

# $C^*$ -uniqueness of group algebras

Arnab Bhattacharjee<sup>1</sup>   Malay Mandal<sup>2</sup>   Timothy Rainone<sup>3</sup>  
Marco Roschkowski<sup>4</sup>   Ujan Chakraborty<sup>5</sup>   Elia Vincenzi<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IISER Bhopal, <sup>2</sup>CMI, <sup>3</sup>Occidental College,  
<sup>4</sup>University of Hagen, <sup>5</sup>IISER Kolkata (MS, 2020), <sup>6</sup>Tor Vergata (Uni Roma II)

ISem 24, Project 3, under supervision of  
Martijn Caspers, Mario Klisse, and Gerrit Vos

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# Algebraically $C^*$ -unique group

**Definition :** A group  $G$  is said to be algebraically  $C^*$ -unique if the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  admits a unique  $C^*$ -norm.

**Examples :** Finite groups are algebraically  $C^*$ -unique. More generally, locally finite groups are also algebraically  $C^*$ -unique.

Every algebraically  $C^*$ -unique group is amenable.

Caspers, Skalski studied algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups in the context of discrete quantum groups.

**Non-example :** For  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$  has more than one  $C^*$ -completion.

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$  be the  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C(\mathbb{T})$  generated by the identity function on  $\mathbb{T}$ .  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] \simeq \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Depending on the norm defined on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$ , we can have many  $C^*$ -completions on  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$ .

Any infinite closed subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  gives rise to a distinct  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T})$  defined by  $\|P\| := \sup_{z \in F} |P(z)|$ .

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - **Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness**
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

## Necessary-sufficient condition for algebraically $C^*$ unique group

Given a discrete group  $G$ , we write  $C^*(G)$  for the full group  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$ .

**Lemma [Alekseev, Kyed]:** Let  $G$  be a discrete group. Then  $G$  is algebraically  $C^*$ -unique if and only if every non-trivial closed, two-sided ideal in  $C^*(G)$  intersects  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  non-trivially.

**Outline of the proof of  $\Rightarrow$ :**

- Assume that there is a non-trivial two-sided closed ideal  $J$  intersecting  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  trivially. So, there is a quotient map  $q : C^*(G) \rightarrow C^*(G)/J$ .
- We may restrict  $q$  to  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  which yields a faithful  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow C^*(G)/J$ . This defines a  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  via  $\|x\| := \|\pi(x)\|$ . It is properly majorised by the universal  $C^*$ -norm of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . **Contradiction!**

# Continue

## Outline of the proof $\Leftarrow$ :

- Assume that  $G$  is not algebraically  $C^*$ -unique. So, there is a  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  which is properly majorised by the universal  $C^*$ -norm. Let  $A$  be the closure with respect to this  $C^*$ -norm. Then, there exists a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $C^*(G)$  onto  $A$ .
- The kernel of this surjective  $*$ -homomorphism intersects  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  trivially, since restriction of this  $*$ -homomorphism to  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  is an identity map-hence it is injective. Contradiction!

We will use this lemma to discuss a group which is torsion free and algebraically  $C^*$ -unique-  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^2$  where  $p, q$  are multiplicatively independent integers.



# A torsion-free algebraically $C^*$ -unique group

Let  $p, q \geq 2$  be two multiplicatively independent integers (i.e., there exist no  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p^r = q^s$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]$  be the additive group  $\left\{ \frac{a}{(pq)^n} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ .

Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]$  be given by  $\alpha_{(m,n)}(x) = p^m q^n x$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]$ .

Our goal is to prove that the torsion-free semi-direct product group  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^2$  is algebraically  $C^*$ -unique.

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - **A Bit on Actions . . .**
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# Faithful action

First we are going to prove that the action  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}[1/pq]$  defined by  $\alpha_{(n,m)}(x) = p^n q^m x$  is faithful.

## Proof.

- $p$  and  $q$  are multiplicatively independent

$$\implies p^n q^m = 1 \implies n = m = 0.$$

- Now  $\alpha_{(n,m)} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/pq]} \implies p^n q^m = 1$ . So, the action is faithful. ■

# Topologically free action

Let  $G$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  be discrete groups with  $\mathcal{A}$  abelian. Write  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  for the Pontryagin dual, which is compact Hausdorff. Recall that any action  $\beta : G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  induces a dual (continuous) action

$$\hat{\beta} : G \curvearrowright \hat{\mathcal{A}}; \quad \hat{\beta}_g(\chi)(x) = \chi(\beta_{g^{-1}}(x)).$$

## Definition

An action of a group  $G$  on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is said to be topologically free if for each  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ , the set of points of  $X$  fixed by  $g$  has empty interior.

## Lemma

*If  $\mathcal{A}$  is torsion-free, and  $\beta : G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  is a faithful action, then the dual action  $\hat{\beta} : G \curvearrowright \hat{\mathcal{A}}$  is topologically free.*

# Proof of lemma

- For  $g \in G$  let  $F_g = \{\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} : \hat{\beta}_g(\chi) = \chi\}$ . We will show that if  $(F_g)^\circ \neq \emptyset$  then  $g = e$ .
- Note that  $F_g$  is a subgroup of  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ .
- $F_g$  has nonempty interior  $\implies F_g$  is open subgroup.
- $\hat{\beta}_g$  is continuous  $\implies F_g$  is closed subgroup.

## Proof...

- $\mathcal{A}$  is discrete, abelian and torsion-free  $\implies \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is connected  $\implies F_g = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ .

- We have  $\chi(g^{-1}p) = \chi(p)$ ,  $\forall p \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\forall \chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$   
 $\implies g^{-1}p = p$ ,  $\forall p$ . As  $\beta$  is faithful, we have  $g = e$ . ■

**Now**  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}[1/pq]$  is faithful  $\implies$  from the previous lemma  
 $\widehat{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[1/pq]}$  is a topologically free action.

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - **$C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product**
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# Semidirect product of two groups

Let  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  be two discrete groups and suppose that  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright G$  is an action.

The **semidirect product** is defined as the set

$$\left\{ (g, t) \mid g \in G, t \in \Gamma \right\}$$

equipped with the operation

$$(g, t) \cdot (h, s) := (g\beta_t(h), ts).$$

This gives the set a group structure (with the identity  $(e, e)$ ).

We denote this group by  $G \rtimes \Gamma$ .



# $C^*$ -dynamical systems

## Definition

By a  $C^*$ -**dynamical system** we mean a triple  $(A, \alpha, \Gamma)$  where  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group, and  $\alpha : \Gamma \mapsto \text{Aut}(A)$  is a group homomorphism.

Given a  $C^*$ -dynamical system we may form the algebraic crossed product  $*$ -algebra

$$C_c(\Gamma, A) = \left\{ \sum_{s \in F} a_s s \mid F \subseteq \Gamma \text{ finite, } a_s \in A \right\}.$$

with operations

$$(a_s s)(b_t t) := a_s \alpha_s(b_t) s t, \quad (a_s s)^* = \alpha_{s^{-1}}(a_s^*) s^{-1}.$$

# Covariant representations

## Definition

A **covariant representation** of a  $C^*$ -system  $(A, \alpha, \Gamma)$  is a pair  $(\pi, u)$  consisting of a representation  $\pi : A \mapsto \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and a unitary representation  $u : \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying:

$$\pi(\alpha_s(a)) = u_s \pi(a) u_s^*; \quad \forall s \in \Gamma, \forall a \in A.$$

If  $(\pi, u)$  is a covariant representation on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we get a  $*$ -representation

$$\pi \rtimes u : C_c(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}); \quad \pi \rtimes u(a_s) = \pi(a_s) u(s).$$

We get a  $C^*$ -norm on  $C_c(\Gamma, A)$  as follows: for  $x \in C_c(\Gamma, A)$

$$\|x\|_{\max} := \sup \left\{ \|(\pi \rtimes u)(x)\| \mid (\pi, u) \text{ all covariant representations} \right\}.$$

# $A \rtimes \Gamma$ and Universal properties

Completing with respect to this  $C^*$ -norm gives the **full crossed product**  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \rtimes \Gamma := \overline{C_c(\Gamma, A)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\max}}$ .

