

§3 Formal languages

3.1 Def: (a) let Σ be an alphabet, i.e. a finite set of letters.

(b) A subset $L \subseteq \Sigma^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma^n$ is a language.

(c) A semi Thue system is a finite set $R \subseteq \Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^+$ of rules.

For $u, v \in \Sigma^*$, we write

("how to transform words")

$$u \xrightarrow{0}_R v : \Leftrightarrow u = v$$

$$u \xrightarrow{1}_R v : \Leftrightarrow \exists w_1, w_2 \in \Sigma^+ \exists (r, s) \in R : u = w_1 r w_2 \wedge v = w_1 s w_2$$

$$u \xrightarrow{n+1}_R v : \Leftrightarrow \exists w \in \Sigma^+ : u \xrightarrow{n}_R w \wedge w \xrightarrow{1}_R v$$

$$u \xrightarrow{*}_R v : \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : u \xrightarrow{n}_R v$$

(d) A Chomsky grammar is $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, \Omega, S, R)$ with

- Σ alphabet
- $\Omega \subseteq \Sigma$ finite subset, the terminal alphabet
- $S \in \Sigma$ the start symbol
- $R \subseteq \Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^+$ semi Thue system

$L_{\mathcal{G}} := \{w \in \Omega^* \mid S \xrightarrow{*}_R w\}$ the language generated by $\mathcal{G}$,
a Chomsky language ("type 0 language").

3.2 Lemma: let L be "gödelized", i.e. for any $b \in \Sigma$ we have a

Gödel number $\ulcorner b \urcorner$, and $w = b_1 \dots b_n$ is encoded as $\langle \ulcorner b_1 \urcorner, \dots, \ulcorner b_n \urcorner \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$ (see 1.11).

If L is a Chomsky language, then $L \in \Sigma_1^0$, i.e. L is recursively enumerable. (See Def. 2.16).

Proof: $w \in L \Leftrightarrow w \in \Omega^* \wedge S \xrightarrow{*}_R w$

$$w \in \Omega^* \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{w \in \text{Seq}}_{\text{PRP (1.12)}} \wedge \underbrace{\forall i < \text{length}(w) : (w)_i \in \Omega}_{\substack{\text{PRF (1.12)} \\ \text{finite} \\ \text{PRP}}} \leadsto \Delta_0^0 = \text{PRP}$$

$$u \xrightarrow{*}_R v \Leftrightarrow \exists f : \left[\text{Seq}(f) \wedge (f)_0 = u \wedge (f)_{\text{length}(f)-1} = v \right. \\ \left. \wedge \forall i < \text{length}(f) - 1 : (f)_i \xrightarrow{1}_R (f)_{i+1} \right] \leadsto \Sigma_1^0 (2.23)$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\text{PRP (1.10)} \right]}_{\text{PRP}}$$

$$u \xrightarrow{1}_R v \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\exists w_1 \leq u \exists w_2 \leq u \exists r \leq u \exists s \leq v : (r, s) \in R \wedge u = w_1 r w_2}_{\text{PRP (1.10)}} \wedge \underbrace{v = w_1 s w_2}_{\substack{\text{finite,} \\ \text{hence PRP}}}$$

□

3.3 Theorem: $\{ \mathbb{L} \text{ Chomsky language} \} = \Sigma^0_1$.

Proof: "1" 3.2

"2" $P \in \Sigma^0_1$ $\xRightarrow{2.23 \& 2.16} \exists f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*: P = \text{rng}(f), f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

Construct a Turing machine based on a Chomsky grammar and prove that for any $f \in P = P_{\text{Turing}}$ we find such a Turing machine whose output is f .^{2.8} Use $\mathbb{F} \in P$. $\square$

3.4 Corollary: The general word problem for semi-Thue systems is undecidable, if $|\Sigma| \geq 2$, i.e. $\Gamma \in \Sigma^0_1 \setminus \Delta^0_1$.

Proof: 1.) By Thm. 3.3, there is a language $\mathbb{L} \in \Sigma^0_1 \setminus \Delta^0_1$.
Then $w \in \mathbb{L}$ is a $\Sigma^0_1 \setminus \Delta^0_1$ problem.

2.) Encode the letters of Σ as words u & $\bar{u}$. $\square$

3.5 Remark: (a) A grammar $G = (\Sigma, \Omega, S, R)$ is context sensitive (type 1), if all rules in R are of the form $(wAv, wuv) \in R, A \in \Sigma \setminus \Omega, u \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

The word problem for type 1 languages is Δ^0_1 , so decidable.

(b) A grammar is context free (type 2), if $(A, u) \in R, A \in \Sigma \setminus \Omega, u \in \Sigma^+ \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

We can always bring it in Chomsky normal form, i.e. rules are of the form $(A, BC) \in R, A, B, C \in \Sigma \setminus \Omega$ or $(A, \bar{b})$.
 $\uparrow$
 Ω

(c) A grammar is regular (type 3), if the rules are $(A, \bar{b})$ or $(A, \bar{b}B)$, $A, B \in \Sigma \setminus \Omega, \bar{b} \in \Omega$.

(d) The Chomsky hierarchy of languages is:

