

The proof relies on the following results

5-2

5.2. Theorem (Hölder inequality for Dixmier traces):

Let $T, S \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be given. If we have that

$$T^p, S^q \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)}(\mathcal{H})$$

for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(|TS|) \leq \text{Tr}_\omega(|T|^p)^{1/p} \text{Tr}_\omega(|S|^q)^{1/q}.$$

If we have $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(1, \infty)}(\mathcal{H})$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(|TS|) \leq \|S\| \text{Tr}_\omega(|T|).$$

5.3. Theorem:

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a spectral triple for which $|\mathcal{D}|^{-1}$ is bounded. Then, for any $0 < r < 1$ and each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $[|\mathcal{D}|^r, \pi(a)]$ is bounded; moreover,

$$\|[|\mathcal{D}|^r, \pi(a)]\| \leq C_r \|[D, \pi(a)]\| \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$$

for some constant $C_r > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1:

By Theorem 5.2, we get that

$$|\text{Tr}_\omega(\pi(a)T \Delta^{-n/2}) - \text{Tr}_\omega(T \pi(a) \Delta^{-n/2})|$$

$$\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} |\text{Tr}_\omega(T \Delta^{-n/2} \pi(a)) - \text{Tr}_\omega(T \pi(a) \Delta^{-n/2})|$$

$$= |\text{Tr}_\omega(T [\Delta^{-n/2}, \pi(a)])| \leq \|T\| \text{Tr}_\omega(|[\Delta^{-n/2}, \pi(a)]|).$$

It thus suffices to prove that

5-3

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Tr}_\omega(|[|\mathcal{D}|^{-n}, \pi(a)]|) = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$$

We choose $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $k := \frac{n}{r} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [|\mathcal{D}|^{-n}, \pi(a)] &= [R^k, \pi(a)] \quad (R := |\mathcal{D}|^{-r} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \\ &= \sum_{e=1}^k R^{e-1} [R, \pi(a)] R^{k-e} \\ &= - \sum_{e=1}^k R^e [R^{-1}, \pi(a)] R^{k-e+1} \\ &= - \sum_{e=1}^k |\mathcal{D}|^{-re} [|\mathcal{D}|^r, \pi(a)] |\mathcal{D}|^{-r(k-e+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields by Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad \text{Tr}_\omega(|[|\mathcal{D}|^{-n}, \pi(a)]|) &= \sum_{e=1}^k \text{Tr}_\omega(||\mathcal{D}|^{-re} [|\mathcal{D}|^r, \pi(a)] |\mathcal{D}|^{-r(k-e+1)}|) \\ &\leq \| [|\mathcal{D}|^r, \pi(a)] \| \sum_{e=1}^k \text{Tr}_\omega(|\mathcal{D}|^{-re p_e})^{\frac{1}{p_e}} \text{Tr}_\omega(|\mathcal{D}|^{-r(k-e+1) q_e})^{\frac{1}{q_e}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for each $e=1, \dots, k$,

$$p_e := \frac{2n}{r(2e-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad q_e := \frac{2n}{r(2k-2e+1)}.$$

Since $-re p_e < -n$ and $-r(k-e+1) q_e < -n$, we find that $|\mathcal{D}|^{-re p_e}$ and $|\mathcal{D}|^{-r(k-e+1) q_e}$ are infinitesimals of order > 1 . By Theorem 4.8, the right hand side of (5.2) vanishes. \square

5.4. Definition

5-4

Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a spectral triple.

(i) We say that $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is regular if the unital complex $*$ -algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ which is generated by $\pi(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\{[\mathcal{D}, \pi(a)] \mid a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}} \subseteq \text{dom}^{\infty}(\delta) := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{dom}(\delta^k)$$

for the unbounded derivation δ given by

$$\delta: B(\mathcal{H}) \supseteq \text{dom}(\delta) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}), \quad T \mapsto [|\mathcal{D}|, T]$$

with $\text{dom}(\delta) := \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}) \mid T \text{dom}|\mathcal{D}| \subseteq \text{dom}|\mathcal{D}|, [|\mathcal{D}|, T] \in B(\mathcal{H})\}$;

we call $\text{dom}^{\infty}(\delta)$ the smooth domain of δ . Note that

$$\text{dom}(\delta^k) = \{T \in \text{dom}(\delta^{k-1}) \mid \delta^{k-1}(T) \in \text{dom}(\delta)\}.$$

(ii) Suppose that $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is (n, ∞) -summable for some $n \geq 1$. We say that $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is tame if

$$\tilde{\tau}: \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad a \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\omega}(a \Delta^{-n/2}) \quad (5.3)$$

is a trace on $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}}$ for every Dixmier trace Tr_{ω} .

In the development of the theory of general spectral triples, the question came up whether every (n, ∞) -summable spectral triple is necessarily tame. While this is not true in general, the following theorem provides a criterion, which guarantees tameness in particular

for regular spectral triples.

