# PDE and Boundary-Value Problems Winter Term 2014/2015

Lecture 21

Saarland University

9. Februar 2015

© Daria Apushkinskaya (UdS)

PDE and BVP lecture 21

9. Februar 2015 1 / 29

#### Purpose of Lesson

- To explain the basic philosophy of Monte Carlo methods and suggest how they can be used to solve various problems.
- To show how random games (Monte Carlo methods) can be designed whose outcomes approximate solutions to differential equations. A specific game (tour du wino) is described whose outcome is the finite-difference approximation to a Dirichlet problem inside a square. The game is extended to include solutions to other problems as well.

## Monte Carlo Methods (an Introduction)

- The basic idea here is that games of chance can be played (generally on a computer) whose outcomes approximate solutions to real-word problems.
- First of all Monte Carlo methods are procedures for solving nonprobabilistic-type problems (problems whose outcome does not depend on chance) by probabilistic-type methods (methods whose outcome depends on chance).
- The general philosophy of Monte-Carlo methods is illustrated on the next page.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### **Probabilistic game**

The outcome of the game  $\hat{P}$ 

(Like the fraction of heads in tossing a coin, throwing darts, and so forth)

#### **Deterministic problem**

The answer to the problem is p

(Like evaluation an integral, solving a PDE, and so forth)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



## Evaluating an Integral

• To illustrate the method, suppose we wanted to evaluate the integral

$$I = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

(a nonprobabilistic problem).

- To use the Monte Carlo method, we would devise a game of chance whose outcome was the value of the integral (or approximates the integral).
- There are, of course, many games that we could devise; the actual game we used would depend on the accuracy of the approximation, simplicity of the game, and so on.

**E N 4 E N** 

A D b 4 B b 4

#### Evaluating an Integral

## Evaluating an Integral (cont.)

• An obvious game to evaluate the integral would be throwing darts at the rectangle

$$R = \{(x, y) : a \leqslant x \leqslant b, 0 \leqslant y \leqslant \max f(x)\}.$$

- It's fairly obvious that if we randomly toss 100 or so darts at the rectangle *R* enclosing the graph, then the fraction of darts hitting below the curve times the area of *R* will estimate the value of the integral.
- Hence, our outcome of the game

 $\widehat{I} = [$ fraction of tosses under  $f(x)] \times ($ area of R)

is used to estimate the true value of the integral *I*.

• • • • • • • • • •

## Evaluating an Integral (cont.)

- To carry out the actual computation on a computer, we would have to generate the sequence of random points in some way (we'll discuss this shortly) and have the computer play the dart tossing game.
- Let us assume for the time being that we have a sequence of random points. The flow diagram on the next page illustrates how the computer would attack this problem. (See flow diagram to evaluate  $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$  by the Monte Carlo method (100 tosses)).

• • • • • • • • •



## Random Numbers

- Before going on to apply this technique to the solution of PDEs, we discuss the important topic of random numbers.
- In the integral just considered, it was necessary to generate a sequence of random points  $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$  that fell inside rectangle R. In other words, the *x*-coordinate would have to be a random number in the interval [a, b], while  $y_i$  must be in [0, M].

## Random Numbers (cont.)

- To find random numbers inside specific intervals, we start with a basic sequence of random numbers r<sub>i</sub> (uniformly distributed) inside [0, 1].
- It's obvious then that if we want a random number x<sub>i</sub> inside [a, b], we just compute

$$x_i = a + (b - a)r_i$$

So everything comes down to the question, how do we generate a sequence of random numbers {*r<sub>i</sub>*, *i* = 1, 2, ...} uniformly distributed in [0, 1].

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Residue Algorithm for Generating Random Numbers

To generate a sequence of random integers (between 0 and P), we use the residue algorithm.

- Pick the first random integer any way you like between 0 and P (P) was picked in advance).
- Multiply this random integer by some fixed integer M (picked in advance).
- Add to that product another fixed integer K (picked in advance).
- Oivide the resulting sum by P and pick the remainder as the new random integer. Now go back to step 2 and repeat steps 2-4 until you have enough random integers.

## • This residue algorithm can be written as

$$r_{i+1} \equiv (Mr_1 + K) \mod P$$
  $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

which says, if we are given a random integer  $r_i$ , then to compute a new one  $r_{i+1}$ , we multiply by M, add K, divide by P, and pick the remainder.

