

## Assignments for the lecture on Non-Commutative Distributions Winter term 2014/2015

Assignment 5B

for the tutorial on Tuesday, 20 January (in SR6)

**Exercise 1.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group. A function  $F : G \to \mathbb{C}$  is called *positive definite on* G, if the condition

$$\sum_{g_1,g_2 \in G} F(g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1) f(g_1) \overline{f(g_2)} \ge 0$$

is satisfied for each function  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  with finite support, i.e.  $\#\{g \in G | f(g) \neq 0\} < \infty$ . Prove the following statements:

(a) Let  $F_1, F_2 : G \to \mathbb{C}$  be positive definite functions on G, then their pointwise product

$$F: G \to \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto F_1(g)F_2(g)$$

is also a positive definite function on G.

(b) If we consider the group  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ , then for any  $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ , the function

$$F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}, \ x \mapsto e^{-\omega x^2}$$

is positive definite on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 2.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . As in the Definition 9.1 of the lecture, we denote by  $i(\pi)$  the number of *inversions* of any permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , i.e.

$$i(\pi) := \#\{(k,l) \mid 1 \le k < l \le n, \ \pi(k) > \pi(l)\}$$

(a) For  $1 \le k \le n$ , we consider the permutation  $T_{k,n} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  that is given by

$$T_{k,n} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & n & k & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculate  $i(T_{k,n})$  and  $T_{k,n}^{-1}$ .

(b) Prove that each permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  can be represented uniquely as  $\pi = \sigma T_{k,n}$  with  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , where we identify  $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$  with the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  consisting of all permutations fixing n.

## please turn the page

- (c) In the situation of (b), we have that  $i(\pi) = i(\sigma) + i(T_{k,n})$ .
- (d) In the corresponding group algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n] = \Big\{ \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} a_\pi \pi \Big| a_\pi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \Big\},\$$

on which addition and multiplication is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{\pi\in\mathfrak{S}_n}a_{\pi}\pi\right) + \left(\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}b_{\sigma}\sigma\right) := \sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_n}(a_{\tau}+b_{\tau})\tau$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{\pi\in\mathfrak{S}_n}a_{\pi}\pi\right)\cdot\left(\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}b_{\sigma}\sigma\right):=\sum_{\pi,\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}(a_{\pi}b_{\sigma})\left(\pi\sigma\right)=\sum_{\tau\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\left(\sum_{\substack{\pi,\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n\\\tau=\pi\sigma}}a_{\pi}b_{\sigma}\right)\tau,$$

respectively, we consider for any fixed  $-1 \le q \le 1$  the elements

$$\alpha_n := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{i(\pi)} \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n := \sum_{k=1}^n q^{i(T_{k,n})} T_{k,n}.$$

Show that  $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n-1}\beta_n$ .

Remark: In the proof of Theorem 9.4, which was given in the lecture, we observed that

$$\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_q = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle \eta, \pi \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}} \quad \text{for any } \eta \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n},$$

where  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  acts on the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  in the obvious way by permuting the factors, i.e., if we have  $\eta = \eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n$ , then  $\pi \eta = \eta_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{\pi(n)}$ . Clearly, this action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  extends to an action of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ . Hence, by using the notation from above, we can write

$$\langle \eta, \eta \rangle_q = \langle \eta, \alpha_n \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}} \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n},$$

so that the question whether  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$  is positive definite amounts to the question of positivity of the operator  $\alpha_n$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ . This observation is at the base of D. Zagier's proof of Theorem 9.4, which can be found in his paper *Realizability of a model in infinite statistics (1992)*, and the formula in (d) is one of the crucial steps thereof.

## **Exercise 3.** Prove the following statements:

(a) For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{i(\pi)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $i(\pi)$  denotes the number of *inversions* of any *permutation*  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  as it was defined in Definition 9.1 of the lecture (and also recalled in Exercise 2).

(b) For all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds true that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(2m)} (-1)^{i(\pi)} = 1,$$

where  $i(\pi)$  denotes the number of crossings of any pairing  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(2m)$  as it was defined in Theorem 9.7 of the lecture.