

# Homological Algebra

Friedrich Günther, Eileen Oberringer, Nikolaos Tsakanikas

For every talk, we assume  $R$  to be some fixed unital ring and any module is assumed to be an  $R$ -module. If not explicitly stated otherwise, any linear map is assumed to be  $R$ -linear.

## 1 About Chains and Snakes

**Definition 1.1:** A *left module*  $M$  is an abelian group  $(M, +)$  together with a map

$$R \times M \rightarrow M, (r, m) \mapsto r \cdot m,$$

such that for all  $r, r_1, r_2 \in R, m, m_1, m_2 \in M$  the following hold:

- $r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot m) = (r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot m$
- $(r_1 + r_2) \cdot m = r_1 \cdot m + r_2 \cdot m$
- $r \cdot (m_1 + m_2) = r \cdot m_1 + r \cdot m_2$
- $1 \cdot m = m.$

**Definition 1.2:** A family  $\mathbf{M} = \{M_n, \alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of modules and module homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{M}: \dots \longrightarrow M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} M_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} \dots$$

such that  $\alpha_n \circ \alpha_{n+1} = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\text{im } \alpha_{n+1} \subset \ker \alpha_n$ , is called *chain complex*. Each mapping  $\alpha_n: M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}$  is called a *boundary mapping* or *differential operator*.

**Definition 1.3:** We call a chain complex

$$\mathbf{M}: \dots \rightarrow M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} M_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} M_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots$$

- *exact at  $M_n$*  if  $\text{im}(\alpha_{n+1}) = \ker(\alpha_n)$ .
- *exact* if it is exact at  $M_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- *short exact sequence* if it is an exact complex of the form

$$0 \rightarrow M_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} M_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

**Definition 1.4:** Let

$$\mathbf{M} : \cdots \rightarrow M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} M_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} M_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

be a chain complex. We call  $H_n(\mathbf{M}) = \ker \alpha_n / \text{im } \alpha_{n+1}$  the  $n$ -th homology module of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**Definition 1.5:** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be two chain complexes. A family  $\mathbf{f} = \{f_n : M_n \rightarrow N_{n+k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of linear mappings such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} & M_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & M_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow f_n & & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n+k+1}} & N_{n+k} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n+k}} & N_{n+k-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n+k-1}} & \cdots \end{array}$$

is commutative for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called *chain map of degree k*.

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be two chain complexes and let  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be two chain maps of degree 0. A chain map  $\varphi = \{\varphi_n : M_n \rightarrow N_{n+1}\}$  of degree 1 such that  $f_n - g_n = \beta_{n+1}\varphi_n + \varphi_{n-1}\alpha_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called a *homotopy*.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n+1}} & M_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & M_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & \\ & & \downarrow g_{n+1} & \downarrow f_{n+1} & \swarrow \varphi_n & \downarrow f_n & \swarrow \varphi_{n-1} & \downarrow g_{n-1} & \downarrow f_{n-1} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & N_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n+1}} & N_n & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & N_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & \end{array}$$

We denote it by  $\varphi : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$  ( $\mathbf{f} \approx \mathbf{g}$ ) and say that  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  are *homotopic chain maps*. If there exist two chain maps  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  such that  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f} \approx \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g} \approx \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}$  then  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  are said to be of the *same homotopy type*. The chain maps  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  are called *homotopy equivalences*.

**Definition 1.7:** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is called (*pre-*)*additive* if for all objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  the set  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$  has the structure of an additive abelian group and if for all  $f, f_1, f_2 \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$  and all  $g, g_1, g_2 \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C)$  it holds

$$g \circ (f_1 + f_2) = g \circ f_1 + g \circ f_2$$

and

$$(g_1 + g_2) \circ f = g_1 \circ f + g_2 \circ f.$$

**Definition 1.8:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be (pre-)additive categories. A (covariant or contravariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called *additive* if for all objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  the induced map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) &\rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B)) \\ f &\mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism.

