

Ex 11

Sheet 8

Let WLOG  $\|A\|=1$  and let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  be given.

Then  $\exists x \in H, \|x\|=1$  with  $\|Ax\| > 1-\epsilon$

If  $Ax \neq x$  then  $\sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| > 1-\epsilon$

If  $Ax = x$  define  $y := \frac{Ax - \langle Ax, x \rangle x}{\|Ax - \langle Ax, x \rangle x\|}$

Consider now  $\tilde{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\alpha := \langle A\cancel{x}, \cancel{x} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\gamma := \langle Ay, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\beta = \underbrace{\langle Ax, y \rangle}_{>0} = \langle AY, x \rangle$$

quasi:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & \dots \\ \beta & \gamma & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{A}\| \geq \|Ax\| \text{ as } \|\tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\| = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} = \|Ax\|$$

As  $\tilde{A}$  diagonalizable:  $\exists v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \|v\|=1$ , such that  $\tilde{A}v = \lambda v$  for  $|\lambda| = \|\tilde{A}\| \geq \|Ax\|$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle A(v_1x + v_2y), (v_1x + v_2y) \rangle| \geq \|\tilde{A}v\| = |\lambda| \geq \|Ax\| > 1-\epsilon$$

So  $\sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| \geq 1$

As " $\leq$ " is clear by C.S. and  $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|$  we have equality.

Note: Proof works also in non-separable case. The matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & \dots \\ \beta & \gamma & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$  just help to illustrate but in principle you can define  $v_1, v_2$  only knowing  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and then compute  $|\langle A(v_1x + v_2y), v_1x + v_2y \rangle|$

## Ex 21

a)

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii): \langle Vx, Vy \rangle = \underbrace{\langle V^* V x, y \rangle}_{=I} = \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$(i) \Rightarrow (iii): \|Vx\|^2 = \langle Vx, Vx \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume  $\|Vx\| = \|x\| \forall x \in H$ .

$$\|V^* x\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} |\langle V^* x, y \rangle| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} |\langle x, V_y \rangle|$$

$$\leq \|x\| \cdot 1 = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow \|V^* V x\| \leq 1$$

$$\text{Now: } \langle V^* V x, x \rangle = \langle Vx, Vx \rangle = \|Vx\|^2 = \|x\|^2$$

$$\text{so } V^* V x = x + y \text{ with } y \in \{x\}^\perp$$

$$\text{but } \|x+y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \text{ so } y=0.$$

? Pythagoras

$$\Rightarrow V^* V x = x \quad \forall x, \text{i.e. } V^* V = I$$

b) If  $V$  unitary then  $V$  surjective and isometric. ✓

If  $V$  isometry then by (a)  $V$  injective.

Together with surjectivity we have that  $V$  is bijective so by the open mapping theorem  $V$  is invertible.

As  $V^* V = I$  by (i) in a) we must have  $V^* = V^{-1}$

(as  $V^* V = I \Rightarrow V^* V V^{-1} = V^{-1} \Leftrightarrow V^* = V^{-1}$ )

c) Consider  $\frac{1}{2}(1+V) = P_{\pm}$

$$P_{\pm} = P_{\pm}^* \text{ and } P_{\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 \pm 2V + V^2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm V) = P_{\pm}$$

so  $\exists$  closed linear subspaces  $H_{\pm}$  s.t.  $P_{\pm}$  projects onto  $H_{\pm}$ .

We have  $P_+ + P_- = 1$  so  $H_+ + H_- = H$

and  $\forall x_{\pm} \in H_{\pm} : P_{\mp}(x_{\pm}) = P_{\mp}P_{\pm}(x_{\pm}) = 0(x_{\pm}) = 0$

so  $H_+ \perp H_-$

$$\Rightarrow H = H_+ \oplus H_-$$

A last:  $\forall x_{\pm} \in H_{\pm} :$   ~~$P_{\mp}(x_{\pm})$~~

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm V)(x_{\pm}) = x_{\pm}$$

$$\Rightarrow V(x_{\pm}) = \pm x_{\pm}$$

$$\Rightarrow V(x_+ + x_-) = x_+ - x_-$$

### Ex31

a) Let  $v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k e_k \in \ell^2$

Try to define  $A\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k e_k\right) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{ij} \beta_j \right) e_i$

$$\|A\| \leq \underbrace{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |d_{ij}|^2}}_{C.S.} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j|^2}$$

$$\text{So } \|Av\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{ij} \beta_j \right|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |d_{ij}|^2 \cdot \|v\|^2 = \|A\|_{HS}^2 \|v\|^2$$

As  $A$  is clearly linear, we have that  $A$  is well-defined and the computation above shows  $\|A\|_{\infty} \leq \|A\|_{HS}$ .

b) Let  $A$  be HS-operator. Consider any sequence

$$\left( v^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k^{(n)} e_k \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{in } B(0, 1) \subseteq H$$

By a diagonal sequence argument we can assume that  $(\beta_k^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We show that  $A(v^{(n)})$  is Cauchy. (Assume  $\|A\|_{\infty} > 0$  as " $=\infty$ " trivial)

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given.