- Recall that if  $u : \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  is a unitary representation we get a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi_u : C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}); \quad \psi_u(\delta_t) = u_t.$$

- If  $(\pi, u)$  is a covariant representation of  $(A, \Gamma, \alpha)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  the  $*$ -representation  $\pi \rtimes u$  extends continuously to the full crossed product

$$\pi \rtimes u : A \rtimes \Gamma \mapsto \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

# Crossed and semidirect products

Suppose  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  are discrete groups with an action  $\beta : \Gamma \curvearrowright \Lambda$ .  
The universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda)$  gives us an induced  $C^*$ -action

$$\tilde{\beta} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(\Lambda)); \quad \tilde{\beta}_t(\delta_x) = \delta_{\beta_t(x)}.$$

We then have

Fact

*There is a  $C^*$ -isomorphism*

$$C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma) \cong C^*(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma.$$

# Proof

- $\phi : \Lambda \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma, (x, s) \mapsto \delta_x s$  is a unitary representation. By the universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma)$  we then get a  $*$ -homo  $\Phi : C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma$ .
- Now  $v : \Lambda \mapsto C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma), x \mapsto (x, e_\Gamma)$  is a unitary rep, so we get a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C^*(\Lambda) \mapsto C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma)$  with  $\pi|_\Lambda = v$ .
- Also,  $u : \Gamma \mapsto C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma), y \mapsto (e_\Lambda, y)$  is a unitary rep.
- $(\pi, u)$ -covariant representation (by semi-direct product).
- Universal property of full crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra gives a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rtimes \Gamma \mapsto C^*(\Lambda \rtimes \Gamma)$ .
- Now we can prove that  $\Psi \circ \Phi = Id$  and  $\Phi \circ \Psi = Id$ .



# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - **Topologically free actions and ideal structure**
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# Topologically Free Actions

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group with neutral element  $e$ ,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a continuous action.

Given  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have the open set of elements displaced by  $t$ :

$$D_t := \{x \in X \mid t.x \neq x\}.$$

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $D_t = X$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $\overline{D_t} = X$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all finite subsets  $F \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{e\}} D_t \text{ is dense in } X.$$

$\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  topologically free  $\rightsquigarrow$  ideal structure of  $C^*(\Gamma, X)$

# Topologically Free Actions

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group with neutral element  $e$ ,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a continuous action. Given  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have the open set of elements displaced by  $t$ :

$$D_t := \{x \in X \mid t.x \neq x\}.$$

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $D_t = X$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $\overline{D_t} = X$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all finite subsets  $F \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{e\}} D_t \text{ is dense in } X.$$

$\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  topologically free  $\rightsquigarrow$  ideal structure of  $C_0(X) \rtimes \Gamma$



# Topologically Free Actions

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group with neutral element  $e$ ,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a continuous action. Given  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have the open set of elements displaced by  $t$ :

$$D_t := \{x \in X \mid t.x \neq x\}.$$

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $D_t = X$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $\overline{D_t} = X$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all finite subsets  $F \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{e\}} D_t \text{ is dense in } X.$$

$\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  topologically free  $\rightsquigarrow$  ideal structure of  $C_0(X) \rtimes \Gamma$

# Topologically Free Actions

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group with neutral element  $e$ ,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a continuous action. Given  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have the open set of elements displaced by  $t$ :

$$D_t := \{x \in X \mid t.x \neq x\}.$$

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $D_t = X$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $\overline{D_t} = X$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all finite subsets  $F \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{e\}} D_t \text{ is dense in } X.$$

$\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  topologically free  $\rightsquigarrow$  ideal structure of  $C_0(X) \rtimes \Gamma$

# Topologically Free Actions

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group with neutral element  $e$ ,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a continuous action. Given  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have the open set of elements displaced by  $t$ :

$$D_t := \{x \in X \mid t.x \neq x\}.$$

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $D_t = X$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $\overline{D_t} = X$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all finite subsets  $F \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{e\}} D_t \quad \text{is dense in } X.$$

# Topologically Free Actions

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group with neutral element  $e$ ,  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a continuous action. Given  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have the open set of elements displaced by  $t$ :

$$D_t := \{x \in X \mid t.x \neq x\}.$$

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $D_t = X$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all  $t \neq e$ ,  $\overline{D_t} = X$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is called **topologically free** if for all finite subsets  $F \subseteq \Gamma$

$$\bigcap_{t \in F \setminus \{e\}} D_t \quad \text{is dense in } X.$$

$\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  topologically free  $\rightsquigarrow$  ideal structure of  $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a topologically free action. If  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma}$  is any non-zero closed ideal, then  $I \cap C(X) \neq \{0\}$ .*

Our proof will use the idea of **definite states**.

## Fact

*Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose  $\varphi \in S(B)$  is a state. If  $\varphi$  is **definite** with respect to a self-adjoint element  $k \in B$ ; that is  $\varphi(k^2) = \varphi(k)^2$ , then for every  $b \in B$  we have*

$$\varphi(bk) = \varphi(kb) = \varphi(k)\varphi(b).$$

**Proof.** It is easily checked that  $k - \varphi(k)1_B$  belongs to the left ideal

$$L_{\varphi} := \{b \in B \mid \varphi(b^*b) = 0\} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

Therefore, if  $b \in B$  then  $bk - \varphi(k)b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Now compute...



## Theorem

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a topologically free action. If  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma}$  is any non-zero closed ideal, then  $I \cap C(X) \neq \{0\}$ .

Our proof will use the idea of **definite states**.

## Fact

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose  $\varphi \in S(B)$  is a state. If  $\varphi$  is **definite** with respect to a self-adjoint element  $k \in B$ ; that is  $\varphi(k^2) = \varphi(k)^2$ , then for every  $b \in B$  we have

$$\varphi(bk) = \varphi(kb) = \varphi(k)\varphi(b).$$

**Proof.** It is easily checked that  $k - \varphi(k)1_B$  belongs to the left ideal

$$L_{\varphi} := \{b \in B \mid \varphi(b^*b) = 0\} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

Therefore, if  $b \in B$  then  $bk - \varphi(k)b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Now compute...



## Theorem

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a topologically free action. If  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma}$  is any non-zero closed ideal, then  $I \cap C(X) \neq \{0\}$ .

Our proof will use the idea of **definite states**.

## Fact

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose  $\varphi \in S(B)$  is a state. If  $\varphi$  is **definite** with respect to a self-adjoint element  $k \in B$ ; that is  $\varphi(k^2) = \varphi(k)^2$ , then for every  $b \in B$  we have

$$\varphi(bk) = \varphi(kb) = \varphi(k)\varphi(b).$$

**Proof.** It is easily checked that  $k - \varphi(k)1_B$  belongs to the left ideal

$$L_{\varphi} := \{b \in B \mid \varphi(b^*b) = 0\} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

Therefore, if  $b \in B$  then  $bk - \varphi(k)b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Now compute...



## Theorem

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a topologically free action. If  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma}$  is any non-zero closed ideal, then  $I \cap C(X) \neq \{0\}$ .

Our proof will use the idea of **definite states**.

## Fact

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose  $\varphi \in S(B)$  is a state. If  $\varphi$  is **definite** with respect to a self-adjoint element  $k \in B$ ; that is  $\varphi(k^2) = \varphi(k)^2$ , then for every  $b \in B$  we have

$$\varphi(bk) = \varphi(kb) = \varphi(k)\varphi(b).$$

**Proof.** It is easily checked that  $k - \varphi(k)1_B$  belongs to the left ideal

$$L_{\varphi} := \{b \in B \mid \varphi(b^*b) = 0\} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

Therefore, if  $b \in B$  then  $bk - \varphi(k)b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Now compute...



## Theorem

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a topologically free action. If  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma}$  is any non-zero closed ideal, then  $I \cap C(X) \neq \{0\}$ .

Our proof will use the idea of **definite states**.

## Fact

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose  $\varphi \in S(B)$  is a state. If  $\varphi$  is **definite** with respect to a self-adjoint element  $k \in B$ ; that is  $\varphi(k^2) = \varphi(k)^2$ , then for every  $b \in B$  we have

$$\varphi(bk) = \varphi(kb) = \varphi(k)\varphi(b).$$

**Proof.** It is easily checked that  $k - \varphi(k)1_B$  belongs to the left ideal

$$L_{\varphi} := \{b \in B \mid \varphi(b^*b) = 0\} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

Therefore, if  $b \in B$  then  $bk - \varphi(k)b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . **Now compute...**

## Theorem

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a topologically free action. If  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma}$  is any non-zero closed ideal, then  $I \cap C(X) \neq \{0\}$ .

