

## 1.2. Theorem: (Open mapping theorem)

1-2

(i) Let  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open and let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic function that is non-constant on each connected component of  $\Omega$ .

Then  $f(\Omega)$  is an open set.

(ii) Let  $\emptyset \neq G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a domain and let  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non-constant holomorphic function.

Then  $f(G)$  is a domain as well.

## 1.3 Theorem:

Let  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ .

Then  $f$  is injective in an open neighborhood of  $z_0$  if and only if  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ .

## 1.4. Theorem

Let  $\emptyset \neq \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open and let  $f: \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$  be holomorphic and bijective. Then  $f^{-1}: \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$  is holomorphic as well and we have that

$$(f^{-1})'(w) = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(w))} \quad \forall w \in \Omega_2$$

In particular,  $f$  is biholomorphic. Furthermore,  $\Omega_1$  is a simply connected domain if and only if  $\Omega_2$  is so.

### 1.5. Theorem (Riemann mapping theorem)

1-3

Let  $\emptyset \neq G \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  be a simply connected domain.

Then, for each  $z_0 \in G$ , there exists a unique biholomorphic mapping  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  that satisfies

$$f(z_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(z_0) > 0.$$

~ Biholomorphic mappings enjoy some very important geometric properties. Recall that  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$  is an euclidean space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (z, w) \mapsto \operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w}).$$

### 1.6. Definition:

A  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear, invertible mapping  $T: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called angle-preserving, if

$$\frac{\langle T(w), T(z) \rangle}{|T(w)| \cdot |T(z)|} = \frac{\langle w, z \rangle}{|w| \cdot |z|} \quad (1)$$

holds for all  $w, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , i.e., if

$$\angle(T(w), T(z)) = \angle(w, z) \quad \forall w, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $\angle(w, z) \in [0, \pi]$  denotes the angle between  $w$  and  $z$  that is determined uniquely by

$$\cos(\angle(w, z)) |w| |z| = \langle w, z \rangle$$

1-4

for all  $w, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

### 1.7. Lemma

Let  $T: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map.

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $T$  is angle-preserving.

(ii) There exists  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , such that either

$$T(z) = az \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$$

or

$$T(z) = a\bar{z} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(iii) There is  $s > 0$ , such that

$$\langle T(w), T(z) \rangle = s \langle w, z \rangle \quad \forall w, z \in \mathbb{C}$$

~ Proof:

First note that for  $w, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\langle w, z \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(w\bar{z}) = \operatorname{Re}\left(|z|^2 \frac{w}{z}\right) = |z|^2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right),$$

so that  $w \perp z$  (i.e.,  $\angle(w, z) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ )  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{w}{z} \in \mathbb{R}i$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii):

Since  $\langle T(i), T(1) \rangle \stackrel{(1)}{=} 0$  (as  $\langle i, 1 \rangle = 0$ ), the above gives

$$\frac{T(i)}{T(1)} \in \mathbb{R}i, \quad \text{say } T(i) = ir T(1) \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $\langle T(1+i), T(1-i) \rangle \stackrel{(1)}{=} 0$  (as  $\langle 1+i, 1-i \rangle = 0$ ), 1-5

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle T(1+i), T(1-i) \rangle \\ &= \langle T(1)(1+ir), T(1)(1-ir) \rangle \\ &= |T(1)|^2 \operatorname{Re}((1+ir)^2) \\ &= |T(1)|^2 (1-r^2) \quad \Rightarrow r \in \{-1, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus:  $T(z) = xT(1) + yT(i)$   
 $\quad \quad \quad = \underbrace{T(1)}_{=a} (x \pm iy) \quad \forall z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}.$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii):

Since  $\bullet \langle aw, az \rangle = |a|^2 \langle w, z \rangle \quad \forall w, z \in \mathbb{C}$

