Simon Ertl

10. September 2020

C1	E
Simon	Ertl.
Simon	

Abelian Categories

э

ヨト・イヨト

Introduction

- Null object and null morphism
- Kernels and Cokernels

Additive Categories

- Factorization of morphisms
- Additive functors

3 Abelian Categories

- Basic properties
- Five lemma
- The Freyd-Mitchell embedding theorem

Definition

In a category C an object is called *null object* or *zero object* **0** if it is both initial and terminal. A category with zero objects is called *pointed category*.

Definition

In a category C an object is called *null object* or *zero object* **0** if it is both initial and terminal. A category with zero objects is called *pointed category*.

Definition

Let C be a category with a zero object. The morphism $f : a \mapsto b$ is called *null morphism* if it factors through **0**.

▲ 伊 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三

Definition

In a category C an object is called *null object* or *zero object* **0** if it is both initial and terminal. A category with zero objects is called *pointed category*.

Definition

Let C be a category with a zero object. The morphism $f : a \mapsto b$ is called *null morphism* if it factors through **0**.

For each pair $a, b \in obj(\mathcal{C})$, the zero morphism $0_{c,d} : c \mapsto 0 \mapsto d$ is unique as **0** is both initial and terminal.

- 4 回 ト - 4 三 ト

Definition

In a category C an object is called *null object* or *zero object* **0** if it is both initial and terminal. A category with zero objects is called *pointed category*.

Definition

Let C be a category with a zero object. The morphism $f : a \mapsto b$ is called *null morphism* if it factors through **0**.

For each pair $a, b \in obj(\mathcal{C})$, the zero morphism $0_{c,d} : c \mapsto 0 \mapsto d$ is unique as **0** is both initial and terminal.

Example

In **Gr** the zero object is the trivial group and the zero morphisms are exactly the morphisms, that map all elements to the neutral element.

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Kernels and cokernels

Definition

For a pointed category C the *kernel* of a morphism $f : A \mapsto B$ is the equalizer of f and $0_{a,b}$. The *cokernel* is the dual concept.



So

(i)
$$f \circ k = 0 \circ k = 0$$

(ii) for any other k' with $f \circ k' = 0$, k' factors uniquely to $k' = k \circ u$.

국 동 김 국

Definition

A category is called *pre-additive* or *Ab-category* if every hom-set C(a, b) is an additive abelian group and composition is bilinear in a way that the it distributes over the addition.

 $h \circ (f + g) = h \circ f + h \circ g$ and $(f + g) \circ h = f \circ h + g \circ h$

Definition

A category is called *pre-additive* or *Ab-category* if every hom-set C(a, b) is an additive abelian group and composition is bilinear in a way that the it distributes over the addition.

$$h \circ (f + g) = h \circ f + h \circ g$$
 and $(f + g) \circ h = f \circ h + g \circ h$

Proposition

Let z be an object of an Ab-category A. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) z is initial.
- (ii) z is terminal.

(iii) A has a zero object.

(4) (日本)

Proof.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) by definition. By duality it suffices to proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). As z is initial there exists a unique map $1_z : z \mapsto z$, thus $Mor_A(z, z)$ has only one element, the zero element. For any other arrow $f : b \mapsto z$ the composition $1_z \circ f$ has to be zero as well. And therefore z is terminal. \Box

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proof.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) by definition. By duality it suffices to proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). As z is initial there exists a unique map $1_z : z \mapsto z$, thus $Mor_A(z, z)$ has only one element, the zero element. For any other arrow $f : b \mapsto z$ the composition $1_z \circ f$ has to be zero as well. And therefore z is terminal.

Definition

Let A be an Ab-category. For objects $a, b \in A$ a biproduct is diagram

$$a \xrightarrow[p_1]{i_1} c \xrightarrow[i_2]{p_2} b$$
 that fulfils $p_1i_1 = 1_a$, $p_2i_2 = 1_b$, $i_1p_1 + i_2p_2 = 1_c$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Proof.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) by definition. By duality it suffices to proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). As z is initial there exists a unique map $1_z : z \mapsto z$, thus $Mor_A(z, z)$ has only one element, the zero element. For any other arrow $f : b \mapsto z$ the composition $1_z \circ f$ has to be zero as well. And therefore z is terminal. \Box

Definition

Let A be an Ab-category. For objects $a, b \in A$ a biproduct is diagram

$$a \xrightarrow{i_1} c \xrightarrow{p_2} b$$
 that fulfils $p_1 i_1 = 1_a$, $p_2 i_2 = 1_b$, $i_1 p_1 + i_2 p_2 = 1_c$.

