On Deformations and Independencies

Roland Speicher

Saarland University Saarbrücken, Germany

supported by ERC Advanced Grant "Non-Commutative Distributions in Free Probability"

< 口 > < 同



$\mathsf{Section}\ 1$

A long time ago in a galaxy far, far away ...



2 / 24

3 × 4 3 ×

Roland Speicher (Saarland University) On Deformations and Independencies

A long time ago in a galaxy far, far away ...





The classical world (von Waldenfels, Hudson-Parthasarathy, Kümmerer, Accardi, etc)

- classical independence
- stochastic integration theories (bosonic, fermionic)
- notion of Gaussianity
- realization in bosonic and fermionic Fock spaces
- CCR and CAR algebras

The classical world (von Waldenfels, Hudson-Parthasarathy, Kümmerer, Accardi, etc)

- classical independence
- stochastic integration theories (bosonic, fermionic)
- notion of Gaussianity
- realization in bosonic and fermionic Fock spaces
- CCR and CAR algebras

The free world (Voiculescu)

- free independence
- free central limit theorem, notion of free Gaussianity ("semicircularity")
- realization on full Fock spaces
- Cuntz algebra

The classical world (von Waldenfels, Hudson-Parthasarathy, Kümmerer, Accardi, etc)

- classical independence
- stochastic integration theories (bosonic, fermionic)
- notion of Gaussianity
- realization in bosonic and fermionic Fock spaces
- CCR and CAR algebras

The world of Marek

providing links between and challenges for the "ordinary" worlds

The free world (Voiculescu)

- free independence
- free central limit theorem, notion of free Gaussianity ("semicircularity")
- realization on full Fock spaces
- Cuntz algebra

Joint work with Marek

- 1991: ψ -independent and symmetrized white noises, QP VI
- 1991: An example of a generalized Brownian motion, CMP
- 1992: An example of a generalized Brownian motion II, QP VII
- 1994: Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations, and operator spaces, Math. Ann.
- 1996: Convolution and limit theorems for conditionally free random variables (with Leinert), Pac. J. Math.
- 1996: Interpolations between bosonic and fermionic relations given by generalized Brownian motions, Math. Z.
- 1997: q-Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects (with Kümmerer), CMP
- 2011: The normal distribution is ⊞-infinitely divisible (with Belinschi, Lehner), Adv. Math.

uropean nessarch Council addited by the European Camericaion

イロト イ得ト イヨト イヨト

Section 2

The *q*-interpolation between classical and free world



6 / 24

q-interpolation (actually, μ -interpolation)

There are very canonical interpolations between the center pieces of the free and the classical world, the Gaussian and the semicircle distribution.

q-interpolation (actually, μ -interpolation)

There are very canonical interpolations between the center pieces of the free and the classical world, the Gaussian and the semicircle distribution.

- from operator theoretic point of view
 - classical independent Gauss variables are given by $x_i = a_i + a_i^\ast$ where a_i satisfy CCR relations

$$a_i a_j^* - a_j^* a_i = \delta_{ij} 1$$

freely independent semicircular variables are given $x_i = l_i + l_i^*$ where l_i satisfy Cuntz relations

$$l_i l_j^* = \delta_{ij} 1$$

q-interpolation (actually, μ -interpolation)

There are very canonical interpolations between the center pieces of the free and the classical world, the Gaussian and the semicircle distribution.

- from operator theoretic point of view
 - classical independent Gauss variables are given by $x_i = a_i + a_i^\ast$ where a_i satisfy CCR relations

$$a_i a_j^* - a_j^* a_i = \delta_{ij} 1$$

Freely independent semicircular variables are given $x_i = l_i + l_i^*$ where l_i satisfy Cuntz relations

$$l_i l_j^* = \delta_{ij} 1$$

Interpolate between those by q-relations

$$a_i a_j^* - q a_j^* a_i = \delta_{ij}$$

q-interpolation (actually, μ -interpolation)

There are very canonical interpolations between the center pieces of the free and the classical world, the Gaussian and the semicircle distribution.

- from moment point of view
 - moments of classical independent Gauss variables are given by

$$\varphi(x_{i(1)}\cdots x_{i(n)}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{(p,q) \in \pi} \delta_{i(p)i(q)}$$

moments of freely independent semicircular variables are given by

$$\varphi(x_{i(1)}\cdots x_{i(n)}) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \prod_{(p,q) \in \pi} \delta_{i(p)i(q)}$$

q-interpolation (actually, μ -interpolation)

There are very canonical interpolations between the center pieces of the free and the classical world, the Gaussian and the semicircle distribution.

- from moment point of view
 - moments of classical independent Gauss variables are given by

$$\varphi(x_{i(1)}\cdots x_{i(n)}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \prod_{(p,q) \in \pi} \delta_{i(p)i(q)}$$

moments of freely independent semicircular variables are given by

$$\varphi(x_{i(1)}\cdots x_{i(n)}) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \prod_{(p,q) \in \pi} \delta_{i(p)i(q)}$$

Interpolate between those by the formula

$$\varphi(x_{i(1)}\cdots x_{i(n)}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} q^{crossings(\pi)} \prod_{(p,q) \in \pi} \delta_{i(p)i(q)}$$

All this is quite straighforward, the crucial point, however, is **positivity**.

- Are there operators satisfying the *q*-relations on a Hilbert space, such that a_i and a_i^* are adjoints?
- Is the linear functional φ defined by its moments via the *q*-Wick formula a state, i.e., positive?



All this is quite straighforward, the crucial point, however, is **positivity**.

- Are there operators satisfying the *q*-relations on a Hilbert space, such that a_i and a_i^* are adjoints?
- Is the linear functional φ defined by its moments via the q-Wick formula a state, i.e., positive?

In the end all this leads to the question

Problem

Is the map

$$S_n \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \pi \mapsto q^{inv(\pi)}$$

on the permutation group S_n a positive definite function for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.? $((i, j) \text{ is an inversion of } \pi \text{ if } i < j, \text{ but } \pi(i) > \pi(j))$



The $q\mbox{-interpolation}$ between classical and free world

All this is quite straighforward, the crucial point, however, is **positivity**.

- Are there operators satisfying the *q*-relations on a Hilbert space, such that a_i and a_i^* are adjoints?
- Is the linear functional φ defined by its moments via the q-Wick formula a state, i.e., positive?

In the end all this leads to the question

Problem

Is the map

$$S_n \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \pi \mapsto q^{inv(\pi)}$$

on the permutation group S_n a positive definite function for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.? $((i, j) \text{ is an inversion of } \pi \text{ if } i < j, \text{ but } \pi(i) > \pi(j))$

Theorem (Bozejko, Speicher 1991)

Yes, indeed, for $-1 \le q \le 1$. This gives then the *q*-Fock space realization of the *q*-creation and annihilation operators and the existence of *q*-Gaussian random variables.



This was all very exciting and led to many new developments and questions ...

< □ > < 同 >



This led to many new developments and questions ...

• the structure of the von Neumann algebras generated by the *q*-Gaussian random variables

(by recent results of Guionnet, Shlyakhtenko, Dabrowski they are isomorphic for small q ...)



• the structure of the von Neumann algebras generated by the *q*-Gaussian random variables

(by recent results of Guionnet, Shlyakhtenko, Dabrowski they are isomorphic for small q ...)

- other interpolations of the Gaussian structure
 - \blacktriangleright given in terms of relations: $q_{ij}\mbox{-}relations,$ relations determined by Yang-Baxter operators, etc \dots
 - given in terms of more general Wick-type formulas for moments, "generalized Gaussian distribution"



• the structure of the von Neumann algebras generated by the *q*-Gaussian random variables

(by recent results of Guionnet, Shlyakhtenko, Dabrowski they are isomorphic for small $q\ldots$)

- other interpolations of the Gaussian structure
 - \blacktriangleright given in terms of relations: $q_{ij}\mbox{-}relations,$ relations determined by Yang-Baxter operators, etc \dots
 - given in terms of more general Wick-type formulas for moments, "generalized Gaussian distribution"
- raises also the question of higher level interpolations, not just for Gaussianity, but also for
- notions of independence

notions of convolutions

erc

• the structure of the von Neumann algebras generated by the *q*-Gaussian random variables

(by recent results of Guionnet, Shlyakhtenko, Dabrowski they are isomorphic for small q ...)

