# Calculus of Variations Summer Term 2014 

## Lecture 5

7. Mai 2014

## Purpose of Lesson:

- To discuss catenary of fixed length.
- Consider possible pathologic cases, discuss rigid extremals and give interpretation of the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$
- To solve the more general case of Dido's problem with general shape and parametrically described perimeter.


## Example 5.1 (Catenary of fixed length)

- In Example 2.2 we computed the shape of a suspended wire, when we put no constraints on the length of the wire.


Picture: A hanging chain forms a catenary

- What happens to the shape of the suspended wire when we fix the length of the wire?


## Example 5.1 (Catenary of fixed length)

- As before we seek a minimum for the potential energy

$$
J[y]=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x \rightarrow \min
$$

but now we include the constraint that the lentgh of the wire is $L$, e.g.

$$
\mathcal{G}[y]=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x=L
$$

- We seek extremals of the new functional

$$
\mathcal{H}[y]=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}(y+\lambda) \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x .
$$

- Notice that $H\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)=(y+\lambda) \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}$ has no explicit dependence on $x$, and so we may compute

$$
H-y^{\prime} H_{y^{\prime}}=\frac{(y+\lambda)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}-(y+\lambda) \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\text { const }
$$

- Perform the change of variables $u=y+\lambda$, and note that $u^{\prime}=y^{\prime}$ so that the above can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}-u \sqrt{1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=C_{1} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- It is easy to see that Eq. (5.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u^{2}}{1+\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=C_{1}^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Eq. (5.2) is exactly the same equation (in $u$ ) as we had previously for the catenary in $y$. So, the result is a catenary also, but shifted up or down by an amount such that the length of the wire is $L$.

$$
y=u-\lambda=C_{1} \cosh \left(\frac{x-C_{2}}{C_{1}}\right)-\lambda
$$

- So, we have three constants to determine
(1) we have two end points
(2) we have the length constraint
- As in Example 2.2 we put $C_{2}=0$ and consider the even solution with $x_{0}=-1, y\left(x_{0}\right)=1, x_{1}=1$ and $y(1)=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x=\int_{-1}^{1} \cosh \left(\frac{x}{C_{1}}\right) d x \\
& =C_{1}\left[\sinh \left(\frac{x}{C_{1}}\right)\right]_{-1}^{1}=2 C_{1} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{C_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now we can calculate $C_{1}$ from the above equality.
- Once we know $C_{1}$ we can calculate $\lambda$ to satisfy the end heights $y(-1)=y(1)=1$.


## Example 5.2 (cf. Example 5.1)

- From Example 5.1 we know that a solution of the catenary problem with length constraint has the form

$$
y=C \cosh \left(\frac{x}{C}\right)-\lambda,
$$

and $y$ satisfy the additional conditions

$$
y(-1)=y(1)=2, \quad L=\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x=2 C \sinh \left(\frac{x}{C}\right) .
$$

- Using Maple we calculate $y$ for the natural catenary (without length constraint), as well as for $L=2.05 L=2.9$ and $L=5$. See Worksheet 1 for the detailed calculation.
- All catenaries are valid, but one is natural

- The red curve shows the natural catenary (without length constraint), and the green, yellow and blue curves show other catenaries with different lengths.


## Pathologies

Note that in both cases ("simple Dido's problem" and "catenary of fixed length")

- the approach only works for certain ranges of $L$.
- If $L$ is too small, there is no physically possible solution
- e.g., if wire length $L<x_{1}-x_{0}$
- e.g., if oxhide length $L<x_{1}-x_{0}$
- If $L$ is too large in comparison to $y_{1}=y\left(x_{1}\right)$, the solution may have our wire dragging on the ground.

A particular problem to watch for are rigid extremals

- Rigid extremals are extremals that cannot be perturbed, and stiil satisfy the constraint.


## Example 5.3

- For example

$$
\mathcal{G}[y]=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x=\sqrt{2}
$$

with the boundary constraints $y(0)=0$ and $y(1)=1$.

- The only possible $y$ to satisfy this constraint is $y(x)=x$, so we cannot perturb around this curve to find conditions for viable extremals.
- Rigid extremals cases have some similarities to maximization of a function, where the constraints specify a single point:

Example 5.4
Maximize $f(x, y)=x+y$, under the constraint that $x^{2}+y^{2}=0$.

- In Example 5.3, the constraint, and the end-point leave only one choice of function, $y(x)=x$.


## Interpretation of $\lambda$ :

Consider again to finding extremals for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}[y]=J[y]+\lambda \mathcal{G}[y] \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we include $\mathcal{G}$ to meet an isoperimetric constraint $\mathcal{G}[y]=L$.

- One way to think about $\lambda$ is to think of (5.3) as trying to minimize $J[y]$ and $\mathcal{G}[y]-L$.
(1) $\lambda$ is a tradeoff between $J$ and $\mathcal{G}$.
(2) If $\lambda$ is big, we give a lot of weight to $\mathcal{G}$.
(3) If $\lambda$ is small, then we give most weight to $J$.
- So, $\lambda$ might be thought of as how hard we have to "pull" towards the constraint in order to make it.


