

**Example 261.** The most simplest case is when  $M = S = k[x_0, \dots, x_N]$ , and collecting all the degrees at once, we have a complex of graded  $S$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow F_{N+1} = \wedge^{N+1}V \otimes S(-N-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 = \wedge^1V \otimes S(-1) \rightarrow F_0 = S \rightarrow 0$$

which is exact at every  $F_i$  except for  $F_0$ . Indeed, it is a minimal graded  $S$ -free resolution of the quotient module  $\text{coker}[F_1 \rightarrow F_0] = S/(x_0, \dots, x_N) \simeq k$ .

**Definition 262.** The Koszul cohomology group  $K_{p,q}(M, V)$  is the cohomology of the above complex at  $\wedge^pV \otimes M_q$ .

**Proposition 263.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module, and let  $V = S_1$ . Then  $\dim K_{p,q}(M, V) = \beta_{p,p+q}(M)$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to the symmetry property of Tor functors, the Tor group  $\text{Tor}_p^S(M, k)$  can be computed from a free resolution of  $k$ . Since the Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^{N+1}V \otimes S(-N-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \wedge^2V \otimes S(-2) \rightarrow \wedge^1V \otimes S(-1) \rightarrow S \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

which provides a (minimal) free resolution of  $k$ . Hence, the  $p$ -th Tor group  $\text{Tor}_p^S(M, k)$  can be computed from the (co)homology at the  $p$ -th step. In particular,  $\dim_k \text{Tor}_p^S(M, k)_{p,q} = \dim K_{p,q}(M, V)$  since the Koszul complex for  $M$  is obtained by taking a tensor product  $(- \otimes_S M)$  to the Koszul complex for  $k$ . □

The Koszul cohomology groups can be easily defined in the geometric context as follows.

**Definition 264.** Let  $X$  be a projective variety, and let  $L$  be a globally generated line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $V = H^0(X, L)$ .

The *Koszul cohomology group*  $K_{p,q}(X, L)$  is the Koszul cohomology of the graded  $\text{Sym}(V)$ -module

$$R(L) = \bigoplus_q H^0(X, L^q).$$

Precisely, it is the cohomology at the middle of the complex

$$\wedge^{p+1}V \otimes H^0(X, L^{q-1}) \rightarrow \wedge^pV \otimes H^0(X, L^q) \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1}V \otimes H^0(X, L^{q+1}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$ . We define  $R(\mathcal{F}, L) := \bigoplus_q H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^q)$ , and the Koszul cohomology group  $K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{F}, L)$  be the cohomology of  $R(\mathcal{F}, L)$  at  $\wedge^pV \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes L^q)$ .

When  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$  induces an embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  so that  $X$  is projectively normal, then two rings  $S(X)$  and  $R(L) = \bigoplus_q H^0(X, L^q)$  coincides; in particular,  $K_{p,q}(S(X), H^0(L)) = K_{p,q}(X, L)$  as desired. In general, there is an inclusion of rings  $S(X) \hookrightarrow R(L)$ , whose difference is measured by the Hartshorne-Rao module  $\bigoplus_q H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_X(q))$ . In particular,  $X$  is  $q$ -normal if and only if the natural map  $\text{Sym}^q H^0(L) \rightarrow H^0(L^q)$  is surjective.

**Lemma 265.** *Let  $X$  be a projective variety, and let  $L$  be a very ample line bundle on  $X$ . Consider the embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  given by the complete linear series  $|L|$ . Then  $X$  is projective normal if and only if*

$$K_{0,q}(X, L) = 0$$

for every  $q \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The projective normality is equivalent to the surjectivity of the multiplication map  $H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^{q-1}) \rightarrow H^0(L^q)$  for every  $q \geq 1$ . By definition, the cokernel of this multiplication map coincides with the Koszul cohomology group  $K_{0,q}(X, L)$ .  $\square$

There are also technical merits to compute the syzygies by Koszul cohomology groups. We will observe just a few basic tools.

**Definition 266.** Let  $C$  be a curve, and  $L$  be a globally generated line bundle. The kernel  $\mathcal{M}_L$  of the evaluation map  $ev : H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L$  is called the *kernel bundle*. Note that the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{ev} L \rightarrow 0$$

is the pullback of the Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^N}^1(1) \rightarrow H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L)^\vee$  via the morphism defined by  $L$ .