Example

In the abelian groups the biproduct is exactly the direct sum.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proposition

In an Ab-category the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The product of a, b exists.
- (ii) The coproduct of a, b exists.
- (iii) The biproduct of a, b exists.

э

H N



By duality we only have to proof the equivalence of (i) and (iii). Let us assume we have a product $a \xleftarrow{p_a} c \xrightarrow{p_b} b$. By the definition of the product there exists a unique morphism $s_a : a \mapsto c$, such that $p_a \circ s_a = 1_a$, $p_b \circ s_b = 0$ and vice versa for s_b . Then

$$p_a \circ (s_a \circ p_a + s_b \circ p_b) = p_a + 0 = p_a$$
$$p_b \circ (s_a \circ p_a + s_b \circ p_b) = 0 + p_b = p_b$$

and $s_a \circ p_a + s_b \circ p_b = 1_c$.

We now have a biproduct as in our definition.

$$a \xrightarrow{f}_{\stackrel{i_1}{\longleftarrow} p_1} c \xrightarrow{g}_{\stackrel{i_2}{\longleftarrow} p_2} b$$

Define $h: d \mapsto c$ as $h = i_1 \circ f + i_2 \circ g$. Then $p_1 \circ h = f$ and $p_2 \circ h = g$. For $h'd \mapsto c$ with $p_1 \circ h' = f$ and $p_2 \circ h' = g$ we deduce

$$h' = 1_c h' = (i_1 p_1 + i_2 p_2) h' = i_1 p_1 h' + i_2 p_2 h' = i_1 f + i_2 g = h$$

and therefore $a \xleftarrow{p_1} c \xrightarrow{p_2} b$ is indeed a product.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Additive category

Definition

An *additive category* is defined as a pre-additive category with a zero object and a biproduct for each pair of objects.

Additive category

Definition

An *additive category* is defined as a pre-additive category with a zero object and a biproduct for each pair of objects.

Definition

If A, B are Ab-categories, a functor $F : A \mapsto B$ is additive when for all parallel arrows $f, f' : b \mapsto c$ in A

$$F(f+f') = F(f) + F(f')$$

holds, so F is a group homomorphism. The composite of additive functors is additive.

b 4 E b 4 E b

Additive category

Definition

An *additive category* is defined as a pre-additive category with a zero object and a biproduct for each pair of objects.

Definition

If A, B are Ab-categories, a functor $F : A \mapsto B$ is additive when for all parallel arrows $f, f' : b \mapsto c$ in A

$$F(f+f')=F(f)+F(f')$$

holds, so F is a group homomorphism. The composite of additive functors is additive.

Proposition

F is additive if and only if F preserves biproducts.

3

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Additive Categories

Example

Let \mathcal{A} be pre-additive and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The representable functor

$$\mathcal{A}(a,-):\mathcal{A}\mapsto Ab,\ \mathcal{A}(a,-)(b)=\mathcal{A}(a,b)$$

is additive. Let $f,g:b\mapsto c$ be parallel arrows. As $Hom_A(b,c)$ is an abelian group and thus

$$\mathcal{A}(A,-)(f-g):\mathcal{A}(a,b)\mapsto\mathcal{A}(a,c)$$

is well defined, as

 $\mathcal{A}(a, f - g)(h) = (f - g) \circ h = f \circ h - g \circ h = \mathcal{A}(a, f)(h) - \mathcal{A}(a, g)(h)$

and with the zero morphism $\mathcal{A}(a, -)(0)(h) = 0 \circ h = 0$ the functor $\mathcal{A}(a, -)$ is additive.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Additive Yoneda

Theorem

If A is a pre additive category, $A \in A$, $F : A \mapsto Ab$ is an additive functor, there exist isomorphisms of abelian groups such that:

 $Nat(\mathcal{A}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$

Additive Yoneda

Theorem

If A is a pre additive category, $A \in A$, $F : A \mapsto Ab$ is an additive functor, there exist isomorphisms of abelian groups such that:

 $Nat(\mathcal{A}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$

Proof.