- other interpolations of the Gaussian structure
 - \blacktriangleright given in terms of relations: $q_{ij}\mbox{-}relations,$ relations determined by Yang-Baxter operators, etc \dots
 - given in terms of more general Wick-type formulas for moments, "generalized Gaussian distribution"
- raises also the question of higher level interpolations, not just for Gaussianity, but also for
- notions of independence
 - ▶ ψ-independence ("conditional freeness"); Boolean independence; existence of only 2/3/5 general notions of independence
- notions of convolutions

イロト イ得ト イヨト イヨト

erc

• the structure of the von Neumann algebras generated by the *q*-Gaussian random variables

(by recent results of Guionnet, Shlyakhtenko, Dabrowski they are isomorphic for small $q\ldots$)

- other interpolations of the Gaussian structure
 - \blacktriangleright given in terms of relations: $q_{ij}\mbox{-}relations,$ relations determined by Yang-Baxter operators, etc \dots
 - given in terms of more general Wick-type formulas for moments, "generalized Gaussian distribution"
- raises also the question of higher level interpolations, not just for Gaussianity, but also for
- notions of independence
 - ▶ ψ-independence ("conditional freeness"); Boolean independence; existence of only 2/3/5 general notions of independence
- notions of convolutions
 - are there q-convolutions
 - ongoing quest of Marek for other $(r-, \Delta-,...)$ convolutions









11 / 24





・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

12 / 24

(CP:) - F' - disord. pp, 4. ((P.) - C privale (Y. = Se) 4. F. - C, pride Jundary (x,)= y, (x,)= D 6



Section 3

The intersection between the classical and the free world



14 / 24

A B > A B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

∃ → < →



Question

Could it be that the classical Gauss distribution is infinitely divisible in the free sense?

"This fact might come as a surprise, since the classical Gaussian distribution has no special role in free probability theory. The first known explicit mentioning of that possibility to one of us was by Perez-Abreu at a meeting in Guanajuato in 2007. This conjecture had arisen out of joint work with Arizmendi. Later when the last three of the present authors met in Bielefeld in the fall of 2008 they were led to reconsider this question in the context of investigations about general Brownian motions."





Question

Could it be that the classical Gauss distribution is infinitely divisible in the free sense?

< ロ > < 得 > < 回 > < 回 >

"This fact might come as a surprise, since the classical Gaussian distribution has no special role in free probability theory. The first known explicit mentioning of that possibility to one of us was by Perez-Abreu at a meeting in Guanajuato in 2007. This conjecture had arisen out of joint work with Arizmendi. Later when the last three of the present authors met in Bielefeld in the fall of 2008 they were led to reconsider this question in the context of investigations about general Brownian motions."

Theorem (Belinschi, Bozejko, Lehner, Speicher 2009)

Yes, indeed, the classical Gauss distribution is ⊞-infinitely divisible.

______unci

Section 4

Mixtures of Classical and Free Independence

< 口 > < 同

∃ → < →



16 / 24

Roland Speicher (Saarland University) On Deformations and Independencies

History

- Green (1990): graph product of groups
- Młotkowski (2004): Λ-freeness
- Caspers + Fima (2014): graph product of operator algebras
- Wysoczanksi + Speicher (2016): ε-independence
- Speicher + Weber (2016): new corresponding quantum spheres and quantum groups



Non-commutative probability spaces given by groups

$$G \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}G, \tau) \text{ or } (L(G), \tau)$$

nc $(W^*$ -) probability space

where

$$\tau(\sum_g \alpha_g g) = \alpha_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \tau(x) = \langle x \delta_1, \delta_1 \rangle.$$



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Image: Image:

Non-commutative probability spaces given by groups

$$G \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}G, \tau) \text{ or } (L(G), \tau)$$

nc $(W^*$ -) probability space

where

$$\tau(\sum_g \alpha_g g) = \alpha_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \tau(x) = \langle x \delta_1, \delta_1 \rangle.$$

freeness/independence

 $\begin{array}{ll} \hat{=} & \text{basic group constructions:} \\ & \text{free/direct product} \\ & \star_{i \in I} G_i, \quad \times_{i \in I} G_i \end{array}$

Non-commutative probability spaces given by groups

$$G \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}G, \tau) \text{ or } (L(G), \tau)$$

nc $(W^*$ -) probability space

where

$$\tau(\sum_g \alpha_g g) = \alpha_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \tau(x) = \langle x \delta_1, \delta_1 \rangle.$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \hat{f} \text{reeness/independence} & \hat{=} & \text{basic group constructions:} \\ & \text{free/direct product} \\ & \star_{i \in I} G_i, & \times_{i \in I} G_i \\ & \uparrow \end{array}$

only "universal" unital constructions which are uniform in $i \in I$

More General, Non-Homogeneous, Group Constructions

direct product =

free product

commutation relations between all G_i



More General, Non-Homogeneous, Group Constructions

direct product =

free product

 \checkmark commutation relations between all G_i

Generalize this to : partial commutation relations between groups Fix symmetric matrix $(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j\in I} = (\Lambda_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ with 0/1 entries, with the idea

that for $i \neq j$

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ji} = 1 & \hat{=} & [G_i, G_j] = 0 \\ \varepsilon_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ji} = 0 & \hat{=} & \text{no relation} \end{split}$$

 $[\varepsilon_{ii} \text{ is unspecified or sometimes it is convenient to put } \varepsilon_{ii} = 0.]$

Definition (Green 1990)

Let G_i $(i \in I)$ be groups. The graph product $\star_{\varepsilon} G_i$ (corresponding to graph with adjacency matrix ε) is

 $\star_{i\in I}G_i \nearrow G_i$, G_j $(i \neq j)$ commute whenever $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$



20 / 24

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition (Green 1990)

Let G_i $(i \in I)$ be groups. The graph product $\star_{\varepsilon} G_i$ (corresponding to graph with adjacency matrix ε) is

$$\star_{i\in I}G_i \nearrow G_i$$
, G_j $(i
eq j)$ commute whenever $arepsilon_{ij}=1$

Example

If $G_i = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\star_{\varepsilon} G_i$ has various names

- right angled Artin group
- free partially commutative group
- trace group
- etc

also: Cartier-Foata monoid, trace monoid, ...

Subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are ε -independent in (\mathcal{A}, φ) if

•
$$[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] = 0$$
 for all $i \neq j$ with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$

• Consider $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ (i = 1, ..., n) with

$$\varphi(a_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

 $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ modulo commutation relations

Then $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$



Subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are ε -independent in (\mathcal{A}, φ) if

•
$$[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] = 0$$
 for all $i \neq j$ with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$

• Consider $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ (i = 1, ..., n) with

$$\varphi(a_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

 $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ modulo commutation relations

Then $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$

"modulo commutation relations" means: if there are k < l with i(k) = i(l),

 $\cdots a_k \cdots \cdots a_l \cdots$



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are ε -independent in (\mathcal{A}, φ) if

•
$$[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] = 0$$
 for all $i \neq j$ with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$

• Consider $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ (i = 1, ..., n) with

$$\varphi(a_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

 $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ modulo commutation relations

Then
$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$$

"modulo commutation relations" means: if there are k < l with i(k) = i(l),

 $\cdots a_k \cdots a_p \cdots a_l \cdots$

then there exists p with $k such that <math display="inline">i(k) \neq i(p) \neq i(l)$ and $\varepsilon_{i(k)i(p)} = 0$

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

erc

Subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are ε -independent in (\mathcal{A}, φ) if

•
$$[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] = 0$$
 for all $i \neq j$ with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$

• Consider $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ with

$$\varphi(a_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

 $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ modulo commutation relations

Then $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$

Theorem (Młotkowski)

There is a ε -product construction, which has all nice properties.