As  $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |d_{ij}|^2 < \infty$  we have  $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |d_{ij}|^2 \xrightarrow{\infty} 0$

so let  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  st.  $\sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |d_{ij}|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{16}$ .

As  $\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k^{(n)} e_k$  converges  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \forall m, n \geq N: \left\| \sum_{k=1}^K (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2 \|A\|_\infty}$

But then we have  $\forall m, n \geq N:$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A v^{(n)} - A v^{(m)}\| &= \|A(v^{(n)} - v^{(m)})\| \\
 &\leq \|A\left(\sum_{k=1}^K (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k\right)\| + \|A\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (\beta_j^{(n)} - \beta_j^{(m)}) e_j\right)\| \\
 &\leq \|A\|_\infty \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2 \|A\|_\infty} + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} (\beta_j^{(n)} - \beta_j^{(m)}) e_i \right\| \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \overbrace{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} (\beta_j^{(n)} - \beta_j^{(m)}) \right|^2}} \\
 &\stackrel{C.S.}{\leq} \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sqrt{\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{ij}|^2}_{\leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{16}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |\beta_j^{(n)} - \beta_j^{(m)}|^2}_{\leq \|v^{(n)} - v^{(m)}\|^2 \leq 4}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}} \\
 &= \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $A$  is compact.

Conversely, consider  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2)$  defined by  $e_n \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}e_n$

Obviously  $\|A\| = 1$  and  $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$

But  $A$  is compact: Consider sequence  $(v^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as before and again assume componentwise convergence.

For  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $K > \frac{16}{\epsilon^2}$

and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\forall m, n \geq N$ :  $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^K (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k \right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Then we have  $\forall m, n \geq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|Av^{(n)} - Av^{(m)}\| &= \|A(v^{(n)} - v^{(m)})\| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left\| A \sum_{k=1}^K (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k \right\|}_{\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + \underbrace{\left\| A \left( \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k \right) \right\|} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \left\| \sum (\beta_k^{(n)} - \beta_k^{(m)}) e_k \right\|}_{\leq 2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{16}} = \frac{\epsilon}{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

So  $A$  compact.

c) Let  $A$  HS-operator on  $\ell^2$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2)$

$$\text{We have } \|A\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |\langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle|^2 \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_i\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|BA\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|BAe_i\|^2 \leq \|B\|_{\infty}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \|B\|_{\infty} \cdot \|A\|_{HS}$$

$$\text{As } \|B^*\|_{\infty} = \|B\|_{\infty} \text{ and } \|A^*\|_{HS} = \|A\|_{HS}$$

$$\|AB\|_{HS} = \|B^*A^*\|_{HS} \leq \|B^*\|_{\infty} \cdot \|A^*\|_{HS} = \|B\|_{\infty} \cdot \|A\|_{HS}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|BAC\|_{HS} = \|B(AC)\|_{HS} \leq \|B\|_{\infty} \cdot \|AC\|_{HS} \\ \leq \|B\|_{\infty} \cdot \|A\|_{HS} \cdot \|C\|_{\infty}$$

(especially  $\|BAC\|_{HS} < \infty$  so  $BAC$  is HS-operator).

Ex41

" $\Rightarrow$  Let  $K$  be invariant for  $A$  and  $A^*$ .

For  $y \in K^\perp$ ,  $x \in K$  we have

$$\langle Ay, x \rangle = \langle y, A^*x \rangle = 0 \quad \text{so} \quad Ay \in K^\perp$$

$\underbrace{\phantom{x}}_{\in K}$

so  $K^\perp$  invariant for  $A$ .

$$\text{Now: } \underbrace{PAx}_{\in K} = \underbrace{Ax}_{=Px} = APx$$

$$\underbrace{PAy}_{\in K^\perp} = 0 = \underbrace{A^*A Py}_{=0}$$

$$\text{so altogether } AP(z) = PA(z) \quad \forall z \in H \Rightarrow AP = PA$$

" $\Leftarrow$  Let  $AP = PA$ , then  $A(1-p) = (1-p)A$

with  $1-p$  projection onto  $K^\perp$ .

Now for  $x \in K$ ,  $y \in K^\perp$ .

$$Ax = APx = P(Ax) \in K \quad (\checkmark)$$

$$Ay = A(1-p)y = (1-p)Ay \in K^\perp$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle A^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle = 0 \quad \text{so} \quad A^*x \in (K^\perp)^\perp = K \quad (\checkmark)$$