

Exercin 1:

(i) " \leq ": For any decomposition $T = R + S$ with $R \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ and $S \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, we get from Exercin 5A-2(i) that

$$\sigma_N(T) = \sigma_N(R+S) \leq \sigma_N(R) + \sigma_N(S).$$

Since

- $\mu_n(S) \leq \mu_0(S) = \|S\| \quad \forall n \geq 0$
- $\sigma_N(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mu_n(|R|) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n(|R|) = \text{Tr}(|R|) = \|R\|_1$

we get that

$$\sigma_N(T) \leq \|R\|_1 + N \|S\|$$

" \geq ": Let P_N be the orthogonal projection onto $\text{span} \{ \zeta_n \mid 0 \leq n \leq N-1 \}$, where $(\zeta_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are the eigenvalues of $|T|$ associated to $(\mu_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Put • $R := (|T| - \mu_N(T)) P_N \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$,

• $S := \mu_N(T) P_N + |T|(1 - P_N) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.

Then $|T| = R + S$, where $\|S\| = \mu_N(T)$ and

$$\|R\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\mu_n(T) - \mu_N(T)) = \sigma_N(T) - N \mu_N(T).$$

Thus,

$$\|R\|_1 + N\|S\| = (\sigma_N(T) - N\mu_N(T)) + N\mu_N(T) = \sigma_N(T).$$

(ii) Claim: $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1)$: $\sigma_\lambda(T) = \lambda \|T\|$.

Proof: " \leq ": Take $(R, S) = (0, T)$; this gives

$$\sigma_\lambda(T) \leq \|R\|_1 + \lambda \|S\| = \lambda \|T\|.$$

" \geq ": For any decomposition $T = R + S$,
we have (since $\|R\|_1 \geq \mu_0(R) = \|R\|$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|R\|_1 + \lambda \|S\| &\geq \|R\| + \lambda \|S\| \\ &\geq \lambda (\|R\| + \|S\|) \\ &\geq \lambda \|R + S\| = \lambda \|T\|; \end{aligned}$$

thus $\sigma_\lambda(T) \geq \lambda \|T\|$. □

Claim: $\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \forall \lambda \in [0, 1)$:

$$\sigma_{N+\lambda}(T) = (1-\lambda)\sigma_N(T) + \lambda\sigma_{N+1}(T).$$

Proof: " \leq ": Take (R, S) like in Exercise 1(i); then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{N+\lambda}(T) &\leq \|R\|_1 + (N+\lambda)\|S\| \\ &\leq (\sigma_N(T) - N\mu_N(T)) + (N+\lambda)\mu_N(T) \\ &= \sigma_N(T) + \lambda\mu_N(T) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (1-\lambda)\sigma_N(T) + \lambda(\sigma_N(T) + \mu_N(T)) \quad \boxed{5B-3}$$

$$= (1-\lambda)\sigma_N(T) + \lambda\sigma_{N+1}(T)$$

" \geq ": For each decomposition $T = R + S$, we have

$$\|R\|_1 + (N+\lambda)\|S\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (1-\lambda)\underbrace{(\|R\|_1 + N\|S\|)}_{\geq \sigma_N(T)} + \lambda\underbrace{(\|R\|_1 + (N+\lambda)\|S\|)}_{\geq \sigma_{N+1}(T)} \\ &\quad \left(\begin{array}{l} (1-\lambda)N + \lambda(N+\lambda) \\ = N + \lambda \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq (1-\lambda)\sigma_N(T) + \lambda\sigma_{N+1}(T)$$

□

Exercise 2:

(i) Claim: $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_2} + \Gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{D}_2$ with

$$\text{dom } \mathcal{D} := \text{dom } \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \text{dom } \mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_2$$

is closable and essentially selfadjoint.

(In the following, we thus identify \mathcal{D} with its closure.)