**Example 267** (Castelnuovo base-point-free pencil trick). Let  $C$  be a curve, and let  $L$  be a globally generated line bundle such that the linear series  $\mathbb{P}V \subseteq |L|$  is a base-point-free  $\mathfrak{g}_d^1$  on  $C$ , where  $d = \deg L$ . Then  $L$  is globally generated by its two independent sections in  $V \subseteq H^0(C, L)$ . In particular, we have the following ladder of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^\vee & \longrightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_L & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The above diagram is very useful when we check the normality of  $C$  embedded by  $L$ , together with a certain twist by a power of  $L$ .

**Proposition 268.** *Let  $C, L$  be as above, and let  $V = H^0(C, L)$ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{p,q}(C, L) &\simeq \operatorname{coker}[\wedge^{p+1}V \otimes H^0(L^{q-1}) \rightarrow H^0(\wedge^p \mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^q)] \\ &\simeq \operatorname{ker}[H^1(\wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1}V \otimes H^1(L^{q-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{p,q}(C, \mathcal{F}, L) &\simeq \operatorname{coker}[\wedge^{p+1}V \otimes H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow H^0(\wedge^p \mathcal{M}_L \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes L^q)] \\ &\simeq \operatorname{ker}[H^1(\wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{M}_L \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1}V \otimes H^1(\mathcal{F} \otimes L^{q-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Topic 4 – Algebraic curves

*Proof.* Consider the sequence of vector bundles

$$\dots \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1}V \otimes L^{q-1} \rightarrow \wedge^pV \otimes L^q \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1}V \otimes L^{q+1} \rightarrow \dots .$$

Note that the Koszul cohomology group  $K_{p,q}(C, L)$  is the cohomology (at the middle) of the sequence obtained by taking global sections of the above sequence.

On the other hand, by definition, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the  $p$ -th exterior powers, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \wedge^pV \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L \rightarrow 0.$$

Twisting by  $L^q$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^q \rightarrow \wedge^pV \otimes L^q \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^{q+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, the first sequence factors through those vector bundles appearing in the left and right:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & 0 & & & 0 \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & \wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^q & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 \wedge^{p+1}V \otimes L^{q-1} & \longrightarrow & \wedge^pV \otimes L^q & \longrightarrow & \wedge^{p-1}V \otimes L^{q+1} \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & & \wedge^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^{q+1} & \\
 & & \nearrow & & \searrow \\
 & 0 & & & 0
 \end{array}$$

In particular, the kernel and the image of the Koszul differential

$$\delta : \wedge^pV \otimes H^0(L^q) \rightarrow \wedge^{p-1}V \otimes H^0(L^{q+1})$$

are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ker \delta &\simeq H^0(\wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^q), \\
 \operatorname{im} \delta &\simeq H^0(\wedge^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^{q+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the formula. □

**Proposition 269** (Green duality for curves). *Let  $C, L$  be as above, and let  $r = \dim |L| = h^0(L) - 1$ . Then  $K_{p,q}(C, L) \simeq K_{r-p-1, 2-q}(C, K_C, L)^\vee$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$K_{p,q}(C, L) \simeq \text{coker} [\wedge^{p+1}V \otimes H^0(L^{q-1}) \rightarrow H^0(\wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L \otimes L^q)]$$

which is dual to

$$\ker [H^1(K_C \otimes \wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L^\vee \otimes L^{-q}) \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1}V^\vee \otimes H^1(K_C \otimes L^{1-q})]$$

by the above formula and Serre duality. Since the rank of  $\mathcal{M}_L$  is  $r$  and  $\det \mathcal{M}_L \simeq L^{-1}$ , we have the following perfect pairing

$$\wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L \otimes \wedge^{r-p}\mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \wedge^r\mathcal{M}_L \simeq L^{-1}.$$

In particular,  $\wedge^{r-p}\mathcal{M}_L \simeq \wedge^p\mathcal{M}_L^\vee \otimes L^{-1}$ . Also note that  $\wedge^{p+1}V^\vee \simeq \wedge^{(r+1)-(p+1)}V$  by the same reason. Hence,

$$K_{p,q}(C, L)^\vee \simeq \ker [H^1(\wedge^{r-p}\mathcal{M}_L \otimes K_C \otimes L^{1-q}) \rightarrow \wedge^{r-p}V \otimes H^1(K_C \otimes L^{1-q})]$$

which is an expression of the Koszul cohomology group  $K_{r-p-1,2-q}(C, K_C, L)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 270.** *Let  $C$  be a nonhyperelliptic curve. Then  $K_{p,q}(C, K_C) \simeq K_{g-p-2,3-q}(C, K_C)^\vee$ . In particular, the Betti table of a canonical curve is symmetric.*

