## Verived Functors

Nikolaos Tsakanikas

- Development of the left and right derived functors of an additive covariant functor  $F: \mathcal{Mod}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{Ab}$ .
- The dual case of an additive contravariant functor can be obtained from the covariant case simply by reversing the arrows and making dual arguments.
- **PROP.**: Let R,S be rings with identity and let F .  $Wod_R \rightarrow Wod_S$  be an additive covariant functor.
  - (i) If M is a chain complex in their, then F(M) is a chain complex in Chains.
  - (ii) If  $4: M \rightarrow N$  is a chain map of degree k in them, then  $f(4): f(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  is a chain map of degree k in thains.

(iii) If 4,  $g: M \rightarrow N$  are homotopic chain maps in Chain<sub>R</sub>, then F(4),  $F(g): F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  are homotopic chain maps in Chain<sub>s</sub>, and therefore  $H_n(F(4)) = H_n(F(g)): H_n(F(M)) \longrightarrow H_n(F(N))$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

=> Every homotopy duss [4] of a chain map  $f:M \rightarrow N$  produces exactly one homology mapping  $H_n(\mathcal{F}(M)) \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{F}(N))$ .

There are analogues of the previous Prop for cochain complexes and cochain maps . an additive contravariant functor.

Let F: Mod<sub>R</sub> -> who be an additive covariant functor. It  $P: P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} P_{n-1} \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P_o \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} M \longrightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of Me Mode, then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Z}): \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(Q_n)} \mathcal{F}(P_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(Q_n)} \mathcal{F}(P_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(Q_n)} \mathcal{F}(Q_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(Q_n)}$ is a chain complex in ab . However, F(2) may not be exact and there is no reason to expect that  $F(I_n)$  is projective. If P and Q are projective resolutions of M and N, respectively, and f: M-IV is an R-mod. nomomorphism, then there is a commutative diagram  $---)P_n \xrightarrow{q_n} P_{n-1} \longrightarrow --- \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{Q_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{Q_0} M \longrightarrow 0$ 

where  $f: P_M \to Q_N$  is a chain map generated by f . By applying F, we get a commutative diagram

where the rows are chain complexes and  $F(\mathbf{4}): F(\mathbf{P}_{\!M}) \longrightarrow F(\mathbf{Q}_{\!N})$  is a chain map, and thus for each near there is an induced homology map  $H_n(F(\mathbf{4})): H_n(F(\mathbf{P}_{\!M})) \longrightarrow H_n(F(\mathbf{Q}_{\!N})).$ 

## PROP. :

- (i) If P and P' are projective resolutions of M, then  $H_n\left(\mathcal{F}(P_M)\right) \equiv H_n\left(\mathcal{F}(P_M')\right), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$
- (ii) If  $4:M\to N$  is an R-module homomorphism and P and Q are projective resolutions of M and N, respectively, then for each new the induced group homomorphism (n-th homology mapping)

 $Hn(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})): Hn(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_{M})) \longrightarrow Hn(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q}_{N}))$ 

does not depend on the choice of the chain map  $f: \mathcal{P}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_N$  generated by f.

There we analogous statements (with similar proofs) about injective resolutions contravariant functors

## PROOF:

(i) We saw earlier that  $P_{\mu}$  and  $P'_{\mu}$  are of the same homotopy type; in particular, if  $4:P_{\mu}\to P'_{\mu}$  and  $g:P'_{\mu}\to P_{\mu}$  are chain maps generated by  $Id_{\mu}$ , then  $4g=Id_{p'_{\mu}}$  and  $g#=Id_{p'_{\mu}}$ . By previous PROP we infer

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

This implies

$$H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f})) H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{g})) = H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f})\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{g})) = H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}))$$

$$= H_{n}(\mathbf{Id}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{p}'_{M})}) = \mathbf{Id}_{H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{p}'_{M}))}$$

and similarly  $H_n(\mathcal{F}(g))H_n(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})) = \mathbf{Td}_{H_n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_n))$ , which yields the dain.

(ii) If  $4,q:P_M \rightarrow Q_N$  are chain maps generated by f, then f=g, so  $\mathcal{T}(4) \approx \mathcal{T}(q)$ , and therefore  $H_n(\mathcal{T}(4)) = H_n(\mathcal{T}(q))$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which proves the claim.