#### Remarks

- If we choose, for example, P = 100 in our random-number generator, the remainders will be one of the integers 0, 1, 2, ..., 99, and, hence, our entire process will start repeating before long.
- In fact, our random numbers might be

15, 71, 43, 7, 43, 7, 43, 7, (Cycle of two numbers)

and, hence, our method is no good.

• The ideal situation is to generate the entire residue class {0, 1, 2, ..., 99} in a random fashion before starting to repeat.

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

## Remarks (cont.)

- It can be proven mathematically that if the numbers M, K, and P are chosen according to certain rules, then no matter how we pick the first random number  $r_0$ , the algorithm will generate the entire residue class.
- So, if we pick *P* very large (like 2<sup>40</sup>), we are assured that (for practical purposes) the process will never repeat.
- It is possible to generate random samples from various statistical distributions other than the uniform distribution f(x) = 1, 0 < x < 1 (the usual random-generator).</li>
- Computer programs are available to generate random samples from the binomial, gamma, normal, and many other distributions.

# Monte Carlo Solution of PDEs

We show how we can design a game to approximate solution of the Dirichlet problem:

### Problem 21-1

To find a function u(x, y) that satisfies

PDE: 
$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$$
,  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $0 < y < 1$ 

BC: 
$$u(x, y) = g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{On the top of the square} \\ 0, & \text{On the sides and} \\ & \text{bottom of the square} \end{cases}$$

To illustrate the Monte Carlo method in this problem, we introduce a game called tour du wino. To play it, we need a board on which grid lines are drown (see next page).

© Daria Apushkinskaya (UdS)

PDE and BVP lecture 21

9. Februar 2015 15 / 29



Board for tour du wino

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## How Tour du Wino is Played

- 1. The wino starts from an arbitrary point (point *A* in our case).
- 2. At each stage of the game, the wino staggers off randomly to one of the four neighboring points. (In our case, the neighbors of *A* are *B*, *C*, *D* and *E*, and the probability of going to each of these neighbors is 1/4.
- 3. After arriving at a neighboring point, the wino continues this process wandering from point to point until eventually hitting a boundary point  $p_j$ . He then stops, and we record that point  $p_i$ . This completes one random walk.

## How Tour du Wino is Played (cont.)

- 4. We repeat steps 1-3 until many random walks are completed. We now compute the fraction of times the wino had ended up at each of the boundary points  $p_i$ .
- 5. Suppose the wino receives a reward  $g_i$  ( $g_i$  is the value of the BC at  $p_i$ ) if he ends his walk at the boundary point  $p_i$ , and suppose that the goal of the game is to compute his average reward R(A) for all this walks. The average reward is

$$R(A) = g_1 P_A(p_1) + g_2 P_A(p_2) + \dots + g_{12} P_A(p_{12})$$

The game is completed with the determination of R(A).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### How Tour du Wino is Played

## Probability of Random Walk Ending at $p_i$

Boundary point pi	$P_A(p_i) =$ fraction of times	$g_i$ = reward for
	the wino ends at $p_i$	ending at <i>p</i> i
1	0.04	1
2	0.15	1
3	0.03	1
4	0.06	0
5	0.17	0
6	0.05	0
7	0.06	0
8	0.15	0
9	0.03	0
10	0.06	0
11	0.16	0
12	0.04	0
	< 🗆 )	- - <⊡ > < ≣ > < ≣ > = - ∽ Q
) Daria Apushkinskaya (UdS)	PDE and BVP lecture 21	9. Februar 2015 19 / 29

## Reason for Playing Tour du Wino

It turns out that the average reward is the approximate solution to our Dirichlet problem at Point *A*. This interesting observation is based on two facts.

- Suppose the wino started at a point *A* that was on the boundary of the square. Each resulting random walk ends immediately at that point, and the wino collects the amount  $g_i$ . Thus, his average reward for starting from a boundary point is also  $g_i$ .
- 2 Now suppose the wino starts from an interior point. Then, the average reward R(A) is clearly the average of the four average rewards of the four neighbors

$$R(A) = \frac{1}{4} [R(B) + R(C) + R(D) + R(E)]$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• We ask why the wino's average reward *R*(*A*) approximates the solution of the Dirichlet problem at *A*. We have seen that *R*(*A*) satisfies two equations

$$R(A) = \frac{1}{4} [R(B) + R(C) + R(D) + R(E)]$$
(*A* an interior point)  
$$R(A) = g_i$$
(*A* a boundary point)