*Proof.* Since  $K_C$  is very ample, and hence  $K_{p,q}(C, K_C) \simeq K_{g-p-2,2-q}(C, K_C, K_C)^\vee = K_{g-p-2,3-1}(C, K_C)^\vee$ .  $\square$

**Example 271.** Let  $C$  be a nonhyperelliptic curve of genus  $g = 3$ . Then  $|K_C|$  embeds  $C$  as a degree  $2g - 2 = 4$  curve in  $\mathbb{P}^{g-1} = \mathbb{P}^2$ . Hence, the Betti table of the canonical curve will be

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & - \\ \hline - & - \\ \hline - & - \\ \hline - & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Let  $C$  be a nonhyperelliptic curve of genus  $g = 4$ . Then  $|K_C|$  embeds  $C$  as a degree 6 curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , and the image is projectively normal. We already observed that  $C$  is a complete intersection of these quadric and cubic hypersurfaces, and hence, the Betti table of the canonical curve will be

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & - & - \\ \hline - & 1 & - \\ \hline - & 1 & - \\ \hline - & - & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

When  $C$  is a nonhyperelliptic curve of genus  $g = 5$ , there are two possibilities for the Betti table of the canonical curve: either

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & - & - & - \\ \hline - & 3 & - & - \\ \hline - & - & 3 & - \\ \hline - & - & - & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Topic 4 – Algebraic curves

, or

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & - & - & - \\ - & 3 & 2 & - \\ - & 2 & 3 & - \\ - & - & - & 1 \end{array}$$

which depends on the existence of  $\mathfrak{g}_3^1$  on  $C$ .

**Definition 272.** A very ample line bundle  $L$  on a smooth projective variety  $X$  satisfies the *property*  $(N_p)$  if  $|L|$  embeds  $X$  into a projective space projectively normally, and certain Koszul cohomology groups vanish:

$$K_{i,j}(X, L) = 0 \text{ for all } i \leq p, j \geq 2.$$

**Example 273.** In the above cases of canonical curves, all of them satisfies the property  $(N_0)$ . When  $C$  is the canonical curve of a nonhyperelliptic curve of genus 5 which is not trigonal, it also satisfies the property  $(N_1)$ . In other words,

$(N_0)$	the embedded variety is projectively normal;
$(N_1)$	the variety is projectively normal and defined by quadric equations;
$(N_2)$	the property $(N_1)$ and the syzygies are generated by linear relations;
$(N_3)$	$(N_2)$ and the further relations among the syzygies are generated by linear relations;
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$(N_p)$	$(N_1)$ and the minimal free resolution is linear between the 1st and the $p$ -th term.

## 5 Curves of high degree

Let  $C$  be a curve of genus  $g$ . We learned that any line bundle of degree at least  $2g + 1$  is very ample. What happens if we take a line bundle of degree higher than  $2g + 1$ ? The image of such a curve embedded by the complete linear series is called a *curve of high degree*.

First of all, to make sure that the syzygy of a curve of high degree can be read off from the Koszul cohomology groups  $K_{p,q}(C, L)$  where  $L = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ , we need the projective normality.

**Proposition 274.** *Let  $C$  be a curve of high degree in  $\mathbb{P}^r$ , where  $r = \deg(C) - g$ . Then it is projectively normal, in other words, the natural inclusion*

$$S_C \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_n H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(n))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

The statement claims that  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  is projectively normal. We already saw that the projective normality is equivalent to the vanishing of Koszul cohomology groups

$$K_{0,q}(C, L) = 0$$

for every  $q \geq 1$ . When  $q = 1$ , it is trivial, which is obtained from taking the global sections of the evaluation map  $H^0(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L$ . For  $q \geq 2$ , the following theorem by Green will imply the above proposition. We need a small lemma first:

**Lemma 275.** *Let  $C$  be a curve of genus  $g$ . Then,*

- (1) *a general line bundle  $L$  on  $C$  of degree  $\geq g - 1$  is nonspecial, that is,  $h^1(L) = 0$ ;*
- (2) *a general line bundle  $L$  on  $C$  of degree  $\geq g + 1$  is base-point-free.*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $C$  of degree  $g - 1$ . By Riemann-Roch, the assumption  $h^1(L) = 0$  is equivalent to  $h^0(L) = 0$ . Suppose not, namely,  $H^0(L) \neq 0$ . Then there is an effective divisor contained in  $|L|$ , and hence,  $L$  is in the image of the following map

$$\begin{aligned} C^{g-1} &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \\ (P_1, \dots, P_{g-1}) &\mapsto \mathcal{O}_C(P_1 + \dots + P_{g-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the image can have at most dimension  $(g - 1)$ , it cannot fill the  $g$ -dimensional space  $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ . We conclude that  $h^0(L) = h^1(L) = 0$  for a general  $L \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ . When  $L$  is a general line bundle of degree  $d \geq g - 1$ , choose  $(d - g - 1)$  general points  $P_1, \dots, P_{d-g-1} \in C$ . Then the line bundle  $L(-P_1 \cdots - P_{d-g-1})$  is a general line bundle of degree  $g - 1$ , which is nonspecial; in particular,  $L$  itself must be nonspecial. Now let  $L$  be a line bundle of degree  $d \geq g + 1$ . Consider the incidence set of nonspecial line bundles of degree  $d$  with base points:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} = \{(L, P) \mid h^0(L) = h^0(L - P) \text{ and } h^1(L) = 0\} & & \\ \swarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\ \text{Pic}^d(C) & & C \end{array}$$

It is enough to show that  $\dim \mathcal{U} < g = \dim \text{Pic}^d(C)$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{d-1}(C)$  be the morphism sending  $(L, P)$  to  $L(-P)$ . Note that a fiber  $\pi^{-1}(L')$  of  $\pi$  over a line bundle  $L' \in \text{Pic}^{d-1}(C)$  is contained in the set

$$\{(L'(P), P) \mid P \in C\} \simeq C$$

which is parametrized by  $C$ . In particular,  $\dim \pi^{-1}(L')$  is at most 1 for any  $L' \in \text{Pic}^{d-1}(C)$ . Also note that if  $(L, P) \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $h^0(L(-P)) = h^0(L) = 1 - g + d$  and  $h^1(L(-P)) = 1$  by Riemann-Roch. In particular,  $L(-P)$  is a special line bundle of degree  $d - 1$ . On the other hand, via the Serre dual map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}^{d-1}(C) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^{2g-2-(d-1)}(C) \\ L' &\mapsto \omega_C \otimes (L')^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

the locus of special line bundles  $\{L' \in \text{Pic}^{d-1}(C) \mid h^1(L') > 0\}$  is isomorphic to the locus  $\{L'' \in \text{Pic}^{2g-2-(d-1)}(C) \mid h^0(L'') > 0\}$ , which is the image of  $C^{2g-2-(d-1)} \rightarrow$

Topic 4 – Algebraic curves

$\text{Pic}^{2g-2-(d-1)}$  as above. Hence, the locus has dimension at most  $2g-2-(d-1) \leq g-2$ . We conclude that

$$\dim \mathcal{U} \leq (g-2) + \dim \pi^{-1}(L') \leq g-1$$

which is strictly smaller than  $\dim \text{Pic}^d(C)$ . □

**Theorem 276** (Green). *Let  $C$  be a smooth curve of genus  $g$ , and let  $L$  be a very ample line bundle of degree  $d$ . Then:*

- (1)  $K_{p,q}(C, L) = 0$  for  $q \geq 3$  if  $H^1(L) = 0$ .
- (2)  $K_{p,2}(C, L) = 0$  if  $d \geq 2g+1+p$ , that is, a high degree curve of degree  $d \geq 2g+1+p$ ,  $p \geq 0$  satisfies the property  $(N_p)$ .