## Interpretation of $\lambda$ (cont.)

For example,

- in the catenary problem, the size of $\lambda$ is the amount we have to shift the cosh function up or down to get the right length.
- when $\lambda=0$ we get the natural catenary,
i.e., in this case, we didn't need to change anything to get the right shape, so the constraint had no affect.


## Interpretation of $\lambda$ (cont.)

Write the problem (including the constant) as minimize

$$
\mathcal{H}[y]=\int F+\lambda(G-k) d x,
$$

for the constant $k=\frac{L}{\int 1 d x}$, then

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial k}=\lambda,
$$

- we can also think of $\lambda$ as the rate of change of the value of the optimum with respect to $k$.
- when $\lambda=0$, the functional $\mathcal{H}$ has a stationary point e.g., in the catenary problem this is a local minimum corresponding to the natural catenary.


## Consider now the more general case of Dido's problem:

- a general shape,

- without a coast,
so that the perimeter must be parametrically described.

Dido's problem is usual posed as follows:

## Problem 5-1 (Dido's problem -traditional)

To find the curve of length $L$ which encloses the largest possible area, i.e., maximize

$$
\text { Area }=\iint_{\Omega} 1 d x d y
$$

subject to the constraint

$$
\oint_{\partial \Omega} 1 d s=L
$$

Of course Problem 5-1 is not yet in a convinient form.

Green's Theorem converts an integral over the area $\Omega$ to a contour integral around the boundary $\partial \Omega$.

## Green's Theorem

$$
\iint_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}\right) d x d y=\oint_{\partial \Omega} \phi d y-\varphi d x
$$

for $\phi, \varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi, \varphi, \phi_{x}$ and $\varphi_{y}$ are continuous.

This converts an area integral over a region into a line integral around the boundary.

- The area of a region is given by

$$
\text { Area }=\iint_{\Omega} 1 d x d y
$$

- In Green's theorem choose $\phi=\frac{x}{2}$ and $\varphi=\frac{y}{2}$, so that we get

$$
\text { Area }=\iint_{\Omega} 1 d x d y=\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \Omega} x d y-y d x
$$

- Previous approach to Dido, was to use $y=y(x)$, but in more general case where the boundary must be closed, we can't define $y$ as a function of $x$ (or visa versa).
- So, we write the boundary curve parametrically as $(x(t), y(t))$.
- If the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is represented parametrically by $(x(t), y(t))$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Area } & =\iint_{\Omega} 1 d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \Omega} x d y-y d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial \Omega}(x \dot{y}-y \dot{x}) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

- So, now the problem is written in terms of one independent variable $=t$ two dependent variables $=(x, y)$.
- Previously we wrote the isoperimetric constraint as

$$
\mathcal{G}[y]=\int 1 d s=\int_{x_{0}}^{x^{1}} \sqrt{1+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d x=L
$$

- Now we must also modify the constraint using

$$
\frac{d s}{d t}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{d x}{d t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)^{2}}
$$

to get

$$
\mathcal{G}[y]=\oint 1 d s=\oint \sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}} d t=L
$$

- Hence, we look for extremals of

$$
\mathcal{H}[x, y]=\oint\left(\frac{1}{2}(x \dot{y}-y \dot{x})+\lambda \sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}\right) d t
$$

- So, $H(t, x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y})=\frac{1}{2}(x \dot{y}-y \dot{x})+\lambda \sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}$, and there are two dependent variables, with derivatives

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{y} & \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}}=-\frac{1}{2} y+\frac{\lambda \dot{x}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}} \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{2} \dot{x} & \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{y}}=\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{\lambda \dot{y}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}}
\end{array}
$$

- Leading to the 2 Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[-\frac{1}{2} y+\frac{\lambda \dot{x}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}}\right] & =\frac{1}{2} \dot{y} \\
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{\lambda \dot{y}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}}\right] & =-\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Integrate

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2} y+\frac{\lambda \dot{x}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}} & =\frac{1}{2} y+A \\
\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{\lambda \dot{y}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}} & =-\frac{1}{2} x-B
\end{aligned}
$$

- After simplification we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda \dot{x}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}}=y+A \\
& \frac{\lambda \dot{y}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}}=-x-B
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now square the both equations, and add them to get

$$
\lambda^{2} \frac{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}{\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}}=(y+A)^{2}+(x+B)^{2}
$$

- Or, more simply

$$
(y+A)^{2}+(x+B)^{2}=\lambda^{2}
$$

the equation os a circle with center $(-B,-A)$ and radius $|\lambda|$.

## Remarks

- Note, we can't set value at end points arbitrarily.
- If $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x\left(t_{1}\right)$, and $y\left(t_{0}\right)=y\left(t_{1}\right)$, then we get a closed curve, obviously a circle.
- These conditions only amount to setting one constant, $\lambda$.
- On the other hand, if we specify different end-points, we are really solving a problem such as the simplified problem considered in Lecture 4.