$\bullet \langle \bar{w}, \bar{z} \rangle = \langle w, z \rangle \quad \forall w, z \in \mathbb{C},$

we infer that in both cases

$\hat{\quad} \langle T(w), T(z) \rangle = |a|^2 \langle w, z \rangle \quad \forall w, z \in \mathbb{C}.$

Thus,  $s := |a|^2 > 0$  does the job.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i):

Since  $|T(z)| = \sqrt{s} |z|$ ,  $T$  is injective and thus invertible. Furthermore, we have that

$$|w| |z| \langle T(w), T(z) \rangle \stackrel{(iii)}{=} |w| |z| s \langle w, z \rangle.$$

$$= |T(w)| |T(z)| \langle w, z \rangle.$$

□

1.8 Definition:

Let  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open. We call  $f = u + iv \in C^1(\Omega)$

(i) angle-preserving at  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , if

$$T := Df(z_0) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is angle-preserving in the sense of Definition 1.6.

(ii) orientation-preserving at  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , if

$$\det(Df(z_0)) > 0$$

(iii) locally conformal, if  $f$  is angle-preserving and orientation-preserving at all points  $z_0 \in \Omega$

(iv) conformal, if  $f$  is locally conformal and injective.

1.9 Theorem:

Let  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open and consider  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $f$  is locally conformal on  $\Omega$

(ii)  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  and  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ .

Proof:

1-7

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii):

Take any  $z_0 \in \Omega$ .

•  $f$  angle-preserving at  $z_0$

$\Rightarrow Df(z_0): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is angle-preserving

•  $f$  orientation-preserving at  $z_0$

$\Rightarrow \det(Df(z_0)) > 0$  (2)

Hence, by Lemma 1.7, there is  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , such that

$$Df(z_0)z = az \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that the alternative case

$$Df(z_0)z = a\bar{z} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$$

would give that

$$\begin{aligned} Df(z_0)z &= (a_1 + ia_2)(x - iy) \\ &= (a_1x + a_2y) + i(a_2x - a_1y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.

$$Df(z_0) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence  $\det(Df(z_0)) = -|a|^2 < 0$ , which

contradicts (2).

Thus:  $Df(z_0) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear

1-8

Reminder 1.1

$\implies$   $f$  is complex differentiable at  $z_0$   
( $f$  being real differentiable at  $z_0$   
is ensured by  $f \in C^1(\Omega)$ )

By Problem 1, Assignment 1A, we thus get

$$|f'(z_0)|^2 = \det(Df(z_0)) \stackrel{(2)}{>} 0$$

$$\sim \implies f'(z_0) \neq 0 \quad \forall z_0 \in \Omega$$

(ii)  $\implies$  (i):

Again by Problem 1, Assignment 1A,

$$\det(Df(z_0)) = |f'(z_0)|^2 > 0,$$

i.e.  $f$  is orientation-preserving at each  $z_0 \in \Omega$ .

$\sim$  Moreover, we have that

$$Df(z_0)z = f'(z_0)z \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C},$$

which by Lemma 1.7 implies that  $f$  is also  
angle-preserving at each point  $z_0 \in \Omega$ .

□

1.10. Remark:

Consider a function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent

(i)  $f$  is conformal

(ii)  $f$  is biholomorphic as a mapping  $f: \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega)$

Indeed:

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): •  $f$  locally conformal on  $\Omega$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Thm 1.9}}$   
 $\Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$

•  $f$  injective (in particular, non-constant on all components of  $\Omega$ )

$\xrightarrow{\text{Thm 1.2}}$   
 $\Rightarrow f(\Omega)$  is open and

$f: \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega)$  is bijective

$\xrightarrow{\text{Thm 1.4}}$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  is biholomorphic

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): •  $f$  injective

$\Rightarrow f'(z_0) \neq 0 \quad \forall z_0 \in \Omega$

•  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Thm 1.9}}$   
 $\Rightarrow f$  locally conformal on  $\Omega$   
and even conformal.

□