCN] Caselles, V., Chambolle, A., Novaga, M., Regularity for solutions of the total variation denoising problem. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 27 (2011), 233–252.
- [CL] Chambolle, A., Lions, P.-L., Image recovery via total variation minimization and related problems. Numer. Math. 76, 167–188.
- [CE] Chan, T. F., Esedoğlu, S., Aspects of total variation regularized  $L^1$  function approximation. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 65 (2005), 1817–1837.
- [CKS] Chan, T. F., Kang, S. H., Shen, J., Euler's elastica and curvature based inpaintings. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 63(2), 564–592 (2002).
- [CS1] Chan, T. F., Shen, J., Nontexture inpainting by curvature-driven diffusions.J. Visual Commun. Image Represen. 12 (4) (2001), 436-449.
- [CS2] Chan, T. F., Shen, J., Mathematical models for local nontexture inpaintings. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 62(3), 1019–1043 (2001).
- [CSV] Chan, T., Shen, J., Vese, L., Variational PDE models in image processing. Notices AMS 50, no. 1 (2003), 14–26.
- [CLR] Chen, Y., Levine, S., Rao, M., Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66 (2006), 1383–1406.
- [DT] Demengel, F., Temam, R., Convex functions of a measure and applications. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 33 (1984), 673–709.

- [ES] Esedoglu, S., Shen, J., Digital inpainting based on the Mumford-Shah-Euler image model. European Journal of Applied Mathematics 13 (2002), no. 4, 353– 370.
- [FKN] Fučik, S., Kratochvil, A., Nečas, J., Kačanov–Galerkin method. Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14 (1973), 651-659.
- [FT] Fuchs, M., Tietz, C. Existence of generalized minimizers and of dual solutions for a class of variational problems with linear growth related to image recovery. J. Math. Sci. 210 (4) (2015), 458-475
- [GY] Geman, D., Yang, C., Nonlinear image recovery with half-quadratic regularization. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 4 (1995), 932-945.
- [Ge] S. Gerschgorin, Fehlerabschätzung für das Differenzenverfahren zur Lösung Partieller Differentialgleichungen. Zeitschrift Ang. Math. Mech. 10 (1930), 373-382.
- [Gi] Giusti, E., Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation. Monographs in Mathematics 80, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1984.
- [Ka] Kawohl, B., Variational versus PDE-based approaches in mathematical image processing. CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes 44 (2008), 113–126.
- [LU] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., Ural'tseva, N. N., Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations. Nauka, Moskow, 1964, English translation: Academic Press, New York 1968.
- [Le] LeVeque; R. J., Finite Difference Methods for Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2007.
- [MM] Morton, K. W., Mayers, L. M., Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1994.
- [PSS] Papafitsoros, K., Sengul, B., Schönlieb, C.-B., Combined first and second order total variation impainting using split Bregman. Image Processing On Line, (2013).
- [Sh] Shen, J., Inpainting and the fundamental problem of image processing. SIAM News 36, No. 5 (2003), 1–4.
- [Sp] Spector, D., Simple proofs of some results of Reshetnyak. Proc. A.M.S. 139 (5), 1681-1690.
- [Re] Reshetnyak, Y., Weak convergence of completely additive vector functions on a set. Sibirsk. Maz. Ž. 9 (1968), 1386–1394 (in Russian), English translation: Sib. Math. J. 9 (1968), 1039–1045.
- [ROF] Rudin, L., Osher, S., Fatemi, E., Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms. Physica D 60 (1992), 259–268.
- [Ti] Tikhonov, A. N., Solution of incorrectly formulated problems and the regularization method. Soviet Math. Doklady 4 (1963), 1035-1038.

- [Ve] Vese, L., A study in the BV space of a denoising-deblurring variational problem, Appl. Math. Optim. 44 (2001), 131–161.
- [WHSZSS] Weickert, J., Heers, J., Schnörr, C., Zuiderveld, K. J., Scherzer, O., Stiehl, H. S., Fast parallel algorithms for a broad class of nonlinear variational diffusion approaches. Real-Time Imaging 7 (2001), 31-45.
- [Wh] Whittaker, E. T., A new method of graduation. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 41 (1923), 65-75.
- [Ze] Zeidler, E., Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/A: Linear Monotone Operators. Springer, Berlin, 1990.