Chain complexes and chain maps form an additive category. We denote it by  $\mathbf{Chain}_R$ .

**Definition 1.9:** Let  $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be three chain complexes. A sequence

$$\mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

of chain complexes is said to be a *short exact sequence*, if for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the sequence  $0 \rightarrow L_n \xrightarrow{f_n} M_n \xrightarrow{g_n} N_n \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence in  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$ . Note that a short exact sequence of chain complexes is a 2-dimensional commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & M_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & N_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_{n+1} & & \downarrow \beta_{n+1} & & \downarrow \gamma_{n+1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & M_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & N_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_n & & \downarrow \beta_n & & \downarrow \gamma_n & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & M_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & N_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.10:** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be modules and let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a linear map. Then  $\text{coker } f := N/\text{im } f$  is called *cokernel of  $f$* .

## 2 Projective and injective resolutions

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $P$  be a module. If for every surjective homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  and every homomorphism  $g: P \rightarrow M$  there is a homomorphism  $h: P \rightarrow N$  that renders commutative the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & N \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow f \\ P & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

then  $P$  is called *projective*.

**Codefinition 2.2:** Let  $M, N$  and  $I$  be modules. If for every injective homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  and any homomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow I$  there is a homomorphism  $h: N \rightarrow I$  rendering commutative the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ g \downarrow & & \swarrow h \\ I & & \end{array}$$

then  $I$  is called *injective*.

**Codefinition 2.3:** Let  $\mathbf{C} = (M^n, \alpha^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a family of modules and linear maps of the form

$$\mathbf{C}: \dots \rightarrow M^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{n-1}} M^n \xrightarrow{\alpha^n} M^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

If for all integers  $n$  it holds  $\alpha^{n+1} \circ \alpha^n = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{C}$  is called a *cochain complex*. For the integer  $n$ , the quotient

$$H^n(\mathbf{C}) = \ker \alpha^n / \text{im } \alpha^{n-1}$$

is called the *n-th cohomology module of  $\mathbf{C}$* .

**Definition 2.4:** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a positive chain complex

$$\mathbf{C}: \dots \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Then  $\mathbf{C}_M$ , the so called *deleted chain complex*, denotes the complex where  $M$  is omitted.

### 3 Derived Functors

**Definition 2.5:** Let  $\mathbf{P}' = (P_n, \alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be an exact positive chain complex. If all  $P_n$  are projective and if the chain complex

$$\mathbf{P}: \dots \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \dots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then  $\mathbf{P}$  is called a *projective resolution* of  $M$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{P}_M := \mathbf{P}'$  is called the *deleted projective resolution* of  $M$ .

**Codefinition 2.6:** Let  $\mathbf{I}$  be the exact positive cochain complex

$$\mathbf{I}: 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} I^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} I^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{n-1}} I^n \rightarrow \dots$$

If for every natural number  $n$  the module  $I_n$  is injective, then  $\mathbf{I}$  is called *injective resolution* of  $M$ . Again,  $\mathbf{I}^M$  denotes the deleted injective resolution of  $M$ .

## 3 Derived Functors

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings with identity.

(a) A covariant functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{Mod}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_S$  is called

(i) *left exact* if for every exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2$$

in  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$ , the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(M_2)$$

is exact in  $\mathbf{Mod}_S$ .

(ii) *right exact* if for every exact sequence

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$ , the sequence

$$\mathcal{F}(M_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(M_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in  $\mathbf{Mod}_S$ .

### 3 Derived Functors

(iii) *exact* if it is both left exact and right exact.

(b) A contravariant functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{Mod}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_S$  is called

(i) *left exact* if for every exact sequence

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$ , the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_2) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(M_1)$$

is exact in  $\mathbf{Mod}_S$ .

(ii) *right exact* if for every exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2$$

in  $\mathbf{Mod}_R$ , the sequence

$$\mathcal{F}(M_2) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(M_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in  $\mathbf{Mod}_S$ .