The above PROP (and its various analogues) provide the tools necessary to establish the n-th left and n-th right derived functors of an additive (covariant or contravariant) functor  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Uod}_R \to \mathcal{Ab}$ .

# Left Perived Functors of an Additive Covariant Functor

F: Mode - abb: additive, covariant Choose and fix a projective resolution of each R-module. · It M is an R-module and  $2: -7P_n -7P_{n-1} -7P_n -7P$ is the chosen projective resolution of M, then  $f(\mathbf{P}): \dots \to \mathcal{F}(P_n) \to \mathcal{F}(P_{n-1}) \to \dots \to \mathcal{F}(P_0) \to \mathcal{F}(P_$ is a chain complex in ab. Consider the deleted complex  $f(P_M)$ , take homology and set  $Ln \mathcal{F}(M) := Hn(\mathcal{F}(P_M)), n \in N$ .

. If  $f:M\to N$  is an R-module homomorphism and P and Q are the chosen projective resolutions of M and N, respectively, then consider a chain map  $4:P_M \longrightarrow Q_N$  generated by 4 and the induced n-th homology mapping  $Hn(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})): Hn(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\mu})) \longrightarrow Hn(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q}_{\nu})).$  By the previous PROP, Hn (7(4)) depends only on 4 and not on the drain map 4 generated by 4, and thus we set LnF(4) := Hn(F(4)), ne/V.  $: In \mathcal{F}(M) \longrightarrow In \mathcal{F}(N)$ 

For each new we have a functor

Ln 7: wlod\_R -> Ab.

Q: Does its construction depend on the chosen projective resolutions?

If the projective resolutions are chosen in a different way, then we obtain another functor  $L_n\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Bb}$  constructed in exactly the same fushion as  $L_n\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{H}$  because  $\mathcal{L}$ 

**PROP:** The functors  $L_n \mathcal{F}$  and  $L_n \mathcal{F}$  are naturally equivalent. **PROOF:** If P and P' are projective resolutions of M, then we saw in P the proof of the previous P and P is a drain map generated by P and P is a ctually unique, so we set  $P_{M} := P_{M} (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}))$ .

If  $f:M \rightarrow N$  is an R-module homomorphism and Q and Q' are projective resolutions of N, then we obtain a commutative diagram

$$H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}_{M})) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{M}} H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}_{M}'))$$

$$H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{4})) \Big| H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Q}_{N})) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}_{N}} H_{n}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Q}_{N}'))$$

Where  $f: P_M \longrightarrow Q_N$  and  $g: P_M \longrightarrow Q_N'$  are thuin maps generated by f. Hence we have a natural isomorphism  $\eta: L_n \not= J_n \not=$ 

=> The functor In 7 does not depend on the projective resolution chosen for its development.

In F: "n-th left derived functor of F"

## Right Perived Functors of an Additive Covariant Functor

- F: Mod<sub>R</sub> -> Ab: additive, coveriant

  Choose and fix an injective resolution of each R-module.
- $M \in \mathcal{M}od_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}: (the chosen) injective resolution of <math>M$   $\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{I}_{M}): cochain complex in Ab$ 
  - $\sim$   $2^n \mathcal{F}(M) := H^n(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{I}_M)), new$
- $4:M \rightarrow N \in \mathcal{M}od_{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow 4:I_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow J_{\mathcal{N}}: (ochcur map generated by 4, Where <math>J$  is (the chosen) injective resolution  $4 N \sim 2^n \mp (4) := H^n(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{M}})) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{N}}))$

For each new we have a functor In T: Wod -> ab

which does not depend on the injective resolution chosen for its development.

2) 277: "n-th right derived functor of F"

- If I: whock who is an additive contravariant functor, then

  - · LnF is constructed using injective resolutions.

     InF is constructed using projective resolutions.
- In F and 2nf we additive functors.