Our proof will use the idea of **definite states**.

## Fact

Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and suppose  $\varphi \in S(B)$  is a state. If  $\varphi$  is **definite** with respect to a self-adjoint element  $k \in B$ ; that is  $\varphi(k^2) = \varphi(k)^2$ , then for every  $b \in B$  we have

$$\varphi(bk) = \varphi(kb) = \varphi(k)\varphi(b).$$

**Proof.** It is easily checked that  $k - \varphi(k)1_B$  belongs to the left ideal

$$L_{\varphi} := \{b \in B \mid \varphi(b^*b) = 0\} \subseteq \ker(\varphi).$$

Therefore, if  $b \in B$  then  $bk - \varphi(k)b \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Now compute...



## Proof of Theorem

Let  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  be a closed ideal with  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ . We will show that  $I = \{0\}$  by showing that  $I_+ = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I_+$ . It suffices to show that  $f := \mathbb{E}(a) = 0$ , since the expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  is faithful. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  we will arrive at  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $x \in X$ . Since  $f$  is continuous there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with

$$z \in U \implies |f(z) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

Now find a  $b \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$  with  $\|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3$ , and say

$$b = \sum_{t \in F} b_t u_t, \quad b_t \in C(X).$$

Then

$$\|f - b_e\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a) - \mathbb{E}(b)\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a - b)\| \leq \|\mathbb{E}\| \|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

## Proof of Theorem

Let  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  be a closed ideal with  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ . We will show that  $I = \{0\}$  by showing that  $I_+ = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I_+$ . It suffices to show that  $f := \mathbb{E}(a) = 0$ , since the expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  is faithful. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  we will arrive at  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $x \in X$ . Since  $f$  is continuous there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with

$$z \in U \implies |f(z) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

Now find a  $b \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$  with  $\|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3$ , and say

$$b = \sum_{t \in F} b_t u_t, \quad b_t \in C(X).$$

Then

$$\|f - b_e\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a) - \mathbb{E}(b)\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a - b)\| \leq \|\mathbb{E}\| \|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

## Proof of Theorem

Let  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  be a closed ideal with  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ . We will show that  $I = \{0\}$  by showing that  $I_+ = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I_+$ . It suffices to show that  $f := \mathbb{E}(a) = 0$ , since the expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  is faithful. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  we will arrive at  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $x \in X$ . Since  $f$  is continuous there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with

$$z \in U \implies |f(z) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

Now find a  $b \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$  with  $\|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3$ , and say

$$b = \sum_{t \in F} b_t u_t, \quad b_t \in C(X).$$

Then

$$\|f - b_e\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a) - \mathbb{E}(b)\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a - b)\| \leq \|\mathbb{E}\| \|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

## Proof of Theorem

Let  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  be a closed ideal with  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ . We will show that  $I = \{0\}$  by showing that  $I_+ = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I_+$ . It suffices to show that  $f := \mathbb{E}(a) = 0$ , since the expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  is faithful. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  we will arrive at  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $x \in X$ . Since  $f$  is continuous there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with

$$z \in U \implies |f(z) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

Now find a  $b \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$  with  $\|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3$ , and say

$$b = \sum_{t \in F} b_t u_t, \quad b_t \in C(X).$$

Then

$$\|f - b_e\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a) - \mathbb{E}(b)\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a - b)\| \leq \|\mathbb{E}\| \|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

## Proof of Theorem

Let  $I \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_r \Gamma$  be a closed ideal with  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ . We will show that  $I = \{0\}$  by showing that  $I_+ = \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I_+$ . It suffices to show that  $f := \mathbb{E}(a) = 0$ , since the expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  is faithful. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  we will arrive at  $\|f\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $x \in X$ . Since  $f$  is continuous there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with

$$z \in U \implies |f(z) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

Now find a  $b \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$  with  $\|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3$ , and say

$$b = \sum_{t \in F} b_t u_t, \quad b_t \in C(X).$$

Then

$$\|f - b_e\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a) - \mathbb{E}(b)\| = \|\mathbb{E}(a - b)\| \leq \|\mathbb{E}\| \|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

## Proof

For any  $y \in U$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y) - b_e(y)| + |b_e(y)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \|f - b_e\|_U + |b_e(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon/3 + |b_e(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using topological freeness we will choose a certain  $y \in U$  making  $|b_e(y)|$  small.

In fact we pick

$$y \in \left( \bigcap_{t \in F_0} D_t \right) \cap U \neq \emptyset,$$

where  $F_0 := F \setminus \{e\}$ .

We see that  $y \neq t^{-1} \cdot y$  for any  $t \in F_0$ .



## Proof

For any  $y \in U$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y) - b_e(y)| + |b_e(y)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \|f - b_e\|_U + |b_e(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon/3 + |b_e(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using topological freeness we will choose a certain  $y \in U$  making  $|b_e(y)|$  small.

In fact we pick

$$y \in \left( \bigcap_{t \in F_0} D_t \right) \cap U \neq \emptyset,$$

where  $F_0 := F \setminus \{e\}$ .

We see that  $y \neq t^{-1} \cdot y$  for any  $t \in F_0$ .

## Proof

For any  $y \in U$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y) - b_e(y)| + |b_e(y)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \|f - b_e\|_U + |b_e(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon/3 + |b_e(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using topological freeness we will choose a certain  $y \in U$  making  $|b_e(y)|$  small.

In fact we pick

$$y \in \left( \bigcap_{t \in F_0} D_t \right) \cap U \neq \emptyset,$$

where  $F_0 := F \setminus \{e\}$ .

We see that  $y \neq t^{-1} \cdot y$  for any  $t \in F_0$ .

## Proof

For any  $y \in U$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y) - b_e(y)| + |b_e(y)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \|f - b_e\|_U + |b_e(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon/3 + |b_e(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using topological freeness we will choose a certain  $y \in U$  making  $|b_e(y)|$  small.

In fact we pick

$$y \in \left( \bigcap_{t \in F_0} D_t \right) \cap U \neq \emptyset,$$

where  $F_0 := F \setminus \{e\}$ .

We see that  $y \neq t^{-1} \cdot y$  for any  $t \in F_0$ .

# Proof

The evaluation map  $\text{ev}_y : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(y)$  is a state on  $C(X)$ , and since  $C(X) \cap I = \{0\}$  there is a well-defined state

$$\phi : C(X) + I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \phi(h + \xi) = \text{ev}_y(h) = h(y)$$

which we can extend to state  $\varphi$  on  $C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ .

Note that  $\varphi$  kills  $I$  and is definite with respect to self-adjoint elements of  $C(X)$ ; indeed, if  $k \in C(X)_{s.a.}$  then

$$\varphi(k^2) = k^2(y) = k(y)^2 = \varphi(k)^2.$$

# Proof

The evaluation map  $\text{ev}_y : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(y)$  is a state on  $C(X)$ , and since  $C(X) \cap I = \{0\}$  there is a well-defined state

$$\phi : C(X) + I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \phi(h + \xi) = \text{ev}_y(h) = h(y)$$

which we can extend to state  $\varphi$  on  $C(X) \rtimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ .

Note that  $\varphi$  kills  $I$  and is definite with respect to self-adjoint elements of  $C(X)$ ; indeed, if  $k \in C(X)_{s.a.}$  then

$$\varphi(k^2) = k^2(y) = k(y)^2 = \varphi(k)^2.$$

# Proof

**Claim.** For every  $t \in F_0$  we have  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

For  $t \in F_0$  we know  $t^{-1}.y \neq y$ . Urysohn's Lemma gives a  $k \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  with  $k(y) \neq k(t^{-1}.y)$ . By our above fact we see that

$$\begin{aligned} k(t^{-1}.y)\varphi(u_t) &= \alpha_t(k)(y)\varphi(u_t) = \varphi(\alpha_t(k))\varphi(u_t) \\ &= \varphi(\alpha_t(k)u_t) = \varphi(u_t k) = \varphi(u_t)\varphi(k) = \varphi(u_t)k(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k(t^{-1}.y) - k(y))\varphi(u_t) = 0$  which means  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

Now for each  $t \in F_0$  we write  $b_t = h_t + ik_t$  with  $h_t, k_t$  self-adjoint, and using our fact again we get

$$\varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t u_t) + i\varphi(k_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t)\varphi(u_t) + i\varphi(k_t)\varphi(u_t) = 0.$$

# Proof

**Claim.** For every  $t \in F_0$  we have  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

For  $t \in F_0$  we know  $t^{-1}.y \neq y$ . Urysohn's Lemma gives a  $k \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  with  $k(y) \neq k(t^{-1}.y)$ . By our above fact we see that

$$\begin{aligned} k(t^{-1}.y)\varphi(u_t) &= \alpha_t(k)(y)\varphi(u_t) = \varphi(\alpha_t(k))\varphi(u_t) \\ &= \varphi(\alpha_t(k)u_t) = \varphi(u_t k) = \varphi(u_t)\varphi(k) = \varphi(u_t)k(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k(t^{-1}.y) - k(y))\varphi(u_t) = 0$  which means  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

Now for each  $t \in F_0$  we write  $b_t = h_t + ik_t$  with  $h_t, k_t$  self-adjoint, and using our fact again we get

$$\varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t u_t) + i\varphi(k_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t)\varphi(u_t) + i\varphi(k_t)\varphi(u_t) = 0.$$

# Proof

**Claim.** For every  $t \in F_0$  we have  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

For  $t \in F_0$  we know  $t^{-1}.y \neq y$ . Urysohn's Lemma gives a  $k \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  with  $k(y) \neq k(t^{-1}.y)$ . By our above fact we see that

$$\begin{aligned}k(t^{-1}.y)\varphi(u_t) &= \alpha_t(k)(y)\varphi(u_t) = \varphi(\alpha_t(k))\varphi(u_t) \\ &= \varphi(\alpha_t(k)u_t) = \varphi(u_t k) = \varphi(u_t)\varphi(k) = \varphi(u_t)k(y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k(t^{-1}.y) - k(y))\varphi(u_t) = 0$  which means  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

Now for each  $t \in F_0$  we write  $b_t = h_t + ik_t$  with  $h_t, k_t$  self-adjoint, and using our fact again we get

$$\varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t u_t) + i\varphi(k_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t)\varphi(u_t) + i\varphi(k_t)\varphi(u_t) = 0.$$



# Proof

**Claim.** For every  $t \in F_0$  we have  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

For  $t \in F_0$  we know  $t^{-1}.y \neq y$ . Urysohn's Lemma gives a  $k \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  with  $k(y) \neq k(t^{-1}.y)$ . By our above fact we see that

$$\begin{aligned}k(t^{-1}.y)\varphi(u_t) &= \alpha_t(k)(y)\varphi(u_t) = \varphi(\alpha_t(k))\varphi(u_t) \\ &= \varphi(\alpha_t(k)u_t) = \varphi(u_t k) = \varphi(u_t)\varphi(k) = \varphi(u_t)k(y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k(t^{-1}.y) - k(y))\varphi(u_t) = 0$  which means  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

Now for each  $t \in F_0$  we write  $b_t = h_t + ik_t$  with  $h_t, k_t$  self-adjoint, and using our fact again we get

$$\varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t u_t) + i\varphi(k_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t)\varphi(u_t) + i\varphi(k_t)\varphi(u_t) = 0.$$

# Proof

**Claim.** For every  $t \in F_0$  we have  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

For  $t \in F_0$  we know  $t^{-1}.y \neq y$ . Urysohn's Lemma gives a  $k \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  with  $k(y) \neq k(t^{-1}.y)$ . By our above fact we see that

$$\begin{aligned}k(t^{-1}.y)\varphi(u_t) &= \alpha_t(k)(y)\varphi(u_t) = \varphi(\alpha_t(k))\varphi(u_t) \\ &= \varphi(\alpha_t(k)u_t) = \varphi(u_t k) = \varphi(u_t)\varphi(k) = \varphi(u_t)k(y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k(t^{-1}.y) - k(y))\varphi(u_t) = 0$  which means  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

Now for each  $t \in F_0$  we write  $b_t = h_t + ik_t$  with  $h_t, k_t$  self-adjoint, and using our fact again we get

$$\varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t u_t) + i\varphi(k_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t)\varphi(u_t) + i\varphi(k_t)\varphi(u_t) = 0.$$

# Proof

**Claim.** For every  $t \in F_0$  we have  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

For  $t \in F_0$  we know  $t^{-1}.y \neq y$ . Urysohn's Lemma gives a  $k \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  with  $k(y) \neq k(t^{-1}.y)$ . By our above fact we see that

$$\begin{aligned} k(t^{-1}.y)\varphi(u_t) &= \alpha_t(k)(y)\varphi(u_t) = \varphi(\alpha_t(k))\varphi(u_t) \\ &= \varphi(\alpha_t(k)u_t) = \varphi(u_t k) = \varphi(u_t)\varphi(k) = \varphi(u_t)k(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k(t^{-1}.y) - k(y))\varphi(u_t) = 0$  which means  $\varphi(u_t) = 0$ .

Now for each  $t \in F_0$  we write  $b_t = h_t + ik_t$  with  $h_t, k_t$  self-adjoint, and using our fact again we get

$$\varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t u_t) + i\varphi(k_t u_t) = \varphi(h_t)\varphi(u_t) + i\varphi(k_t)\varphi(u_t) = 0.$$

# Proof

We now have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{b}) = \varphi\left(\sum_{f \in F} b_f u_f\right) = \sum_{f \in F} \varphi(b_f u_f) = \varphi(\mathbf{b}_e) = \mathbf{b}_e(y).$$

Finally, since  $\varphi(a) = 0$  ( $\varphi$  kills  $I$  and  $a \in I$ ) we have

$$|\mathbf{b}_e(y)| = |\varphi(\mathbf{b})| = |\varphi(\mathbf{b}) - \varphi(a)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|\mathbf{b} - a\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

By our above estimate we arrive at  $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary we conclude  $\|f\|_U \leq \varepsilon$ . □

# Proof

We now have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{b}) = \varphi\left(\sum_{f \in F} b_f u_f\right) = \sum_{f \in F} \varphi(b_f u_f) = \varphi(\mathbf{b}_e) = \mathbf{b}_e(y).$$

Finally, since  $\varphi(\mathbf{a}) = 0$  ( $\varphi$  kills  $I$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in I$ ) we have

$$|\mathbf{b}_e(y)| = |\varphi(\mathbf{b})| = |\varphi(\mathbf{b}) - \varphi(\mathbf{a})| \leq \|\varphi\| \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

By our above estimate we arrive at  $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary we conclude  $\|f\|_U \leq \varepsilon$ . □

## Proof

We now have

$$\varphi(b) = \varphi\left(\sum_{f \in F} b_t u_t\right) = \sum_{f \in F} \varphi(b_t u_t) = \varphi(b_e) = b_e(y).$$

Finally, since  $\varphi(a) = 0$  ( $\varphi$  kills  $I$  and  $a \in I$ ) we have

$$|b_e(y)| = |\varphi(b)| = |\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|b - a\| \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

By our above estimate we arrive at  $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary we conclude  $\|f\|_u \leq \varepsilon$ . □

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - **A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$**
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

Given  $d \geq 1$ , we are considering the (discrete) additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] = \left\{ \frac{a}{d^n} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

$\Lambda$  can be realized as the inductive limit of the system

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \cdots \longrightarrow \varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}, \cdot d) \cong \Lambda.$$

Identifying the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  with the Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and dualizing this system gives the topological projective system:

$$\mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \cdots, \quad \rho_d(z) = z^d.$$

The projective limit (in the category of topological spaces) is compact Hausdorff; we denote this limit by

$$(X, (\pi_n)_n) := \varprojlim (\mathbb{T}, \rho_d).$$



Given  $d \geq 1$ , we are considering the (discrete) additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] = \left\{ \frac{a}{d^n} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

$\Lambda$  can be realized as the inductive limit of the system

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \cdots \longrightarrow \varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}, \cdot d) \cong \Lambda.$$

Identifying the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  with the Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and dualizing this system gives the topological projective system:

$$\mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \cdots, \quad \rho_d(z) = z^d.$$

The projective limit (in the category of topological spaces) is compact Hausdorff; we denote this limit by

$$(X, (\pi_n)_n) := \varprojlim (\mathbb{T}, \rho_d).$$

Given  $d \geq 1$ , we are considering the (discrete) additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] = \left\{ \frac{a}{d^n} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

$\Lambda$  can be realized as the inductive limit of the system

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \cdots \longrightarrow \varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}, \cdot d) \cong \Lambda.$$

Identifying the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  with the Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and dualizing this system gives the topological projective system:

$$\mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \cdots, \quad \rho_d(z) = z^d.$$

The projective limit (in the category of topological spaces) is compact Hausdorff; we denote this limit by

$$(X, (\pi_n)_n) := \varprojlim (\mathbb{T}, \rho_d).$$

Given  $d \geq 1$ , we are considering the (discrete) additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] = \left\{ \frac{a}{d^n} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

$\Lambda$  can be realized as the inductive limit of the system

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot d} \mathbb{Z} \cdots \longrightarrow \varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}, \cdot d) \cong \Lambda.$$

Identifying the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  with the Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and dualizing this system gives the topological projective system:

$$\mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho_d} \mathbb{T} \cdots, \quad \rho_d(z) = z^d.$$

The projective limit (in the category of topological spaces) is compact Hausdorff; we denote this limit by

$$(X, (\pi_n)_n) := \varprojlim (\mathbb{T}, \rho_d).$$

More concretely,  $X$  has picture

$$X = \left\{ (z_k)_k \mid z_k \in \mathbb{T}, z_k = z_{k+1}^d \right\}; \quad \pi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \pi_n((z_k)_k) = z_n,$$

and is equipped with the relative product topology  $X \subseteq \prod_k \mathbb{T}$ .