(iii) *exact* if it is both left exact and right exact.

**Definition 3.2:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be categories and let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be functors. A natural transformation  $\eta$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$  is a rule that associates a morphism  $\eta_A: \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  with every object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  in such a way that for every morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \mathcal{G}(A) \\ \mathcal{F}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{G}(f) \\ \mathcal{F}(B) & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & \mathcal{G}(B) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

If, moreover,  $\eta_A$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}$  for every object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\eta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is called a *natural isomorphism* and  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are said to be *naturally equivalent* functors.

## 4 Examples are Manifold

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces, let  $f: V \rightarrow W$  be linear and let  $f^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$ ,  $\psi \mapsto \psi \circ f$  be its dual map. Then there is one and only one linear map  $\wedge^n f^*: \wedge^n W^* \rightarrow \wedge^n V^*$  which is uniquely determined by

$$\wedge^n f^*(\psi^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi^n) = f^*(\psi^1) \wedge \cdots \wedge f^*(\psi^n).$$

For an  $n$ -form  $\omega \in \wedge^n W^*$ ,  $\wedge^n f^*(\omega)$  is called *pullback of  $\omega$  along  $f$* .

**Definition 4.2 (Differential Form of Degree  $n$ ):** Let  $n$  be a natural number and let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be open. A map

$$\omega: U \longrightarrow \wedge^n (\mathbb{R}^N)^*, \quad u \longmapsto \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq N} f_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}(u) dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n},$$

where  $f_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are functions, is a *differential form of degree  $n$  on  $U$* ; briefly called  *$n$ -form* (on  $U$ ). The space

$$\Omega^n(U) := \{\omega: U \rightarrow \wedge^n (\mathbb{R}^N)^* \text{ smooth differential form}\}$$

is called *space of smooth  $n$ -forms on  $U$* .

**Definition 4.3 (Wedge Product for Differential Forms):** Let  $n$  and  $m$  be natural numbers, let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be open and let  $\omega \in \Omega^n(U)$ ,  $\eta \in \Omega^m(U)$ . Then the function

$$\omega \wedge \eta: U \longrightarrow \wedge^{n+m} (\mathbb{R}^N)^*, \quad u \longmapsto \omega(u) \wedge \eta(u)$$

is called *wedge product of  $\omega$  and  $\eta$* .

**Definition 4.4:** Let  $n$  be a natural number and let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be open. For a differential form  $\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq N} f_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n} \in \Omega^n(U)$ , the differential form

$$d\omega := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_n \leq N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial f_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}}{\partial x^j} dx^j \right) \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n}$$

is called *exterior derivative of  $\omega$* .

**Definition 4.5:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^M$  be open, let  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  be smooth and let  $\omega \in \Omega^n(V)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi^*\omega): U &\longrightarrow \wedge^n (\mathbb{R}^N)^*, \\ u &\longmapsto \left[ (v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto \omega(\varphi(u))[(D\varphi)(u)(v_1), \dots, (D\varphi)(u)(v_n)] \right] \end{aligned}$$

is called *pullback of  $\omega$  along  $\varphi$* .

#### 4 Examples are Manifold

**Definition 4.6:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be open. Then there is the cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^0(U) \xrightarrow{d^0} \Omega^1(U) \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{N-1}} \Omega^N(U) \longrightarrow 0$$

For a natural number  $n$ , the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $H^n(U) := \ker d^n / \operatorname{im} d^{n-1}$  is called the  $n$ -th de Rham cohomology of  $U$ , and the direct sum  $H^\bullet(U) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^N H^n(U)$  is called the de Rham cohomology of  $U$ .

**Reminder 4.7 (Line Integral):** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  be open and let  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow U$  be a smooth path. For  $\eta \in \Omega^1(U)$ , the value

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta := \int_a^b \gamma^* \eta(t) dt = \int_a^b \langle \eta(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle dt$$

is called *line integral of  $\eta$  along  $\gamma$* .