Step 1: diagonalization of \mathcal{D}

- Since $(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$ is a spectral triple, $i=1,2$, we find an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_i of the form

$$\left\{ \zeta_{\lambda, m}^i \mid \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{D}_i) \setminus \{0\}, m=1, \dots, M_\lambda^i \right\} \cup \left\{ \delta_n^i \mid n=1, \dots, N \right\}$$

where M_λ^i is the (finite) multiplicity of each eigenvalue

$\lambda \in \sigma(D_i) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\{\gamma_n^i \mid n=1, \dots, N^i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ker D_i$; note that $N^i = \dim \ker D_i$ is finite. (Recall that D_i has pure point spectrum and the only limit point of $\{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \in \sigma(D_i)\}$ is ∞ .)

We have that

$$D_i \gamma_{\lambda, m}^i = \lambda \gamma_{\lambda, m}^i \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma(D_i) \setminus \{0\}, m=1, \dots, M_\lambda^i,$$

$$D_i \gamma_n^i = 0 \quad \text{for } n=1, \dots, N^i.$$

• Since $(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, D_1)$ is even with grading Γ_1 , we have that for each $\lambda \in \sigma(D_1) \setminus \{0\}$ also $-\lambda \in \sigma(D_1) \setminus \{0\}$.

Indeed, if $\lambda \in \sigma(D_1) \setminus \{0\}$ with eigenvector $0 \neq \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then

$$D_1(\Gamma_1 \zeta) = -\Gamma_1 D_1 \zeta = -\Gamma_1(\lambda \zeta) = -\lambda \Gamma_1 \zeta,$$

i.e., $-\lambda \in \sigma(D_1) \setminus \{0\}$ with eigenvector $\Gamma_1 \zeta$ (which is non-zero as Γ_1 is invertible). Thus, $M_\lambda^1 = M_{-\lambda}^1$

and we may arrange the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_1 such that $\Gamma_1 \gamma_{\lambda, m}^1 = \gamma_{-\lambda, m}^1$.

Furthermore, Γ_1 maps $\ker D_1$ to itself; indeed, for $\gamma \in \ker D_1$, we have that $D_1(\Gamma_1 \gamma) = -\Gamma_1(D_1 \gamma) = 0$. Thus,

we may suppose that $\{\gamma_n^1 \mid n=1, \dots, N^1\}$ consists of eigenvectors of Γ_1 , say $\Gamma_1 \gamma_n^1 = \mu_n \gamma_n^1, \mu_n \in \{\pm 1\}$.

• Consider $\{\gamma_{\lambda, m}^1 \otimes \gamma_n^2 \mid \lambda \in \sigma(D_1) \setminus \{0\}, m=1, \dots, M_\lambda^1, n=1, \dots, N^2\}$.

This forms an orthonormal system of eigenvectors of \mathcal{D} with $\mathcal{D}(\zeta_{\lambda, m}^1 \otimes \gamma_n^2) = \lambda \zeta_{\lambda, m}^1 \otimes \gamma_n^2$. 5B-5

Similarly, $\{\gamma_n^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda, m}^2 \mid \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{D}_2) \setminus \{0\}, m=1, \dots, M_\lambda^2, n=1, \dots, N^1\}$

forms an orthonormal system of eigenvectors of \mathcal{D}

with $\mathcal{D}(\gamma_n^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda, m}^2) = \mu_n \lambda \gamma_n^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda, m}^2$.

Furthermore, $\{\gamma_{n_1}^1 \otimes \gamma_{n_2}^2 \mid n_1=1, \dots, N^1, n_2=1, \dots, N^2\}$ gives an orthonormal system in $\ker \mathcal{D}$.