**Useful fact:** if  $(z_k)_k \in X$  and  $m \geq n$ , then

$$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}.$$

Our goal is to show that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\hat{\Lambda}$ ; the Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda$ . We do this by establishing:

Lemma

*There is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras*

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)\left((z_k)_k\right) = z_n^a.$$

More concretely,  $X$  has picture

$$X = \left\{ (z_k)_k \mid z_k \in \mathbb{T}, z_k = z_{k+1}^d \right\}; \quad \pi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \pi_n((z_k)_k) = z_n,$$

and is equipped with the relative product topology  $X \subseteq \prod_k \mathbb{T}$ .

**Useful fact:** if  $(z_k)_k \in X$  and  $m \geq n$ , then

$$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}.$$

Our goal is to show that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\hat{\Lambda}$ ; the Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda$ . We do this by establishing:

Lemma

*There is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras*

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)\left((z_k)_k\right) = z_n^a.$$

More concretely,  $X$  has picture

$$X = \left\{ (z_k)_k \mid z_k \in \mathbb{T}, z_k = z_{k+1}^d \right\}; \quad \pi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \pi_n((z_k)_k) = z_n,$$

and is equipped with the relative product topology  $X \subseteq \prod_k \mathbb{T}$ .

**Useful fact:** if  $(z_k)_k \in X$  and  $m \geq n$ , then

$$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}.$$

Our goal is to show that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\hat{\Lambda}$ ; the Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda$ . We do this by establishing:

Lemma

*There is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras*

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)\left((z_k)_k\right) = z_n^a.$$

More concretely,  $X$  has picture

$$X = \left\{ (z_k)_k \mid z_k \in \mathbb{T}, z_k = z_{k+1}^d \right\}; \quad \pi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \pi_n((z_k)_k) = z_n,$$

and is equipped with the relative product topology  $X \subseteq \prod_k \mathbb{T}$ .

**Useful fact:** if  $(z_k)_k \in X$  and  $m \geq n$ , then

$$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}.$$

Our goal is to show that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\hat{\Lambda}$ ; the Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda$ . We do this by establishing:

Lemma

*There is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras*

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)\left((z_k)_k\right) = z_n^a.$$

# The evaluation isomorphism

First we consider any discrete abelian group  $\Lambda$  with its Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , and  $\Omega_\Lambda := \Omega(C^*(\Lambda))$ ; the character space of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Each character  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$  gives rise to a character  $h_\chi$  on  $C^*(\Lambda)$  satisfying  $h_\chi(\delta_t) = \chi(t)$ , and the map

$$\hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Omega_\Lambda; \quad \chi \mapsto h_\chi$$

is a homeomorphism. Dualizing we get the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$C(\Omega_\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}).$$

Composing with the Gelfand isomorphism

$\gamma_{C^*(\Lambda)} : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(\Omega_\Lambda)$  gives the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\text{ev} : C^*(\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad \delta_t \mapsto (\text{ev}_t : \chi \mapsto \chi(t)).$$



# The evaluation isomorphism

First we consider any discrete abelian group  $\Lambda$  with its Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , and  $\Omega_\Lambda := \Omega(C^*(\Lambda))$ ; the character space of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Each character  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$  gives rise to a character  $h_\chi$  on  $C^*(\Lambda)$  satisfying  $h_\chi(\delta_t) = \chi(t)$ , and the map

$$\hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Omega_\Lambda; \quad \chi \mapsto h_\chi$$

is a homeomorphism. Dualizing we get the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$C(\Omega_\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}).$$

Composing with the Gelfand isomorphism

$\gamma_{C^*(\Lambda)} : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(\Omega_\Lambda)$  gives the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\text{ev} : C^*(\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad \delta_t \mapsto (\text{ev}_t : \chi \mapsto \chi(t)).$$

# The evaluation isomorphism

First we consider any discrete abelian group  $\Lambda$  with its Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , and  $\Omega_\Lambda := \Omega(C^*(\Lambda))$ ; the character space of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Each character  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$  gives rise to a character  $h_\chi$  on  $C^*(\Lambda)$  satisfying  $h_\chi(\delta_t) = \chi(t)$ , and the map

$$\hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Omega_\Lambda; \quad \chi \mapsto h_\chi$$

is a homeomorphism. Dualizing we get the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$C(\Omega_\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}).$$

Composing with the Gelfand isomorphism

$\gamma_{C^*(\Lambda)} : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(\Omega_\Lambda)$  gives the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\text{ev} : C^*(\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad \delta_t \mapsto (\text{ev}_t : \chi \mapsto \chi(t)).$$

# The evaluation isomorphism

First we consider any discrete abelian group  $\Lambda$  with its Pontryagin dual  $\hat{\Lambda}$ , and  $\Omega_\Lambda := \Omega(C^*(\Lambda))$ ; the character space of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Each character  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$  gives rise to a character  $h_\chi$  on  $C^*(\Lambda)$  satisfying  $h_\chi(\delta_t) = \chi(t)$ , and the map

$$\hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \Omega_\Lambda; \quad \chi \mapsto h_\chi$$

is a homeomorphism. Dualizing we get the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$C(\Omega_\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}).$$

Composing with the Gelfand isomorphism

$\gamma_{C^*(\Lambda)} : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(\Omega_\Lambda)$  gives the  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\text{ev} : C^*(\Lambda) \longrightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad \delta_t \mapsto (\text{ev}_t : \chi \mapsto \chi(t)).$$

## Building $\psi$ via a unitary representation

Given  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$ , the map

$$U_{\frac{a}{d^n}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = \pi_n(z)^a = z_n^a$$

is clearly continuous and  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued, so  $U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}$  is a unitary in  $C(X)$ .

We claim that

$$U : \Lambda \rightarrow C(X); \quad U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) := U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}$$

is a well-defined unitary representation of  $\Lambda$ .

**well-defined:** Suppose  $\frac{a}{d^n} = \frac{b}{d^m}$  with  $m \geq n$ . In that case

$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}$  so

$$z_n^a = (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a = z_m^{ad^m d^{-n}} = z_m^{bd^n d^{-n}} = z_m^b.$$

## Building $\psi$ via a unitary representation

Given  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$ , the map

$$U_{\frac{a}{d^n}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = \pi_n(z)^a = z_n^a$$

is clearly continuous and  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued, so  $U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}$  is a unitary in  $C(X)$ .

We claim that

$$U : \Lambda \rightarrow C(X); \quad U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) := U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}$$

is a well-defined unitary representation of  $\Lambda$ .

**well-defined:** Suppose  $\frac{a}{d^n} = \frac{b}{d^m}$  with  $m \geq n$ . In that case

$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}$  so

$$z_n^a = (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a = z_m^{ad^m d^{-n}} = z_m^{bd^n d^{-n}} = z_m^b.$$

## Building $\psi$ via a unitary representation

Given  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$ , the map

$$U_{\frac{a}{d^n}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = \pi_n(z)^a = z_n^a$$

is clearly continuous and  $\mathbb{T}$ -valued, so  $U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}$  is a unitary in  $C(X)$ .

We claim that

$$U : \Lambda \rightarrow C(X); \quad U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) := U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}$$

is a well-defined unitary representation of  $\Lambda$ .