5-5

5.5. Theorem (Cipriani, Guido, Scarlatti, 1996):

Let $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be an (n, ∞) -summable spectral triple and suppose that $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}} \subseteq \text{dom}(\delta)$. Then

$$\text{Tr}_{\omega}(aT\Delta^{-n/2}) = \text{Tr}_{\omega}(Ta\Delta^{-n/2})$$

for all $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}}$, i.e., $\tilde{\tau}: \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as defined in (5.3) is a hypertrace on $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}}$; in particular, $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is tame.

Proof:

This follows from Theorem 5.1 as soon as we have shown that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{H}, |\mathcal{D}|)$ is an (n, ∞) -summable spectral triple. The only questionable part here is that

$$\delta(a) = [|\mathcal{D}|, a] \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \forall a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}},$$

but this is guaranteed by $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{\mathcal{D}} \subseteq \text{dom}(\delta)$.

(Note that $\text{dom } |\mathcal{D}| = \text{dom } \mathcal{D}$ and that \mathcal{D} has compact resolvents if and only if $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1}$ is compact.) \square

Starting with a regular spectral triple $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, we can build an abstract pseudodifferential calculus; this is due to Connes and Moscovici (1995).

We present its main ingredients.

5.6. Definition:

For a regular spectral triple $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, we define the family $(\mathcal{H}^s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ of Sobolev spaces by

$$\mathcal{H}^s := \text{dom } |\mathcal{D}|^s \quad \text{for each } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Each space \mathcal{H}^s is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ given for $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ by

$$\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle_s := \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle + \langle |\mathcal{D}|^s \zeta, |\mathcal{D}|^s \eta \rangle.$$

Further, we put $\mathcal{H}^\infty := \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^s$, which is a Fréchet space (i.e., a locally convex Hausdorff space whose topology can be induced by a countable family of seminorms with respect to which it is complete).

5.7. Remark:

For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s > t$, we have a continuous

inclusion $\mathcal{H}^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^t$; thus $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^k$, which shows that $(\|\cdot\|_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ induces the topology on \mathcal{H}^∞ .

5.8. Definition:

For each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\text{Op}_\mathcal{D}^r$ the vector space of linear operators $T: \mathcal{H}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\infty$ for which there are constants C_s for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (in fact, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ suffices!) such that

$$\|T\zeta\|_{s-r} \leq C_s \|\zeta\|_s \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathcal{H}^\infty,$$

i.e., T extends to a bounded linear operator $T: \mathcal{H}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{s-r}$.

5.9. Theorem (Combes, Moscovici, 1995)

15-7

Let (A, H, D) be a regular spectral triple, then

$$\tilde{A}_D \subseteq O_{pD}^0$$

and furthermore

$$a - |D|a|D|^{-1} \in O_{pD}^{-1} \quad \forall a \in \tilde{A}_D.$$

Proof (sketch):

① By induction, one checks that for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\left(|D|^k a |D|^{-k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \delta^j(a) |D|^{-j} \quad \text{and} \right.$$

$$\left. |D|^{-k} a |D|^k = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} |D|^{-j} \delta^j(a), \right.$$

which shows that $|D|^k a |D|^{-k}$ is bounded for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, for each $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$,

$$\|a\zeta\|_k^2 = \|a\zeta\|^2 + \underbrace{\| |D|^k a \zeta \|^2}_{= (|D|^k a |D|^{-k})(|D|^k \zeta)}$$

$$\leq C_k^2 \|\zeta\|_k^2, \quad C_k := \max \{ \|a\|, \| |D|^k a |D|^{-k} \| \},$$

so that $a \in O_{pD}^0$, in fact, for each $a \in \text{dom}^\infty(D)$.

② By regularity, we have for each $a \in \tilde{A}_D$ that $\delta(a) \in \text{dom}^\infty(D)$ and thus $\delta(a) \in O_{pD}^0$ by ①.

By Definition 5.7, we have further that

$$T |D|^k \in O_{pD}^{r+k} \quad \forall T \in O_{pD}^r.$$

Thus, in summary

15-8

$$a - |D|a|D|^{-1} = -\delta(a)|D|^{-1} \in Op_D^{-1}$$

for each $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_D$.

□

5.10. Definition:

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a regular spectral triple.

(i) Elements in the algebra $\Psi^0(\mathcal{A})$ which is generated by

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ \delta^k(\pi(a)), \delta^k([D, \pi(a)]) \mid a \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

are called pseudodifferential operators of order 0.

(ii) A pseudodifferential operator of order d , $d \in \mathbb{Z}$,

is an operator $P: \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ of the form

$$P \simeq a_d |D|^d + a_{d-1} |D|^{d-1} + \dots$$

with $a_d, a_{d-1}, \dots \in \Psi^0(\mathcal{A})$, i.e.,

$$\forall N \exists R: P = \sum_{-N \leq k \leq d} a_k |D|^k + R \text{ and } R|D|^N \in \text{dom}^{\infty}(\delta);$$

we denote the space of all these operators by $\Psi^d(\mathcal{A})$.

5.11. Remark:

By Theorem 5.9 and its proof, we have that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_D \subseteq \Psi^0(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq Op_D^0$$

and furthermore $\Psi^k(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq Op_D^k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.