 If we let g<sub>i</sub> be the value of the boundary function g(x, y) at the boundary point p<sub>i</sub>, then our two equations are exactly the two equations we arrived at when we solved the Dirichlet problem by the finite-difference method.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• That is, R(A) corresponds to  $u_{i,j}$  in the finite-difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i,j} &= \frac{1}{4} \left( u_{i-1,j} + u_{i+1,j} + u_{i,j-1} + u_{i,j+1} \right) \quad (i,j) \text{ an interior point} \\ u_{i,j} &= g_{i,j} \quad g_{i,j} \text{ the solution at a boundary point } (i,j) \end{aligned}$$

• Hence, R(A) will approximate the true solution of the PDE at A.

# Solution of Laplace's Equation by the Monte Carlo Method

These rules give the solution at one point inside the square.

- 1. Generate several random walks starting at some specific point *A* and ending once you hit a boundary point. Keep track of how many times you hit each boundary point.
- 2. After completing the walks, compute the fraction of times you have ended at each point  $p_i$ . Call these fractions  $P_A(p_i)$ .
- 3. Compute the approximate solution u(A) from the formula

$$u(A) = g_1 P_A(p_1) + g_2 P_A(p_2) + \cdots + g_N P_A(p_N)$$

where  $g_i$  is the value of the function at  $p_i$  and N is the number of boundary points.

3

# Solution to a Dirichlet Problem with Variable Coefficients

The game tour du wino can be modified to solve more complicated problems, as in the following example.

Problem 21-2

To find a function u(x, y) that satisfies

PDE: 
$$u_{xx} + (\sin x) u_{yy} = 0$$
,  $0 < x < \pi$ ,  $0 < y < \pi$ 

BC: u(x, y) = g(x, y)on the boundary of the square

∃ ► < ∃</p>

• To solve Problem 21-2, we replace  $u_{xx}$ ,  $u_{yy}$  and sin x by

$$u_{xx} = \left[ u_{i,j+1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1} \right] / h^2$$
  
$$u_{yy} = \left[ u_{i+1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i-1,j} \right] / k^2$$
  
$$\sin x = \sin x_j$$

and plug them into the PDE.

• Making these substitutions and solving for *u<sub>i,j</sub>* gives

$$u_{i,j} = \frac{u_{i,j+1} + u_{i,j-1} + \sin x_j \left( u_{i+1,j} + u_{i-1,j} \right)}{2(1 + \sin x_j)}$$
(21.1)

- Look carefully at (21.1). The coefficients of  $u_{i+1,j}$ ,  $u_{i-1,j}$ ,  $u_{i,j+1}$ , and  $u_{i,j-1}$  are positive and sum to one. In other words,  $u_{i,j}$  is a weighted average of the solutions at the four neighboring points.
- Hence, we modify our game so that the wino doesn't stagger off to each neighbor with probability 1/4, but, rather, with a probability equal to the coefficients of the respective term.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

In other words, if the wino is at the point (*i*, *j*), he then goes to the point:

$$(i, j + 1) \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2(1 + \sin x_J)}$$
$$(i, j - 1) \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2(1 + \sin x_J)}$$
$$(i + 1, j) \text{ with probability } \frac{\sin x_j}{2(1 + \sin x_J)}$$
$$(i - 1, j) \text{ with probability } \frac{\sin x_j}{2(1 + \sin x_J)}$$

• Other than this slight modification, the game is exactly the same as before.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Remarks

Observe that once the fractions P<sub>A</sub>(p<sub>i</sub>) (the fraction of times the wino ends at p<sub>i</sub>) are computed, we can then find the solution u(A) for any other boundary conditions g<sub>i</sub> just by plugging the P<sub>A</sub>(p<sub>i</sub>) into the formula

$$u(A) = g_1 P_A(p_1) + g_2 P_A(p_2) + \cdots + g_N P_A(p_N).$$

That is, we don't have to recompute new random walks.

 In many cases, a researcher wants to find the solution of a PDE at only one point. If the boundary is fairly complicated and if the PDE involves 3 or 4 dimensions, then Monte Carlo methods may come to rescue.

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

## Remarks (cont.)

 In fact, Monte Carlo methods were originally developed to study difficult neutron-diffusion problems that were impossible to solve analytically.