

Problem 1

(i) Consider

$$\psi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad z \mapsto i \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

$$\psi^{-1}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}$$

Suppose that $\phi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{H} satisfying

$$|\phi(z)| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (*)$$

Then $\tilde{\phi} := \psi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \psi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{D} , hence of the form

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that $\phi = \psi \circ \tilde{\phi} \circ \psi^{-1}$. We thus compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(\psi^{-1}(z)) &= e^{i\theta} \frac{\frac{z-i}{z+i} + a}{1 + \bar{a} \frac{z-i}{z+i}} \\ &= e^{i\theta} \frac{(z-i) + a(z+i)}{(z+i) + \bar{a}(z-i)} \\ &= e^{i\theta} \frac{(1+a)z - (1-a)i}{(1+\bar{a})z + (1-\bar{a})i} \end{aligned}$$

and

3B-2

$$\phi(z) = \Psi(\tilde{\phi}(\Psi^{-1}(z))) = i \frac{1 + e^{i\theta} \frac{(1+a)z - (1-a)i}{(1+\bar{a})z + (1-\bar{a})i}}{1 - e^{i\theta} \frac{(1+a)z - (1-a)i}{(1+\bar{a})z + (1-\bar{a})i}}$$

$$= i \frac{[(1+\bar{a})z + (1-\bar{a})i] + e^{i\theta} [(1+a)z - (1-a)i]}{[(1+\bar{a})z + (1-\bar{a})i] - e^{i\theta} [(1+a)z - (1-a)i]}$$

$$= i \frac{z[(1+\bar{a}) + e^{i\theta}(1+a)] + i[(1-\bar{a}) - e^{i\theta}(1-a)]}{z[(1+\bar{a}) - e^{i\theta}(1+a)] + i[(1-\bar{a}) + e^{i\theta}(1-a)]}$$

$$\hat{~}$$

so that (x) implies that

$$(1+\bar{a}) - e^{i\theta}(1+a) = 0, \text{ i.e. } e^{i\theta} = \frac{1+\bar{a}}{1-a}$$

In this case, $\phi(z) = \sigma z + \mu$, where

$$\hat{~} \sigma = \frac{(1+\bar{a}) + e^{i\theta}(1+a)}{(1-\bar{a}) + e^{i\theta}(1-a)} = \frac{(1+\bar{a})e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} + (1+a)e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}}{(1-\bar{a})e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} + (1-a)e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}}$$

$$\mu = i \frac{(1-\bar{a}) - e^{i\theta}(1-a)}{(1-\bar{a}) + e^{i\theta}(1-a)} = i \frac{(1-\bar{a})e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} - (1-a)e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}}{(1-\bar{a})e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} + (1-a)e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}}$$

Thus, $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma$ and $\bar{\mu} = \mu$, i.e. $\sigma, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\sigma > 0$ follows, since $\phi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is non-constant.

($\sigma \leq 0$ would lead to a contradiction.)

(ii) If $g_i : \mathbb{H} \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, $i=1,2$ both satisfy the hydrodynamic normalization, then

$$\phi := g_2 \circ g_1^{-1} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

satisfies $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z) = z + o(1)$

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(z) - z) = 0 \quad (**)$$

Thus, in particular $|\phi(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, so that by (i)

$$\phi(z) = \sigma z + \mu \quad \text{for some } \sigma > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(**) enforces then $\sigma = 1$ and $\mu = 0$, i.e. $\phi = id_{\mathbb{H}}$.

$$\Rightarrow g_2 = g_1.$$

Problem 2

By the Riemann mapping theorem, there is a unique biholomorphic mapping

$$\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{G}_I \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{(-1,1)} \quad \text{with } \tilde{\phi}(x) = 0, \tilde{\phi}'(x) > 0.$$

Claim: $\tilde{\phi}$ is reflection symmetric, i.e.

$$\overline{\tilde{\phi}(z)} = \tilde{\phi}(\bar{z}) \quad \text{for all } z \in \tilde{G}_I.$$

Proof: Def. $\psi : \tilde{G}_I \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{(-1,1)}$, $z \mapsto \overline{\tilde{\phi}(\bar{z})}$.

Since ψ is biholomorphic and satisfies

$$\psi(x) = 0, \psi'(x) > 0, \text{ we must have } \psi = \tilde{\phi}. \quad \square$$

Thm: $\tilde{\phi}(I) \subseteq (-1, 1)$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}((-1, 1)) \subseteq I$ 3B-4

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(I) = (-1, 1)$$

Claim: $\tilde{\phi}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\mathbb{H}) \subseteq G$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(G) = \mathbb{H}.$$

Proof: $\tilde{\phi}(G)$ is a domain, $\tilde{\phi}(G) \subseteq \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{(-1, 1)}$, but

$$\tilde{\phi}(G) \cap (-1, 1) = \emptyset \quad (\text{since } G \cap I = \emptyset)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{H} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{\phi}(G) \subseteq -\mathbb{H}.$$

Now, note that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x+i\varepsilon) - \tilde{\phi}(x)}{(x+i\varepsilon) - x} = \tilde{\phi}'(x) > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}(x+i\varepsilon) - \tilde{\phi}(x)}{i\varepsilon} \right) &= \tilde{\phi}'(x) > 0 \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\phi}(x+i\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\phi}(x+i\varepsilon)) > 0$ for all small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{H}.$$

The same argument for $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$ gives $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(\mathbb{H}) \subseteq G$. □

Thm: $\tilde{\phi}$ restricts to a biholomorphic mapping

$$\phi := \tilde{\phi}|_G : G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

with the required properties.

Uniqueness:

3B-5

If $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is biholomorphic with these properties,
it extends by reflection to a biholomorphic (!)

mapping $\tilde{\psi}: \tilde{G}_I \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{(-1,1)}$ satisfying $\tilde{\psi}(x) = 0$
and $\tilde{\psi}'(x) > 0$.

By uniqueness in the Riemann mapping theorem

$$\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\phi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi = \tilde{\psi}|_G = \tilde{\phi}|_G = \phi$$

□