**well-defined:** Suppose  $\frac{a}{d^n} = \frac{b}{d^m}$  with  $m \geq n$ . In that case

$z_n = z_m^{d^{m-n}}$  so

$$z_n^a = (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a = z_m^{ad^m d^{-n}} = z_m^{bd^n d^{-n}} = z_m^b.$$

**Unitary Rep:** Next, if  $\frac{a}{d^n}$  and  $\frac{b}{d^m}$  are in  $\Lambda$  with  $m \geq n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\frac{a}{d^n} + \frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) &= U\left(\frac{d^{m-n}a + b}{d^m}\right) = z_m^{ad^{m-n}+b} = z_m^{ad^{m-n}} z_m^b \\ &= (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a z_m^b = z_n^a z_m^b = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(z) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) \\ &= \left(U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)\right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ , there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying

$$\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}.$$

Evaluating at  $z \in X$  we get  $\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)(z) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = z_n^a.$

**Unitary Rep:** Next, if  $\frac{a}{d^n}$  and  $\frac{b}{d^m}$  are in  $\Lambda$  with  $m \geq n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\frac{a}{d^n} + \frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) &= U\left(\frac{d^{m-n}a + b}{d^m}\right) = z_m^{ad^{m-n}+b} = z_m^{ad^{m-n}} z_m^b \\ &= (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a z_m^b = z_n^a z_m^b = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(z) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) \\ &= \left(U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)\right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ , there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying

$$\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}.$$

Evaluating at  $z \in X$  we get  $\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)(z) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = z_n^a.$



**Unitary Rep:** Next, if  $\frac{a}{d^n}$  and  $\frac{b}{d^m}$  are in  $\Lambda$  with  $m \geq n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\frac{a}{d^n} + \frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) &= U\left(\frac{d^{m-n}a + b}{d^m}\right) = z_m^{ad^{m-n}+b} = z_m^{ad^{m-n}} z_m^b \\ &= (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a z_m^b = z_n^a z_m^b = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(z) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) \\ &= \left( U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right) \right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ , there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying

$$\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}.$$

Evaluating at  $z \in X$  we get  $\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)(z) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = z_n^a.$

**Unitary Rep:** Next, if  $\frac{a}{d^n}$  and  $\frac{b}{d^m}$  are in  $\Lambda$  with  $m \geq n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\frac{a}{d^n} + \frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) &= U\left(\frac{d^{m-n}a + b}{d^m}\right) = z_m^{ad^{m-n}+b} = z_m^{ad^{m-n}} z_m^b \\ &= (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a z_m^b = z_n^a z_m^b = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(z) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) \\ &= \left( U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right) \right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ , there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying

$$\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}.$$

Evaluating at  $z \in X$  we get  $\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)(z) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = z_n^a.$

**Unitary Rep:** Next, if  $\frac{a}{d^n}$  and  $\frac{b}{d^m}$  are in  $\Lambda$  with  $m \geq n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(\frac{a}{d^n} + \frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) &= U\left(\frac{d^{m-n}a + b}{d^m}\right) = z_m^{ad^{m-n}+b} = z_m^{ad^{m-n}} z_m^b \\ &= (z_m^{d^{m-n}})^a z_m^b = z_n^a z_m^b = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(z) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right)(z) \\ &= \left( U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \cdot U\left(\frac{b}{d^m}\right) \right)(z). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $C^*(\Lambda)$ , there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying

$$\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}.$$

Evaluating at  $z \in X$  we get  $\psi\left(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}}\right)(z) = U_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(z) = z_n^a.$

We therefore have our desired unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(z) = z_n^a.$$

The range of  $\psi$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .

Also, the range  $\psi(C^*(\Lambda))$  separates points; indeed, if  $(z_k)_k = z \neq w = (w_k)_k$  in  $X$ , then  $z_n \neq w_n$  for some  $n$ , so

$$\psi(\delta_{d-n})(z) = z_n \neq w_n = \psi(\delta_{d-n})(w).$$

By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem,  $\psi$  is surjective.

To complete the proof we need only show that  $\psi$  is injective, and we do this by constructing a commutative diagram.

We therefore have our desired unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(z) = z_n^a.$$

The range of  $\psi$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .

Also, the range  $\psi(C^*(\Lambda))$  separates points; indeed, if  $(z_k)_k = z \neq w = (w_k)_k$  in  $X$ , then  $z_n \neq w_n$  for some  $n$ , so

$$\psi(\delta_{d-n})(z) = z_n \neq w_n = \psi(\delta_{d-n})(w).$$

By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem,  $\psi$  is surjective.

To complete the proof we need only show that  $\psi$  is injective, and we do this by constructing a commutative diagram.

We therefore have our desired unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(z) = z_n^a.$$

The range of  $\psi$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .

Also, the range  $\psi(C^*(\Lambda))$  separates points; indeed, if  $(z_k)_k = z \neq w = (w_k)_k$  in  $X$ , then  $z_n \neq w_n$  for some  $n$ , so

$$\psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(z) = z_n \neq w_n = \psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(w).$$

By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem,  $\psi$  is surjective.

To complete the proof we need only show that  $\psi$  is injective, and we do this by constructing a commutative diagram.

We therefore have our desired unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(z) = z_n^a.$$

The range of  $\psi$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .  
Also, the range  $\psi(C^*(\Lambda))$  separates points; indeed, if  $(z_k)_k = z \neq w = (w_k)_k$  in  $X$ , then  $z_n \neq w_n$  for some  $n$ , so

$$\psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(z) = z_n \neq w_n = \psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(w).$$

By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem,  $\psi$  is surjective.  
To complete the proof we need only show that  $\psi$  is injective,  
and we do this by constructing a commutative diagram.

We therefore have our desired unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(z) = z_n^a.$$

The range of  $\psi$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .  
Also, the range  $\psi(C^*(\Lambda))$  separates points; indeed, if  $(z_k)_k = z \neq w = (w_k)_k$  in  $X$ , then  $z_n \neq w_n$  for some  $n$ , so

$$\psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(z) = z_n \neq w_n = \psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(w).$$

By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem,  $\psi$  is surjective.

To complete the proof we need only show that  $\psi$  is injective, and we do this by constructing a commutative diagram.



We therefore have our desired unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow C(X); \quad \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(z) = z_n^a.$$

The range of  $\psi$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(X)$ .  
Also, the range  $\psi(C^*(\Lambda))$  separates points; indeed, if  $(z_k)_k = z \neq w = (w_k)_k$  in  $X$ , then  $z_n \neq w_n$  for some  $n$ , so

$$\psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(z) = z_n \neq w_n = \psi(\delta_{d^{-n}})(w).$$

By the Stone Weierstrass Theorem,  $\psi$  is surjective.  
To complete the proof we need only show that  $\psi$  is injective,  
and we do this by constructing a commutative diagram.

Consider the sequence of continuous maps

$$\rho_n : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}; \quad \rho_n(\chi) = \chi(d^{-n}).$$

These satisfy  $\rho \circ \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \rho_{n+1}(\chi) &= \rho(\chi(d^{-(n+1)})) = \chi(d^{-(n+1)})^d \\ &= \chi(dd^{-(n+1)}) = \chi(d^{-n}) = \rho_n(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $X$  there is a unique continuous map  $h : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\pi_n \circ h = \rho_n$ .

We dualize  $h$  and obtain a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$T_h : C(X) \rightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad T_h(f) = f \circ h.$$

Consider the sequence of continuous maps

$$\rho_n : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}; \quad \rho_n(\chi) = \chi(d^{-n}).$$

These satisfy  $\rho \circ \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \rho_{n+1}(\chi) &= \rho(\chi(d^{-(n+1)})) = \chi(d^{-(n+1)})^d \\ &= \chi(dd^{-(n+1)}) = \chi(d^{-n}) = \rho_n(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $X$  there is a unique continuous map  $h : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\pi_n \circ h = \rho_n$ .

We dualize  $h$  and obtain a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$T_h : C(X) \rightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad T_h(f) = f \circ h.$$

Consider the sequence of continuous maps

$$\rho_n : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}; \quad \rho_n(\chi) = \chi(d^{-n}).$$

These satisfy  $\rho \circ \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \rho_{n+1}(\chi) &= \rho(\chi(d^{-(n+1)})) = \chi(d^{-(n+1)})^d \\ &= \chi(dd^{-(n+1)}) = \chi(d^{-n}) = \rho_n(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $X$  there is a unique continuous map  $h : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\pi_n \circ h = \rho_n$ .

