## UNIVERSITÄT DES SAARLANDES FACHRICHTUNG 6.1 – MATHEMATIK Prof. Dr. Roland Speicher M.Sc. Felix Leid



Assignments for the lecture on Free Probability Winter term 2018/19

## Assignment 7

Hand in on Wednesday, 12.12.18, before the lecture.

Exercise 1 (10 points).

1) Show that the Catalan numbers are exponentially bounded by

 $C_n \leq 4^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

2) Show that we have for the Möbius function on NC that

$$|\mu(0_n,\pi)| \le 4^n \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and all } \pi \in NC(n).$$

[Hint: use the multiplicativity of the Möbius function and the known value of the Möbius function  $\mu(0_n, 1_n) = (-1)^{n-1} C_{n-1}$ .]

**Exercise 2** (10 points + 5 points\*).

The complementation map  $K : NC(n) \to NC(n)$  is defined as follows: We consider additional numbers  $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}$  and interlace them with  $1, \ldots, n$  in the following alternating way:

 $1\,\bar{1}\,2\,\bar{2}\,3\,\bar{3}\,\ldots n\,\bar{n}.$ 

Let  $\pi \in NC(n)$  be a non-crossing partition of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then its *Kreweras complement*  $K(\pi) \in NC(\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}) \cong NC(n)$  is defined to be the biggest element among those  $\sigma \in NC(\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots, \bar{n})$  which have the property that  $\pi \cup \sigma \in NC(1, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{2}, \ldots, n, \bar{n})$ .

- (i) Show that  $K : NC(n) \to NC(n)$  is a bijection and a lattice anti-isomorphism, i.e.,  $\pi \leq \sigma$  implies  $K(\pi) \geq K(\sigma)$ .
- (ii) As a consequence from (1) we have that

$$\mu(\pi, 1_n) = \mu(K(0_n), K(\pi)) \quad \text{for } \pi \in NC(n).$$

Show from this that we have

$$|\mu(\pi, 1_n)| \le 4^n$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\pi \in NC(n)$ .

 $(iii)^*$  Do we also have in general the estimate

 $|\mu(\sigma,\pi)| \le 4^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\sigma, \pi \in NC(n)$  with  $\sigma \le \pi$ ?

(iv) Show that we have for all  $\pi \in NC(n)$  that

$$\#\pi + \#K(\pi) = n + 1.$$

## Exercise 3 (10 points).

In the full Fock space setting of Exercise 3 from assignment 5 consider the operators  $l_1 := l(u_1)$  and  $l_2 := l(u_2)$  for two orthogonal unit vectors; according to part (ii) of that exercise  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are \*-free. Now we consider the two operators

$$a_1 := l_1^* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} l_1^n$$
 and  $a_2 := l_2^* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} l_2^n$ ,

for some sequences  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex numbers. (In order to avoid questions what we mean with this infinite sums in general, let us assume that the  $\alpha_n$  and the  $\beta_n$  are such that the sum converges; for example, we could assume that  $|\alpha_n|, |\beta_n| \leq r^n$  for some 0 < r < 1. By the \*-freeness of  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  we know that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are free. Prove now that  $a_1 + a_2$  has the same moments as

$$a := l_1^* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1}) l_1^n$$

## Exercise 4 (10 points).

Let l be the creation operator from Exercise 3, Assignment 3 (or l(v) from Exercise 3, Assignment 5) in the \*-probability space  $(B(\mathcal{H}), \varphi)$ .

(i) Show that the only non-vanishing \*-cumulants of l (i.e., all cumulants where the arguments are any mixture of l and  $l^*$ ) are the second order cumulants, and for those we have:

$$\kappa_2(l,l) = \kappa_2(l^*,l^*) = \kappa_2(l,l^*) = 0, \qquad \kappa_2(l^*,l) = 1.$$

(ii) For a sequence  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of complex numbers we consider as in the previous exercise the operator

$$a := l^* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} l^n.$$

Show that the free cumulants of a are given by

$$\kappa_n^a = \kappa_n(a, a, \dots, a) = \alpha_n.$$

(iii) Combine this with the previous exercise to show that the free cumulants are additive for free variables; i.e., for the  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  from the previous exercise we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\kappa_n^{a_1+a_2} = \kappa_n^{a_1} + \kappa_n^{a_2}.$$

[This is of course a consequence of the vanishing of mixed cumulants; however, the present proof avoids the use of the general cumulants functionals and was Voiculescu's original approach to the additivity of the free cumulants.]