As for the "normal" Yoneda Lemma.

A B A A B A

Definition

An Ab-category C is *abelian* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) C has a zero object.

э

E 6 4 E 6

Definition

An Ab-category C is *abelian* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(ii) C has a biproduct for each pair of objects.

э

E 6 4 E 6

Definition

An Ab-category C is *abelian* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (ii) C has a biproduct for each pair of objects.
- (iii) Every arrow has a kernel and a cokernel.

H N

Definition

An Ab-category C is *abelian* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (ii) C has a biproduct for each pair of objects.
- (iii) Every arrow has a kernel and a cokernel.
- (iv) Every monomorphism is a kernel and every epi is cokernel.

Definition

An Ab-category C is *abelian* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (ii) C has a biproduct for each pair of objects.
- (iii) Every arrow has a kernel and a cokernel.
- (iv) Every monomorphism is a kernel and every epi is cokernel.

If it only fulfils the conditions (i)-(iii) it is pre-abelian.

Definition

An Ab-category C is *abelian* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (ii) C has a biproduct for each pair of objects.
- (iii) Every arrow has a kernel and a cokernel.
- (iv) Every monomorphism is a kernel and every epi is cokernel.
- If it only fulfils the conditions (i)-(iii) it is pre-abelian.

Proposition

The dual notion of an abelian category is again the one of an abelian category.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Definition

The image and coimage of a function is defined as

im f = ker(coker(f)) and coim f = coker(ker(f))

э

E 6 4 E 6

Definition

The image and coimage of a function is defined as

im
$$f = \text{ker}(\text{coker}(f))$$
 and *coim* $f = \text{coker}(\text{ker}(f))$

Proposition

In an abelian category A, f is and isomorphism, iff f is iso and monic.

3

A B A A B A

Definition

The image and coimage of a function is defined as

im
$$f = ker(coker(f))$$
 and *coim* $f = coker(ker(f))$

Proposition

In an abelian category A, f is and isomorphism, iff f is iso and monic.

Proof.

"⇒" √

"" \Leftarrow " As f is monic, f is the kernel for some g, $f = \ker g$. But since f is epi $g \circ f = 0$ implies that g = 0. Then $0 \circ 1_A = 0$ and as f is the kernel of g, there exists a unique factorization such that $1 = f \circ h$. Thus f is and isomorphism.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Theorem

For an abelian category A, every morphism has a factorization, f = me, where m is a monomorphism and e is an epimorphism. Moreover m = ker(coker(f)) and e = coker(ker(f)).

Proof.

Let us define the following morphisms: $g = \operatorname{coker}(f), m = \ker(g) = \ker(\operatorname{coker}(f)) \text{ and } f : b \mapsto c.$ As $g \circ f = 0$ im $f \xrightarrow{m} c \xrightarrow{g} d$ and m is the kernel of g there exists a unique e, such that the diagram commutes, and as m is monic for every $ft = 0 \Leftrightarrow et = 0$ and thus $\ker(f) = \ker(e)$. If we proof now that e is epi, $e = \operatorname{coker}(\ker(e)) = \operatorname{coker}(\ker(f))$ holds.

- 3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Proof.

Now consider a pair of parallel arrows r, s such that re = se and their equalizer q with rq = sq.



Now we can factor e through the equalizer as e = qe' and f = me = mqe'. As q is monic as an equalizer, so is mq and as we are abelian, m' = mq is a kernel. One can show that for two factorizations f = me and f = m'e', there exists an arrow t such that m = m'tand e = te'. Thus $m = m't = mqt \Rightarrow qt = 1$, so the monic q has a right inverse, therefore q is an isomorphism and $rq = sq \Rightarrow r = s$.

Exact sequences

Definition

A composable pair of arrows $\cdot \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} \cdot$ is called *exact at b* if im $f = \ker g$. A diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c \longrightarrow 0$$

is called *short exact sequence* when it is exact at *a*, *b* and *c*.

Exact sequences

Definition

A composable pair of arrows $\cdot \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} \cdot$ is called *exact at b* if im $f = \ker g$. A diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c \longrightarrow 0$$

is called *short exact sequence* when it is exact at *a*, *b* and *c*.

Exactness at a giving us, that f is epi and conversly that g is monic.