*Proof.* By Green's duality, we have  $K_{p,q}(C, L)^\vee \simeq K_{r-1-p,2-q}(C, K_C, L)$  where  $r = h^0(C, L) - 1$ . If  $h^1(L) = 0$ , we have  $h^0(K_C - L) = 0$  by Serre duality, and in particular,  $h^0(K_C + (2-q)L) = 0$  for any  $q \geq 3$ . The term  $\wedge^{r-1-p} V \otimes H^0(K_C + (2-q)L) = 0$ , and hence the cohomology group  $K_{r-1-p,2-q}(C, K_C, L)$  also vanishes. Now consider the case  $q = 2$  and  $d = \deg L \geq 2g+1+p$  with  $p \geq 0$ . Since  $H^1(L) = 0$ , we have  $K_{p,2}(C, L) \simeq H^1(C, \wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{M}_L \otimes L)$ . By Serre duality, the vanishing of  $K_{p,2}(C, L)$  is equivalent to show that

$$H^0(C, \wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{M}_L^\vee \otimes \omega_C \otimes L^\vee) = 0.$$

Let  $P \in C$  be a (general) point. Since  $L - P$  is still base-point-free, one has the following ladder with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{L(-P)} & \longrightarrow & H^0(L(-P)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & L(-P) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_L & \longrightarrow & H^0(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_P = \mathcal{O}_C(-P) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_P \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

This process can be repeated: choose general points  $P_1, \dots, P_{r-1} \in C$ , where  $r = h^0(L) - 1 = d - g$ . By induction on  $r$ , one has the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{L(-P_1-\dots-P_{r-1})} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_C(-P_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the line bundle  $L(-P_1 - \cdots - P_{r-1})$  is a base-point-free pencil, since  $h^0(L(-P_1 - \cdots - P_{r-1})) = h^0(L) - (r-1) = 2$ . Therefore, Castelnuovo pencil trick gives an isomorphism  $M_{L(-P_1 - \cdots - P_{r-1})} \simeq L^\vee(P_1 + \cdots + P_{r-1})$ . Taking the dual of the above short exact sequence, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_C(P_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_L^\vee \rightarrow L(-P_1 - \cdots - P_{r-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking its  $(p+1)$ -th exterior power, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_C(P_i) \right) \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{M}_L^\vee \rightarrow \wedge^p \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_C(P_i) \right) \otimes L(-P_1 - \cdots - P_{r-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Twisting by  $\omega_C \otimes L^\vee$ , we see that the terms on the left is a direct sum of line bundles of degree  $(p+1) + (2g-2) - d = 2g+p-1-d < 0$ , hence have no global sections. The terms on the right is a direct sum of line bundles of the form  $\omega_C(-P_{i_1} - \cdots - P_{i_{r-p-1}})$ , subtracting  $(r-p-1)$  points among general points  $P_1, \dots, P_{r-1} \in C$ . It has no global section since the rank of the zeroth cohomology group drops by 1 when we subtract a general point, and  $r-p-1 = d-g-p-1 \geq g$  in our case. In particular,  $K_{p,2}(C, L) \simeq H^0(C, \wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{M}_L^\vee \otimes \omega_C \otimes L^\vee)^\vee = 0$ .  $\square$

Hence, the Betti table of a curve of high degree  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^r$  of degree  $d = 2g+1+p$  has the following shape:

	0	1	2	$\cdots$	$p-1$	$p$	$p+1$	$\cdots$	$r-1$
0	$\kappa_{0,0} = 1$	—	—	$\cdots$	—	—	—	$\cdots$	—
1	—	$\kappa_{1,1}$	$\kappa_{2,1}$	$\cdots$	$\kappa_{p-1,1}$	$\kappa_{p,1}$	$\kappa_{p+1,1}$	$\cdots$	$\kappa_{r-1,1}$
2	—	—	—	$\cdots$	—	—	$\kappa_{p+1,2}$	$\cdots$	$\kappa_{r-1,2}$

where  $\kappa_{p,q} = \dim K_{p,q}(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) = \beta_{p,p+q}(C)$ . The horizontal strip  $(\kappa_{1,1} \ \kappa_{2,1} \ \cdots \ \kappa_{r,1})$  is called the *quadratic strand*, since it denotes the quadric generators and their linear relations. The next strip  $(\kappa_{1,2} \ \kappa_{2,2} \ \cdots \ \kappa_{r,2})$  is called the *cubic strand*. It is composed of the cubic generators and their linear relations, and quadratic relations among the terms lie on the quadratic strand. Green's  $(2g+p+1)$ -theorem says that the first  $p$ -terms in the quadratic strand of a curve of degree  $\geq 2g+p+1$  becomes zero.