We dualize  $h$  and obtain a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$T_h : C(X) \rightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad T_h(f) = f \circ h.$$

Consider the sequence of continuous maps

$$\rho_n : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}; \quad \rho_n(\chi) = \chi(d^{-n}).$$

These satisfy  $\rho \circ \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \rho_{n+1}(\chi) &= \rho(\chi(d^{-(n+1)})) = \chi(d^{-(n+1)})^d \\ &= \chi(dd^{-(n+1)}) = \chi(d^{-n}) = \rho_n(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of  $X$  there is a unique continuous map  $h : \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\pi_n \circ h = \rho_n$ .

We dualize  $h$  and obtain a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$T_h : C(X) \rightarrow C(\hat{\Lambda}); \quad T_h(f) = f \circ h.$$

We claim that  $T_h \circ \psi = \text{ev}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & C(\hat{\Lambda}) \\ & \searrow \psi & \nearrow T_h \\ & C(X) & \end{array}$$

For every  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$  and  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_h \circ \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(\chi) &= T_h \circ U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(\chi) = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \circ h(\chi) \\ &= (\pi_n(h(\chi)))^a = p_n(\chi)^a = \chi(d^{-n})^a = \chi\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) = \text{ev}_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $T_h \circ \psi$  and  $\text{ev}$  agree on  $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ , and by continuity they agree on  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Finally, since  $\text{ev}$  is injective, so is  $\psi$ .

We claim that  $T_h \circ \psi = \text{ev}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & C(\hat{\Lambda}) \\ & \searrow \psi & \nearrow T_h \\ & & C(X) \end{array}$$

For every  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$  and  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_h \circ \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(\chi) &= T_h \circ U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(\chi) = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \circ h(\chi) \\ &= (\pi_n(h(\chi)))^a = p_n(\chi)^a = \chi(d^{-n})^a = \chi\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) = \text{ev}_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $T_h \circ \psi$  and  $\text{ev}$  agree on  $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ , and by continuity they agree on  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Finally, since  $\text{ev}$  is injective, so is  $\psi$ .

We claim that  $T_h \circ \psi = \text{ev}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & C(\hat{\Lambda}) \\ & \searrow \psi & \nearrow T_h \\ & & C(X) \end{array}$$

For every  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$  and  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_h \circ \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(\chi) &= T_h \circ U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(\chi) = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \circ h(\chi) \\ &= (\pi_n(h(\chi)))^a = p_n(\chi)^a = \chi(d^{-n})^a = \chi\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) = \text{ev}_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $T_h \circ \psi$  and  $\text{ev}$  agree on  $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ , and by continuity they agree on  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Finally, since  $\text{ev}$  is injective, so is  $\psi$ .



We claim that  $T_h \circ \psi = \text{ev}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & C(\hat{\Lambda}) \\ & \searrow \psi & \nearrow T_h \\ & & C(X) \end{array}$$

For every  $\frac{a}{d^n} \in \Lambda$  and  $\chi \in \hat{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_h \circ \psi(\delta_{\frac{a}{d^n}})(\chi) &= T_h \circ U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right)(\chi) = U\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) \circ h(\chi) \\ &= (\pi_n(h(\chi)))^a = p_n(\chi)^a = \chi(d^{-n})^a = \chi\left(\frac{a}{d^n}\right) = \text{ev}_{\frac{a}{d^n}}(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

By linearity the  $*$ -homomorphisms  $T_h \circ \psi$  and  $\text{ev}$  agree on  $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ , and by continuity they agree on  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Finally, since  $\text{ev}$  is injective, so is  $\psi$ .

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - **Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)**
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# Furstenberg's Theorem

## Theorem (Furstenberg)

*If  $B \subset \mathbb{T}$  is an infinite closed subset such that*

$$B = \{z^{p^r q^s} \mid z \in B \text{ and } r, s \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (1)$$

*then  $B = \mathbb{T}$ .*

Why do we need this?

# Furstenberg's Theorem

- $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- Need to show:  $I \triangleleft C(X)$  is trivial
- $I = C_0(B^c)$  with  $B \subseteq X$  closed  
( $B = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$ )
- Furstenberg  $\Rightarrow B = X \rightarrow I = 0$

# Furstenberg's Theorem

- $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- Need to show:  $I \triangleleft C(X)$  is trivial
- $I = C_0(B^c)$  with  $B \subseteq X$  closed  
( $B = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$ )
- Furstenberg  $\Rightarrow B = X \rightarrow I = 0$

# Furstenberg's Theorem

- $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- Need to show:  $I \triangleleft C(X)$  is trivial
- $I = C_0(B^c)$  with  $B \subseteq X$  closed  
( $B = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$ )
- Furstenberg  $\Rightarrow B = X \rightarrow I = 0$

# Furstenberg's Theorem

- $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- Need to show:  $I \triangleleft C(X)$  is trivial
- $I = C_0(B^c)$  with  $B \subseteq X$  closed  
( $B = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$ )
- Furstenberg  $\Rightarrow B = X \rightarrow I = 0$

# notation

- will identify  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $[0, 1)$
- $0 \sim 1$
- $t \mapsto e^{2\pi ti}$  homeomorphism



# notation

- will identify  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $[0, 1)$
- $0 \sim 1$
- $t \mapsto e^{2\pi ti}$  homeomorphism

# notation

- will identify  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $[0, 1)$
- $0 \sim 1$
- $t \mapsto e^{2\pi ti}$  homeomorphism

# notation

## Definition

A subset  $B \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is said to be  $\times p$ -invariant if  $p \cdot B = B$ .  
( $p \cdot B = \{p \cdot z \mid z \in B\}$ )

## Theorem (Furstenberg)

If  $B \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is an infinite, closed subset, which is  $\times p$ - and  $\times q$ -invariant, then  $B = \mathbb{T}$ .

Fix a set  $B$  with these properties

# outline of the proof

For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  let  $S'$  denote the set of **limit points of  $S$** .  
 ( $S' = \{z \mid z \in \overline{S} \cap \overline{S \setminus \{z\}}\}$ )

Lemma (Lemma 1)

*If  $B' \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B = \mathbb{T}$ .*

Lemma (Lemma 2)

*If  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is closed and  $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant .  
 Then  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ .*

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - **Proof**
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

# outline of the proof

## proof of Furstenberg's Theorem.

- $B$  infinite  $\Rightarrow B' \neq \emptyset$
- $B'$   $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant  $\Rightarrow B' \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$
- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$



# outline of the proof

## proof of Furstenberg's Theorem.

- $B$  infinite  $\Rightarrow B' \neq \emptyset$
- $B'$   $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant  $\Rightarrow B' \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$
- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$



# outline of the proof

## proof of Furstenberg's Theorem.

- $B$  infinite  $\Rightarrow B' \neq \emptyset$
- $B'$   $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant  $\Rightarrow B' \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$
- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$





# Lemma 1

## Lemma (Lemma 1)

*If  $B' \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B = \mathbb{T}$ .*

## Lemma

*Write  $\{p^r q^s \mid r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  with  $s_i < s_{i+1}$ .  
Then  $s_{i+1}/s_i \rightarrow 1$  ( $i \rightarrow \infty$ ).*

# Lemma 1

- First, suppose  $0 \in B'$ , fix small  $\epsilon$
- Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 < s_{i+1}/s_i < 1 + \epsilon$
- Choose  $z \in B$  with  $0 < |z| < \epsilon/s_n$
- $\{s_i z \mid i \geq n\}$  is  $\epsilon'$ -dense

# Lemma 1

- First, suppose  $0 \in B'$ , fix small  $\epsilon$
- Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 < s_{i+1}/s_i < 1 + \epsilon$
- Choose  $z \in B$  with  $0 < |z| < \epsilon/s_n$
- $\{s_i z \mid i \geq n\}$  is  $\epsilon'$ -dense

# Lemma 1

- First, suppose  $0 \in B'$ , fix small  $\epsilon$
- Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 < s_{i+1}/s_i < 1 + \epsilon$
- Choose  $z \in B$  with  $0 < |z| < \epsilon/s_n$
- $\{s_i z \mid i \geq n\}$  is  $\epsilon'$ -dense

