

Exercise 1:

According to Definition 1.1, we have to show that

- (i)  $D_V$  is selfadjoint;
- (ii)  $D_V$  has compact resolvent;
- (iii)  $\forall a \in A$ :
  - $\pi(a) \text{ dom } D_V \subseteq \text{dom } D_V$  and
  - $[D_V, \pi(a)]$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(i) This is a general fact:

$$T: \mathcal{H} \ni \text{dom } T \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, S \in B(\mathcal{H}) \implies (T+S)^* = T^* + S^*$$

In particular, if  $T, S$  are selfadjoint, then  $T+S$  is also selfadjoint.

Proof: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } (T+S)^* &= \left\{ y \in \mathcal{H} \mid x \mapsto \underbrace{\langle (T+S)x, y \rangle}_{\text{Bounded}} \right\} \\ &= \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Sx, y \rangle \\ &= \left\{ y \in \mathcal{H} \mid x \mapsto \langle Tx, y \rangle \text{ Bounded} \right\} \\ &= \text{dom } T^*, \end{aligned}$$

since  $x \mapsto \langle Sx, y \rangle$  is bounded for every  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \text{dom } T, \forall y \in \text{dom } T^*: \quad \langle (T+S)x, y \rangle &= \langle x, (T+S)^* y \rangle \\ &= \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Sx, y \rangle = \langle x, (T^* + S^*) y \rangle \\ \implies (T+S)^* &= T^* + S^*. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Take any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{D}_V)$  and choose  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ . 1B-2

Put  $\lambda_2 := \lambda - \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{D}_V - \lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} - (\mathcal{D} - \lambda_1 \mathbb{1})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathcal{D} - \lambda_1 \mathbb{1})^{-1} \underbrace{\left( (\mathcal{D} - \lambda_1 \mathbb{1}) - (\mathcal{D}_V - \lambda \mathbb{1}) \right)}_{= - (V - \lambda_2 \mathbb{1})} (\mathcal{D}_V - \lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_V - \lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} = \underbrace{(\mathcal{D} - \lambda_1 \mathbb{1})^{-1}}_{\text{compact}} \underbrace{\left( \mathbb{1} - (V - \lambda_2 \mathbb{1})(\mathcal{D}_V - \lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1} \right)}_{\text{bounded}},$$

~ which yields that  $(\mathcal{D}_V - \lambda \mathbb{1})^{-1}$  is compact.

(Recall that  $K(\mathcal{H})$  is a two-sided ideal in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .)

(iii)  $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}$ : •  $\pi(a) \text{ dom } \mathcal{D}_V \subseteq \text{dom } \mathcal{D}_V$  as  $\text{dom } \mathcal{D}_V = \text{dom } \mathcal{D}$

$$\bullet [\mathcal{D}_V, \pi(a)] = [\mathcal{D}, \pi(a)] + \underbrace{[V, \pi(a)]}_{\in B(\mathcal{H})}$$

~ extends to a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Exercise 2:

(i) Claim:  $\partial_j|_{x_0}$ ,  $j=1, \dots, n$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent.

Proof: Suppose that we have  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\alpha_1 \partial_1|_{x_0} + \dots + \alpha_n \partial_n|_{x_0} = 0 \quad \text{in } T_{x_0} \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, for each  $[f]_{x_0} \in C_{x_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \partial_j|_{x_0}([f]_{x_0}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_0).$$

For  $i=1, \dots, n$ , we apply this to  $[f_i]_{x_0}$  with

$$f_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_i - x_{0,i}$$

which gives

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) = \alpha_i,$$

as desired. □

Claim:  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\partial_j|_{x_0} \mid j=1, \dots, n\} = T_{x_0} \mathbb{R}^n$

Proof: Take any  $S \in T_{x_0} \mathbb{R}^n$ . For every open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x_0 \in U$  and each smooth function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we find by Taylor's theorem on every open ball  $B_r(x_0) \subseteq U$  with  $r > 0$  a smooth function  $\Psi: B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle + \psi(x) \quad \text{1B-4}$$

for all  $x \in B_r(x_0)$  and

$$\frac{\psi(x)}{|x - x_0|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow x_0.$$

In fact, one can write for all  $x \in B_r(x_0)$

$$\psi(x) = \langle g(x), x - x_0 \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x) f_j(x)$$

where  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n): B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$g_j(x) := \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_0 + s(x - x_0)) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \right] ds$$

and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are the functions defined in (i).

Note that  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are smooth with  $g_j(x_0) = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([ \psi ]_{x_0}) &= \delta \left( \sum_{j=1}^n [g_j]_{x_0} [f_j]_{x_0} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \delta([g_j]_{x_0}) \underbrace{f_j(x_0)}_{=0} + \underbrace{g_j(x_0)}_{=0} \delta([f_j]_{x_0}) \right) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus (since  $\delta([1]_{x_0}) = 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([f]_{x_0}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{\delta([f_j]_{x_0})}_{=: \alpha_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \partial_j|_{x_0}([f]_{x_0}) \end{aligned}$$

□

(ii) Note that we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Phi}_{i,x_0} : C_{x_0}^\infty(\mathcal{M}) &\longrightarrow C_{\varphi_i(x_0)}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ [f]_{x_0} &\longmapsto [f \circ \varphi_i^{-1}]_{\varphi_i(x_0)} \end{aligned}$$

and thus an isomorphism

$$\hat{\underline{\Phi}}_{i,x_0} : T_{\varphi_i(x_0)} \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow T_{x_0} \mathcal{M}, \quad \delta \longmapsto \delta \circ \underline{\Phi}_{i,x_0}.$$

Take the isomorphism from (i), i.e.,

$$\underline{\Psi}_{\varphi_i(x_0)} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow T_{\varphi_i(x_0)} \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (v_1, \dots, v_n) \longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \partial_j|_{\varphi_i(x_0)}.$$

This yields an isomorphism

$$\Theta_{i,x_0} := \hat{\underline{\Phi}}_{i,x_0} \circ \underline{\Psi}_{\varphi_i(x_0)} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow T_{x_0} \mathcal{M},$$

which looks at  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $[f]_{x_0} \in C_{x_0}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i,x_0}(v)([f]_{x_0}) &= \hat{\underline{\Phi}}_{i,x_0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \partial_j|_{\varphi_i(x_0)} \right) ([f]_{x_0}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \partial_j|_{\varphi_i(x_0)} \right) (\underline{\Phi}_{i,x_0}([f]_{x_0})) \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \partial_j|_{\varphi_i(x_0)} \right) ([f \circ \varphi_i^{-1}]_{\varphi_i(x_0)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n v_j (\partial_j(f \circ \varphi_i^{-1}))(\varphi_i(x_0)), \end{aligned}$$

which is the given expression.

What are the transition matrices of the tangent bundle?

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (x, \delta) \in \pi^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \ni (x, \delta) & \\
 \swarrow & \tau_i & \searrow \\
 & & \\
 (x, \Theta_{i,x}^{-1}(\delta)) \in (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, \Theta_{j,x}^{-1}(\delta)) \\
 (x, v) & \longmapsto & \underbrace{\left( x, (\Theta_{j,x}^{-1}(\Theta_{i,x}(v))) \right)}_{= S_{ij}(x)v}
 \end{array}$$

We compute by using the chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_{i,x}(v)([f]_x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n v_k (\partial_k (f \circ \varphi_i^{-1}))(\varphi_i(x)) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \underbrace{(\partial_k ((f \circ \varphi_j^{-1}) \circ \psi_{ij}))}_{= \varphi_j(x)}(\varphi_i(x)) \\
 &= \sum_{e=1}^n (\partial_e (f \circ \varphi_j^{-1}))(\underbrace{\psi_{ij}(\varphi_i(x))}_{= \varphi_j(x)}) \cdot (\partial_k \psi_{ij}^e)(\varphi_i(x)) \\
 &= \sum_{e=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n v_k (\partial_k \psi_{ij}^e)(\varphi_i(x)) \right) (\partial_e (f \circ \varphi_j^{-1}))(\varphi_j(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_{j,x}^{-1}(\Theta_{i,x}(v)) &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^n v_k (\partial_k \psi_{ij}^e)(\varphi_i(x)) \right)_{e=1}^n \\
 &= \left( (\partial_k \psi_{ij}^e)(\varphi_i(x)) \right)_{e,k=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= (J \psi_{ij})(\varphi_i(x)) v, \text{ i.e. } S_{ij}(x) = (J \psi_{ij})(\varphi_i(x))
 \end{aligned}$$