

außerdem das Ideal  $I(C) \subset \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  durch Polynome aus  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  erzeugt ist.  
 Eine der Menge  $C \subset A^n$  definiert über  $K$  heißt absolut irreduzibel, wenn  $J = I(C) \subset \bar{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  ein Primideal ist, das von Polynomen  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  erzeugt wird. In diesem Fall ist

$$(g_1, \dots, g_r) \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

ebenfalls ein Primideal, und  $\bar{K}[C] \cong \bar{K} \otimes_K K[C]$ .

Übung 33 Ist  $C \subset A^n$  definiert über  $K$  absolut irreduzibel

$$\text{trdeg}_K K(C) = \text{trdeg}_{\bar{K}} \bar{K}(C)$$

1.26 Def. Let  $A \subset A^n$  be an absolutely irreducible algebraic set defined over  $K$  and  $\bar{K}$  algebraically closed.  
 Then we call  $A$  an affine variety

$$\bar{K}(A) = \mathcal{Q}(\bar{K}[A])$$

is called the field of rational functions on  $A$ , and  $K(A) = \mathcal{Q}(K[A])$  is a subfield of  $\bar{K}(A)$  and is called the field of rational functions defined over  $K$ .

The Zariski topology is the subspace topology of  $A \subset A^n$  of the topological space  $A^n$  endowed with the Zariski-topology.

(In case  $\bar{K} = \mathbb{C}$  we also have the euclidean topology  $A^n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^n$ )

A quasi affine variety is an non empty Zariski open subset  $U \subset A$  in an affine variety  $A \subset A^n$

Exercise: The Zariski topology of  $A$  does not depend on the embedding  $A \subset A^n$ , i.e. choose generators  $z_1, \dots, z_r$  of the  $\bar{K}$ -algebra and the corresponding embedding  $A \hookrightarrow A^r$  gives the same topology.

Remark: (1) A quasi-affine variety can be isomorphic to an affine variety

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Example } U = A^1 \setminus \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & V(XY-1) \subset A^2 \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (a, \frac{1}{a}) \\ \bar{K}[U] = \bar{K}[x, x^{-1}] & \subset & \bar{K}(X) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow x^{-1} \\ K[x, y] & & Y \end{array}$$

(2) We will later see that

$A^2 - \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^2 - \mathbb{A}^1$  is a quasi-affine variety which is not isomorphic to an affine variety

1.30 Def. Let  $A \subset A^n$  be an affine variety. A rational map  $\phi: A \dashrightarrow A^m$  is given by an  $m$ -tuple  $(S_1, \dots, S_m)$  of elements  $S_i \in K(A)$

If  $S_i = \bar{g}_i / \bar{h}_i$ ,  $\bar{g}_i, \bar{h}_i \in K[A]$  and  $g_i, h_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  representatives then  $h_i \notin I(A)$

then  $\phi$  is a honest map on  $U = A - (UV(h_i))$

$$\phi: U \rightarrow A^m$$

$$a \mapsto (S_1(a), \dots, S_m(a)) = \left( \frac{g_1(a)}{h_1(a)}, \dots, \frac{g_m(a)}{h_m(a)} \right)$$

So  $\phi$  is only a map on a non empty Zariski open subset of  $A$ .

Since  $K[A]$  might not be factorial we can find diff. dec. of  $S_i$

$$\text{as fractions } S_i = \bar{g}_i / \bar{h}_i = \bar{g}'_i / \bar{h}'_i \Leftrightarrow \bar{g}_i \bar{h}'_i = \bar{g}'_i \bar{h}_i$$

and consequently  $U$  is not unique

$$U' = A - (UV(h'_i)). \text{ But } U \cap U' \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \phi|_{U \cap U'} = \phi|_{U \cap U'}$$

$$\phi|_{U'} \text{ coincide on } U \cap U'. \phi|_{U \cup U'} = \phi|_{U \cap U'}$$

so we can define  $\phi$  as a map on  $U \cup U'$ .

A rational map  $\phi: A \dashrightarrow B \subset A^n$ ,  $A$  absolutely irreducible is a rational map  $\phi: A \dashrightarrow A^n$  with  $\phi(a) \in B$  for any honest map  $\phi: U \rightarrow A^n$  where  $\phi$  is defined.

Exercise:  $\overline{\phi(U)} \subset B$ . The Zariski closure of  $\overline{\phi(U)} \subset A^n$  lies in an irreducible component of  $B$ .

$$\text{Example (1)} \quad A^1 \rightarrow V(x^2 + y^2 - 1) \subset A^2, t \mapsto \left( \frac{2t}{t^2+1}, \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1} \right)$$

is a rational map

(2) Let  $A, B, C$  be affine varieties and  $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\psi: B \rightarrow C$  be rational maps.

