

§9 Ordinal numbers and (Inf)

9.1 Def.: Let $R \subseteq V^2$ be a relation and U a class.

(a) R is founded : \Leftrightarrow any nonempty class A has an R -minimal element

(b) R is strongly founded : $\Leftrightarrow R$ is founded and set-like

(c) $\langle U, R \rangle$ is a (strong) well-ordering, if R is (strongly) founded and $\langle U, R \rangle$ is a linear ordering, i.e. for all $x, y, z \in U$:

$$\neg (xRz), xRy \wedge zRz \Rightarrow xRz, xRy \vee yRz \vee x=y$$

9.2 Remark: (a) There is a Recursion Thm: R str. founded, $R \subseteq A^2$, $G: A \times V \rightarrow B$ given $\Rightarrow \exists! F: A \rightarrow B$ with

$$\forall x \in A: F(x) = G(x, F \upharpoonright R''\{x\})$$

where $F \upharpoonright C := \{(y, x) \mid y F x \wedge x \in C\}$ elements preceding x

(b) There is Mostowski's Isomorphism Thm:

Let $\langle U, R \rangle$ be strongly founded and extensional

(i.e. $\forall x, y \in U: R''\{x\} = R''\{y\} \Rightarrow x = y$). Then there is a unique transitive class V (i.e. $\forall y \in V \forall x \in y: x \in V$) and a unique $F: \langle U, R \rangle \longrightarrow \langle V, \in \upharpoonright V \rangle$ ("the 'Mostowski collapse'") such that $F: U \rightarrow V$ bijective and $x R y \Leftrightarrow Fx \in Fy$. Here, F is given by $F(x) = F''(R''\{x\}) = \{F(y) \mid y R x\}, x \in U$. Hence, $\langle V, \in \rangle$ is all we need to study. (By (a)) (" F collapses the holes in non-transitive classes")

Or, more specific:
Let $\langle U, R \rangle$ be a str. well-ordering.

9.3 Def.: (a) Let $\langle U, R \rangle$ be a strong well-ordering and $F: \langle U, R \rangle \longrightarrow \langle V, \in \upharpoonright V \rangle$ be its collapse. Put $| \langle U, R \rangle | := V$.

$\Omega_n := \{ | \langle U, R \rangle | \mid \langle U, R \rangle \text{ str. well-ordering} \} \subseteq V$ ordinal numbers

(b) $\omega := \{ | \langle U, R \rangle | \mid \langle U, R \rangle \text{ finite linear ordering} \} \subseteq \Omega_n$
i.e. $\langle U, R \rangle \not\sim \langle U, R^{-1} \rangle$ well-orderings

(Why "finite"? For $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$, there is a unique $(\exists! x) x R y \vee y R x \vee x = y$) R -minimal element $x \in A$ and likewise a unique R^{-1} -minimal one, $y \in A$. Now R^{-1} -minimal = R -maximal, i.e. $A \cap U$ is "finite".)

(c) We put $\alpha < \beta : \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_n$.

9.4 Remark: (a) Ω_n is a class but no set. Its complexity is Δ^0 .

[$\Omega_n \in V$: $\langle \Omega_n, \leq \rangle$ is a strong well-ordering, hence $\Omega_n \in V$ would imply $\Omega_n \in \Omega_n \subseteq V$]

(Ω_n is transitive, no collapsing) $\rightarrow \langle \Omega_n, \leq \rangle$

(b) $\omega \notin V \Rightarrow \omega = \Omega_n$ [$\omega \notin \Omega_n \Downarrow \omega \in \Omega_n \Rightarrow \omega \in V$]

9.5 Def: **(Inf)** $\omega \in V$ (guarantees that $\omega \notin \Omega_n$, enough ordinals)

9.6 Remark: (a) Putting $S\alpha := \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_n$, we have

$\Omega_n \in \Omega_n$, $S\alpha \in \Omega_n$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_n$, $\alpha < \beta \Leftrightarrow S\alpha \leq \beta$ etc.

Also new for n from Rem. 8.5a. + 1

(b) $\langle U, R \rangle$ finite lin. ordering \Leftrightarrow \exists new $\exists f: U \rightarrow n$ bij.

Define $\overline{\langle U, R \rangle} := n$ its cardinality. (n unique)

Have $\overline{u+v} = \overline{u} + \overline{v}$, $\overline{uv} = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{v}$, $\overline{P(U)} = 2^{\overline{u}}$ for U finite
(i.e. $\langle U, R \rangle$ finite lin. order)

(c) Put $\bar{V}_0 := \emptyset$, $\bar{V}_n := P(\bar{V}_n)$, $\bar{V}_\omega := \bigcup_{n \text{ new}} \bar{V}_n$.

Then $\bar{V}_n \neq n$ in general ($\exists = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, \dots\} \neq \{\{\emptyset\}\}$)

And $\bar{V}_n, \bar{V}_{n+1} \in \bar{V}$, $\bar{V}_n \cap \Omega_n = n$, $m < n \Rightarrow \bar{V}_m \in \bar{V}_n$ etc.

Also $\bar{V}_\omega \neq \bar{V}$ by (Inf). [$\omega \notin \bar{V}_\omega$]

We have $(ZF - (Inf) + \neg(Inf)) \vdash (\bar{V} = \bar{V}_\omega)$

(d) We say that $\alpha \in \Omega_n$ is a limit ordinal ($\lim(\alpha)$), if

$\forall \beta < \alpha \exists \gamma < \alpha : \beta < \gamma$ (hence $\alpha \neq S\alpha$, no successor ordinal)

The smallest limit ordinal is ω . [$n < \omega \Rightarrow s_n < \omega \Rightarrow \lim(n)$]
 $\& n < \omega \Rightarrow n = 0 \vee n = s_n, \forall n$

(e) May define $\sup A := \bigcup A$ for $A \subseteq \Omega_n$. Then $\lim \alpha \Rightarrow \sup \alpha = \alpha$

(f) Induction principle for Ω_n : Let $A \subseteq \Omega_n$ be such that
 $\emptyset \in A$, $\forall \alpha : \alpha \in A \Rightarrow S\alpha \in A$, $\forall \alpha : \lim(\alpha) \wedge \alpha \in A \Rightarrow \alpha \in A$.

Then $A = \Omega_n$.

Note: omitting " $\forall \alpha : \lim(\alpha) \rightarrow$ " and assuming " $A \in \omega$ ", we obtain $A = \omega$.

(g) $\bar{V}_\omega := \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega} \bar{V}_\alpha$ for $\lim \omega$ extends (c) for limit ordinals.

We still have $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \bar{V}_\alpha \in \bar{V}_\beta$, $\bar{V}_\alpha \cap \Omega_n = \alpha$ etc.

$\bar{V}_\omega := \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega} \bar{V}_\alpha$ satisfies $\bar{V}_\omega = V$. [$x \in \bar{V} \setminus \bar{V}_\omega \Leftarrow \text{minim}$
 $\Rightarrow x \in \bar{V}_\omega \rightsquigarrow x \in \bar{V}_\alpha \in \bar{V}_\omega$]