Finally, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\zeta_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2) &= \lambda_1 \zeta_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2 + \lambda_2 \underbrace{\Gamma_1 \zeta_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1}_{\zeta_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1} \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2 \\ &= \zeta_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\zeta_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2) &= -\lambda_1 \zeta_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2 + \lambda_2 \underbrace{\Gamma_1 \zeta_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1}_{\zeta_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1} \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2 \\ &= \zeta_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, restricted to the span of $\{\zeta_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2, \zeta_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \otimes \zeta_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2\}$,

$$\mathcal{D} \hat{=} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ -\lambda_2 & -\lambda_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}$ with eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\theta) \\ \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right);$$

to verify the latter, one uses that $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\tan(2\theta)}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2(2\theta)}}$.

Thus, an orthonormal system of eigenvectors of D (with the eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}$) is given by

$$\left(\cos(\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1 + \sin(\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \right) \otimes \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2,$$

$$\left(-\sin(\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda_1, m_1}^1 + \cos(\theta) \mathfrak{J}_{-\lambda_1, m_1}^1 \right) \otimes \mathfrak{J}_{\lambda_2, m_2}^2$$

for $\theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)$.

Because we began with orthonormal bases of H_1 and H_2 , putting these orthonormal systems together, we obtain an orthonormal basis of $H_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H_2$ which consists of eigenvectors of D .

Step 2: diagonal operators are essentially selfadjoint

Let $T: H \ni \text{dom } T \rightarrow H$ be a symmetric linear operator and suppose that there exists an orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of H that consists of eigenvectors of T with associated eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (in \mathbb{R}), i.e.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0: e_n \in \text{dom } T, \quad T e_n = \lambda_n e_n.$$

Then T is essentially selfadjoint.

Proof:

Note that T is densely defined as $\text{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subseteq \text{dom } T$.

It suffices to prove that $\text{ran}(T \pm i)^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

For $\zeta \in \text{ran}(T \pm i)^\perp$, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

5B-7

$$0 = \langle (T \pm i)e_n, \zeta \rangle = \underbrace{(\lambda_n \pm i)}_{=0} \langle e_n, \zeta \rangle;$$

$$\text{thus, } \zeta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \zeta, e_n \rangle e_n = 0. \quad \square$$

Since \mathcal{D} is symmetric and (see Step 1) diagonalizable in the sense of the previous statement, it follows that \mathcal{D} is essentially selfadjoint.

Claim: $(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_2, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$ is a spectral triple.

The only questionable property is that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ has compact resolvents. But as $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$ was computed explicitly, which shows that the only limit point of $\{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})\}$ is ∞ , this is immediately clear.

(ii) We observe that (by the properties of the grading Γ_1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^2 &= \mathcal{D}_1^2 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_2} + \underbrace{(\Gamma_1 \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_1 \Gamma_1)}_{=0} \otimes \mathcal{D}_2 + \Gamma_1^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_2^2 \\ &= \mathcal{D}_1^2 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_2} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes \mathcal{D}_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_1^2 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ and $\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes \mathcal{D}_2^2$ commute, we get that

$$e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2} = e^{-t\mathcal{D}_1^2} \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{D}_2^2} \quad \text{con dom } \mathcal{D} \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Denote by

- $(\xi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ an orthonormal basis of H_1 in $\text{dom } \mathcal{D}_1$,
- $(\eta_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ an orthonormal basis of H_2 in $\text{dom } \mathcal{D}_2$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(e^{-t\overline{\mathcal{D}}^2}) &= \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \langle e^{-t\overline{\mathcal{D}}^2} \xi_n \otimes \eta_m, \xi_n \otimes \eta_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \langle e^{-t\mathcal{D}_1^2} \xi_n \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{D}_2^2} \eta_m, \xi_n \otimes \eta_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \langle e^{-t\mathcal{D}_1^2} \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \langle e^{-t\mathcal{D}_2^2} \eta_m, \eta_m \rangle \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \langle e^{-t\mathcal{D}_1^2} \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^\infty \langle e^{-t\mathcal{D}_2^2} \eta_m, \eta_m \rangle \right) \\ &= \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}_1^2}) \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}_2^2}) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

□