Diagram Lemmas

Motivation

As for an arbitrary abelian category Proofs concerning exact sequences can be a lot easier when we perform them in a concrete category like $Mod_{\mathcal{R}}$ for a Ring \mathcal{R} as monomorphisms are just injections, epis are surjections. This leads to

(i) $f : A \mapsto B$ is monic iff $\forall a \in A f(a) = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$ iff $\forall a, a' \in A f(a) = f(a') \Rightarrow a = a'$ (ii) $f : A \mapsto B$ is epi iff $\forall b \in B \exists a \in A; f(a) = b$ (iii) $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is exact iff $\forall a \in A g(f(a)) = a$ and $\forall b \in B g(b) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists a \in A$ such that b = f(a)

This makes proving statements concerning exact sequences much easier.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Five Lemma

We are going to prove the five lemma in Mod_R . Consider the following diagram



Theorem

If the rows of (1) are exact and f_1, f_2, f_4, f_5 are isomorphisms, so is f_3 .

By duality it is sufficient to proof that f is monic.

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

By duality it is sufficient to proof that f is monic. We consider an $c \in a_3$ such that $f_3(c) = 0$. Now $f_4g_3(c) = h_3f_3(c) = h_3(c) = 0$ and as f_4 is a monomorphism $g_3(c) = 0$.

- 3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

By duality it is sufficient to proof that f is monic. We consider an $c \in a_3$ such that $f_3(c) = 0$. Now $f_4g_3(c) = h_3f_3(c) = h_3(c) = 0$ and as f_4 is a monomorphism $g_3(c) = 0$. Therefore, by exactness, we find a $b \in a_2$ such that $g_2(b) = c$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

By duality it is sufficient to proof that f is monic. We consider an $c \in a_3$ such that $f_3(c) = 0$. Now $f_4g_3(c) = h_3f_3(c) = h_3(c) = 0$ and as f_4 is a monomorphism $g_3(c) = 0$. Therefore,by exactness, we find a $b \in a_2$ such that $g_2(b) = c$. Since $h_2f_2(b) = f_3g_2(b) = f_3(c) = 0$ we have an $d \in b_1$ with $h_1(d) = f_2(b)$ (exactness).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

By duality it is sufficient to proof that f is monic. We consider an $c \in a_3$ such that $f_3(c) = 0$. Now $f_4g_3(c) = h_3f_3(c) = h_3(c) = 0$ and as f_4 is a monomorphism $g_3(c) = 0$. Therefore, by exactness, we find a $b \in a_2$ such that $g_2(b) = c$. Since $h_2f_2(b) = f_3g_2(b) = f_3(c) = 0$ we have an $d \in b_1$ with $h_1(d) = f_2(b)$ (exactness). As f_1 is iso we can choose an $a \in a_1$ with $f_1(a) = d$. Now $f_2g_1(a) = h_1f_1(a) = h_1(d) = f_2(b)$, as f_2 is monic, $g_1(a) = b$.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

By duality it is sufficient to proof that f is monic. We consider an $c \in a_3$ such that $f_3(c) = 0$. Now $f_4g_3(c) = h_3f_3(c) = h_3(c) = 0$ and as f_4 is a monomorphism $g_3(c) = 0$. Therefore, by exactness, we find a $b \in a_2$ such that $g_2(b) = c$. Since $h_2f_2(b) = f_3g_2(b) = f_3(c) = 0$ we have an $d \in b_1$ with $h_1(d) = f_2(b)$ (exactness). As f_1 is iso we can choose an $a \in a_1$ with $f_1(a) = d$. Now $f_2g_1(a) = h_1f_1(a) = h_1(d) = f_2(b)$, as f_2 is monic, $g_1(a) = b$. And thus again by exactness $c = g_2(b) = g_2g_1(a) = 0$. Therefore f is monic, by duality epi and as we are in an abelian category, fis an isomorphism.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The Freyd-Mitchell embedding theorem

Theorem (The Freyd-Mitchell embedding theorem)

Every small abelian category admits a full, faithful and exact functor to the category Mod_R for some Ring R.

Hereby we call a functor *exact* if it preserves finite limits. This theorem lets us understand small abelian categories as full subcategories of Mod_R , therefore leading to some nice as the diagram chasing seen above. A proof can be found in Francis Borceuxs Handbook of Categorical Algebra Volume 2.