・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are ε -independent in (\mathcal{A}, φ) if

•
$$[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] = 0$$
 for all $i \neq j$ with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$

• Consider $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ (i = 1, ..., n) with

$$\varphi(a_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

 $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ modulo commutation relations

Then $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$

Theorem (Młotkowski)

There is a ε -product construction, which has all nice properties.

Proposition (Speicher+Wysoczanski)

The subalgebras $\mathbb{C}G_i$ are ε -independent in the graph product group algebra $\mathbb{C}(\star_{\varepsilon}G_i)$ with respect to the canonical trace τ .



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are ε -independent in (\mathcal{A}, φ) if

•
$$[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] = 0$$
 for all $i \neq j$ with $\varepsilon_{ij} = 1$

• Consider $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i(j)}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ with

$$\varphi(a_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

 $i(j) \neq i(j+1)$ modulo commutation relations

Then $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$

Theorem (Młotkowski)

There is a ε -product construction, which has all nice properties.

Proposition (Speicher+Wysoczanski)

The subalgebras $\mathbb{C}G_i$ are ε -independent in the graph product group algebra $\mathbb{C}(\star_{\varepsilon}G_i)$ with respect to the canonical trace τ .

Theorem (Speicher+Wysoczanski)

There is a cumulant description (using free cumulants).

Relation to *q*-Gaussians

Theorem (Młotkowski)

Choose ε_{ij} , for i > j, independent and identically distributed, with $Prob(\varepsilon_{ij} = 1) = q$. Consider a sequence x_1, x_2, \ldots of ε -independent and identically distributed random variables with $\varphi(x_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(x_i^2) = 1$. Then

$$\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges, for $n \to \infty$, almost surely to a q-Gaussian distribution.



Relation to *q*-Gaussians

Theorem

Choose the ε_{ij} as before. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_1^{(n)}, \ldots, x_n^{(n)}$ be identically distributed and ε -independent. Assume furthermore that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n\varphi((x_i^{(n)})^k) =: \alpha_k$$

exists. Then the random variable $x_1^{(n)} + \cdots + x_n^{(n)}$ converges in distribution, on average and also almost surely, for $n \to \infty$, to a variable x whose distribution is given by

$$\varphi(x^k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} q^{\operatorname{crossing}(\pi)} \alpha_{\pi},$$

where $crossing(\pi)$ is the number of pairs of blocks of π which have a crossing, and α_{π} is the multiplicative extension according to the blocks of π .

Convolution via cumulants

A formula like

$$\varphi(x^k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} q^{\operatorname{crossing}(\pi)} \alpha_{\pi},$$

can be used to define q-cumulants α_n ; and q-convolution by additivity of the q-cumulants. The main problem is again positivity.



23 / 24

Convolution via cumulants

A formula like

$$\varphi(x^k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} q^{\operatorname{crossing}(\pi)} \alpha_{\pi},$$

can be used to define q-cumulants α_n ; and q-convolution by additivity of the q-cumulants. The main problem is again positivity.

Example

The definition of *q*-cumulants depends on the choice of *number of crossings* for a general partition. There have been various suggestions

- "left reduced number of crossings" (Nica) not positive
- "restricted number of crossings" (Anshelevich)
- the above suggests: take

"number of pairs of blocks which cross"

Convolution via cumulants

A formula like

$$\varphi(x^k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} q^{\operatorname{crossing}(\pi)} \alpha_{\pi},$$

can be used to define q-cumulants α_n ; and q-convolution by additivity of the q-cumulants. The main problem is again positivity.

So maybe, a positive q-convolution exists in the end



23 / 24

Convolution via cumulants

A formula like

$$\varphi(x^k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} q^{\operatorname{crossing}(\pi)} \alpha_{\pi},$$

can be used to define q-cumulants α_n ; and q-convolution by additivity of the q-cumulants. The main problem is again positivity.

So maybe, a positive *q*-convolution exists in the end which, of course, it should, because **life and mathematics is nice**.



Happy Birthday, Marek!