### Exactness of Functors

DEF: A covariant functor f: Mod, -> Mod, is auded (i) left exact if for every exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M_2$ in Moor, the sequence  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(4)} \mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)}, \mathcal{F}(M_2)$ is exact in Mocs. (ii) right exact if for every exact sequence M1 +> M &> M -> 0 in  $Mod_R$ , the sequence  $F(M_1) = F(M_2) = F(M$ 

is exact in  $\mathcal{M}od_{S}$ . (iii) exact if it both left exact and right exact. DEF.: A contravariant functor F: Mod\_ -> woods is called (i) left exact if for every exact sequence M1 = 1 M = 1 M2 - 0 in Mock, the sequence  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_2) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)} \mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(f)} \mathcal{F}(M_1)$ is exact in Mocs. (ii) night exact if for every exact sequence 0 -> M1 -> M 2 > M2 in Moc<sub>R</sub>, the sequence F(M2) F(g) F(M) F(1) F(M) - () is exact in Mocs (iii) exact it it is both left exact and right exact.

A functor F: Mod<sub>R</sub> -> Mod<sub>S</sub> is exact lie. preserves s.e.s.) it4 for every exact complex

in Mock, the induced sequence

 $F(M): - F(M_{n-1}) - F(M_n) - F(M_{n+1}) - ...$ 

is an exact complex in Mods

If (7,9) is an adjoint pair, then 7 is right exact and g is left exact.

#### Back to Perived Functors

- PROP: Let 7: Mod\_ db be an additive covariant functor.
  - (i) If F is right exact, then  $L_0F(M) \cong F(M)$ , so that  $L_0F$  and F are ruturally equivalent functors, and if P is a projective R-module, then  $L_0F(P) = O$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$ . ("P is F-acyclic")
  - (ii) If T is left exact, then  $\mathcal{L}^{\circ}F(M) \cong \mathcal{F}(M)$  for each R-module M, so that  $\mathcal{R}^{\circ}F$  and F are naturally equivalent functors, and if I is an injective R-module, then  $\mathcal{Z}^{n}F(I) = O$ ,  $\forall n \geq L$  ("I is F-acyclic")
  - There is an analogous statement (with similar proof) for a left/right exact additive contravariant functor.

PROOF

(i) Let ME ModR. II

 $P: \dots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{Q_n} P_{n-1} \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{Q_2} P_0 \xrightarrow{Q_0} M \longrightarrow O$ 

is a projective resolution of M, then

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}): \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}_n)} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}_1)} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}_n)} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Y}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}_n)} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Y}_$ 

is a chain complex such that

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_1)$   $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1)$   $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_0)$   $\mathcal$ 

is exact, because I is right exact. Hance

 $L_0 \mathcal{F}(M) = H_0 \left( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_M) \right) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_0) / Im \mathcal{F}(\alpha_1) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_0) / Ker \mathcal{F}(\alpha_0)$   $= \mathcal{F}(M)$ 

Thus there is an isomorphism my: LoF(M) -> F(M) we will show now

that the family  $\eta = \{\eta_M\}_M$  is a natural isomorphism. Indeed, let G be a projective resolution of N. If  $f: P_M \longrightarrow G_N$  is a chain map generated by  $f: P_M \longrightarrow G_N$  is a chain map generated by  $f: P_M \longrightarrow G_N$ 

$$P: \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow O$$

$$f_1 \downarrow \qquad f_0 \downarrow \qquad f_1 \downarrow \qquad f_1 \downarrow \qquad f_2 \downarrow \qquad f_3 \downarrow \qquad f_4 \downarrow \qquad f_4 \downarrow \qquad f_5 \downarrow \qquad f_6 \downarrow \qquad f_6 \downarrow \qquad f_6 \downarrow \qquad f_7 \downarrow \qquad f_8 \downarrow \qquad f_8$$

then we obtain a commutative diagram

$$LF(M) \xrightarrow{N_M} F(M)$$

$$LF(4) ] \qquad \qquad |F(4)|$$

$$LF(N) \xrightarrow{N_N} F(N)$$

where hu and hu are isomorphisms. This yields the dain about y.

Finally, if P is a projective R-module, then

is deally a projective resolution of P and therefore  $L_n F(P) = 0, \forall n \geq 1,$  since

$$\mathcal{F}(P_p): \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow O$$
.

(ii) Similar to (i).