In general  $\psi \circ \phi$  is not defined. The problem is that for  $U \subset A$  open such that  $\phi: U \rightarrow B$  is defined and  $V \subset B$  open such that  $\psi: V \rightarrow C$  is defined we may have  $\phi(U) \subset B - V$

Def: A rational map  $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$  between affine varieties is called dominant if for  $U \subset A$  a domain of definition of  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi(U)$  is Zariski dense in  $B$ .

In that case for  $\Psi: B \rightarrow C$  and  $V \subset B$  a domain of definition of  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi = \Psi|_V$  we have  $\Phi(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $U' = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(U) \cap V) \subset A$  is Zariski open and  $\Phi|_{U'}$  can be composed with  $\Psi$

So if  $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$  dominant then  $\Psi \circ \Phi: A \rightarrow C$  is defined for every  $\Psi: B \rightarrow C$

Example:  $A \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  affine  $S \in \bar{k}(A)$ . Then  $S$  defines a rational map  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $a \mapsto S(a)$  and  $S$  is dominant unless  $S$  is constant,  $S \in \bar{k}$ .

Use the exercise

$S = \frac{p}{q}$ ,  $U = A - V(q)$ ,  $S: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is defined on  $U$ .

Likewise  $S \in k[A] \subset k(A)$  then  $S: A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  defines a morphism.

Given a dominant rational map  $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$  between varieties.  $A \xrightarrow{(S_1, \dots, S_m)} B \subset \mathbb{A}^m$

every  $g \in k(B)$  pulls back  $g = \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}}$ ,  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in k(B)$

$$\Phi^* g = g(S_1, \dots, S_m) = \frac{\bar{a}(S_1, \dots, S_m)}{\bar{b}(S_1, \dots, S_m)} \in k(A)$$

Since  $\bar{b}(S_1, \dots, S_m) \neq 0$  which holds because  $\Phi$  is dominant and  $\bar{b} \notin I(Y) \subset k[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$ ,  $\bar{b}$  is not zero and  $\Phi(U) \not\subset B \cap V(\bar{b}) \subsetneq B$ .

Thm: Let  $A, B$  be affine varieties.

There exists a bijection

$$\{ A \xrightarrow[\text{dominant}]{} B \} \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{field extension } \bar{k}(B) \hookrightarrow \bar{k}(A) \text{ of } \bar{k} \text{ algebras} \}$$

defined by  $\Phi \longmapsto g \mapsto \Phi^* g = g \circ \Phi$

Proof: Let  $i: \bar{k}(B) \rightarrow \bar{k}(A)$  a ring homomorphism and let  $i$  be a morphism of  $\bar{k}$ -algebras

unless this is the zero map.

It is not the zero map because  $\bar{k} \xrightarrow{i} \bar{k}$



$\text{Hom}(C[A], C[B]) = \{ \text{dominant rat. map } A \dashrightarrow B \}$

Proof: Let  $L = \bar{k}(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_m)$  be a finitely generated extension field.

Consider  $\bar{k}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m] \xrightarrow{f} L$  Then  $\mathcal{I}_m(f)$  is a subring of the field.

Hence a domain and  $\Gamma = \ker f \subset \bar{k}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$  is a prime ideal.

Then  $\mathcal{V} = V(\Gamma) \subset A^m$  is an affine variety with.

coordinate ring  $\bar{k}[\mathcal{V}] = \bar{k}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m] / \Gamma \cong \mathcal{I}_m(f)$

$\bar{k}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{I}_m(f)) = L$  because  $\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_m \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{I}_m(f))$

hence contains  $\bar{k}(\bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_m) = L$ .

Example: (Lüroth)

(1)  $\bar{k}(x) \supset L \supset \bar{k}(s)$   $s \in \bar{k}(x)$  not constant

$$A^1 \xrightarrow{s} A^1 \quad \bar{k}(s) = \bar{k}(x)$$

Lüroth:  $L \cong \bar{k}(g)$  for some  $g$  so with  $\bar{k}(x)$  and  $\bar{k}(s)$  purely transcendental ext.  $L$  is also transcend. ext.

$$A^n \xrightarrow{\text{dom}} A^n$$

$\bar{k}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset L \supset \bar{k}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  purely transcendental

Question: Is  $L$  also purely transcendental?

$L = \bar{k}(x)$  is  $X \cong A^1$  again?

Answer: True for  $n=1, 2$   
false for  $n \geq 3$ .