# Lemma 1

- First, suppose  $0 \in B'$ , fix small  $\epsilon$
- Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 < s_{i+1}/s_i < 1 + \epsilon$
- Choose  $z \in B$  with  $0 < |z| < \epsilon/s_n$
- $\{s_i z \mid i \geq n\}$  is  $\epsilon'$ -dense

# Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\
 &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t && (2) \\
 &= B - r \pmod{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$

# Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\
 &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t && (2) \\
 &= B - r \pmod{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$

# Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned} p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\ &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t && (2) \\ &= B - r \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$



## Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned} p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\ &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t && (2) \\ &= B - r \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$

# Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\
 &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t && (2) \\
 &= B - r \pmod{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$

## Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned} p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\ &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t \\ &= B - r \pmod{1} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$

## Lemma 1

- Now,  $r = n/t \in \mathbb{Q} \cap B'$
- $(n, t) = (t, p) = (t, q) = 1$  [ $(\cdot, \cdot)$  greatest common divisor]
- $p, q$  invertible (mod  $t$ )
- $\Rightarrow \exists u : p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- $B - r, B' - r \times p^u, \times q^u$  - invariant and  $0 \in B'$
- 

$$\begin{aligned} p^u \cdot (B - r) &= p^u \cdot B - p^u \cdot r \\ &= p^u \cdot B - (mt + 1)n/t && (2) \\ &= B - r \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

- $\Rightarrow B = \mathbb{T}$

# Lemma 2

## Lemma (Lemma 2)

*If  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is closed and  $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant .  
Then  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ .*

## Proof.

- Assume  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$
- Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t > 1/\epsilon$
- $p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- Set  $S_0 = S$ ,  $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t)$

## Lemma 2

### Lemma (Lemma 2)

*If  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is closed and  $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant .  
Then  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ .*

### Proof.

- Assume  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$
- Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t > 1/\epsilon$
- $p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- Set  $S_0 = S$ ,  $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t)$

## Lemma 2

### Lemma (Lemma 2)

*If  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is closed and  $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant .  
Then  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ .*

### Proof.

- Assume  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$
- Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t > 1/\epsilon$
- $p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- Set  $S_0 = S$ ,  $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t)$

# Lemma 2

## Lemma (Lemma 2)

*If  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  is closed and  $\times p$ -,  $\times q$ -invariant .  
Then  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ .*

## Proof.

- Assume  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$
- Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t > 1/\epsilon$
- $p^u, q^u = 1 \pmod{t}$
- Set  $S_0 = S$ ,  $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t)$



# Lemma 2

Proof.

1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  invariant

2)  $S_i$  closed

3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite

- Now  $S_{i+1}$ :

3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$

- $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  invariant

- $0 \in K'$

- $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)

- $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

## Proof.

1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*

2)  $S_i$  closed

3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite

- Now  $S_{i+1}$ :

3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$

- $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*

- $0 \in K'$

- $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)

- $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

## Proof.

1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*

2)  $S_i$  closed

3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite

- Now  $S_{i+1}$ :

3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$

- $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*

- $0 \in K'$

- $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)

- $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

## Lemma 2

Proof.

1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  invariant

2)  $S_i$  closed

3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite

• Now  $S_{i+1}$ :

3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$

•  $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  invariant

•  $0 \in K'$

•  $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)

•  $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

Proof.

1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  invariant

2)  $S_i$  closed

3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite

• Now  $S_{i+1}$ :

3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$

•  $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  invariant

•  $0 \in K'$

•  $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)

•  $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

## Proof.

- 1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
- 2)  $S_i$  closed
- 3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite
  - Now  $S_{i+1}$ :
- 3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$ 
  - $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
  - $0 \in K'$
  - $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)
  - $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

## Proof.

- 1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
- 2)  $S_i$  closed
- 3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite
  - Now  $S_{i+1}$ :
- 3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$ 
  - $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
  - $0 \in K'$
  - $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)
  - $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

## Proof.

- 1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
- 2)  $S_i$  closed
- 3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite
  - Now  $S_{i+1}$ :
- 3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$ 
  - $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
  - $0 \in K'$
  - $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)
  - $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$



# Lemma 2

## Proof.

- 1)  $S_i \times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
- 2)  $S_i$  closed
- 3)  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  infinite
  - Now  $S_{i+1}$ :
- 3)  $K = S_i - S_i = \{z - z' \mid z, z' \in S_i\}$ 
  - $K$  closed, infinite,  $\times p^u - , \times q^u -$  *invariant*
  - $0 \in K'$
  - $\Rightarrow K = \mathbb{T}$  (Lemma 1)
  - $S_{i+1} = S_i \cap (S_i - 1/t) \neq \emptyset$

# Lemma 2

Proof.

- $s_0 \in S_{t-1}$
- $s_i = s_0 + i/t \in S$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$
- $s_i \epsilon$ -dense in  $\mathbb{T}$  ( $t > 1/\epsilon$ )
- $S$  closed  $\Rightarrow S = \mathbb{T}$ , but  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$



# Lemma 2

Proof.

- $s_0 \in S_{t-1}$
- $s_i = s_0 + i/t \in S$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$
- $s_i$   $\epsilon$ -dense in  $\mathbb{T}$  ( $t > 1/\epsilon$ )
- $S$  closed  $\Rightarrow S = \mathbb{T}$ , but  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$



# Lemma 2

Proof.

- $s_0 \in S_{t-1}$
- $s_i = s_0 + i/t \in S$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$
- $s_j \epsilon$ -dense in  $\mathbb{T}$  ( $t > 1/\epsilon$ )
- $S$  closed  $\Rightarrow S = \mathbb{T}$ , but  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$



# Lemma 2

Proof.

- $s_0 \in S_{t-1}$
- $s_i = s_0 + i/t \in S$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t-1$
- $s_j \epsilon$ -dense in  $\mathbb{T}$  ( $t > 1/\epsilon$ )
- $S$  closed  $\Rightarrow S = \mathbb{T}$ , but  $S \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$



# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - **Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum**
  - Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem

## The Action on the $C^*$ Algebra ...

- Recall, the additive group

$$\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] = \left\{ \frac{a}{(pq)^n} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \text{ with the action}$$

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right], \alpha_{(r,s)}(x) = p^r q^s x \text{ for } r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right].$$

- Consider the induced action

$$\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right)$$

(Consider  $\hat{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]}$ , and correspondingly

$$\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright C_0 \left( \widehat{\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]} \right), \text{ and recall } C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \cong C_0 \left( \widehat{\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]} \right).$$

## The Action on the $C^*$ Algebra ...

- Recall, the additive group

$$\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] = \left\{ \frac{a}{(pq)^n} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \text{ with the action}$$

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right], \alpha_{(r,s)}(x) = p^r q^s x \text{ for } r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right].$$

- Consider the induced action

$$\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right)$$

(Consider  $\hat{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]}$ , and correspondingly

$$\tilde{\hat{\alpha}} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright C_0 \left( \widehat{\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]} \right), \text{ and recall } C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \cong C_0 \left( \widehat{\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right]} \right).$$



## ... and the Spectrum

- Recall that for a group  $G$  and a (compact) topological space  $Y$ , an action  $\xi : G \curvearrowright Y$  induces an action  $\tilde{\xi} : G \curvearrowright C(Y)$  in the following fashion: for all  $g \in G$  and  $f \in C(Y)$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}_g(f) = f \circ \xi_{g^{-1}}$ , and vice versa.
- There exists an action  $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright X$  such that for  $f \in C(X)$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f) = f \circ \beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}$$

## ... and the Spectrum

- Recall that for a group  $G$  and a (compact) topological space  $Y$ , an action  $\xi : G \curvearrowright Y$  induces an action  $\tilde{\xi} : G \curvearrowright C(Y)$  in the following fashion: for all  $g \in G$  and  $f \in C(Y)$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}_g(f) = f \circ \xi_{g^{-1}}$ , and vice versa.
- There exists an action  $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright X$  such that for  $f \in C(X)$  and  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f) = f \circ \beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}$$

## What does the action look like?

- Recall,  $X = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T} \mid x_n = x_{n+1}^{\rho q}\}$
- Functions of the form  $f_m^a \in C(X)$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x) = (x_m)^a$ , form a dense subset of  $C(X)$ , by the Stone-Weierstraß theorem.
- Recall the isomorphism  $\psi : C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho q} \right] \right) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,  
 $\delta \left( \frac{a}{(\rho q)^m} \right) \mapsto f_m^a$ .
- Hence,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1} (f_m^a) = \delta \left( \frac{a \rho^r q^s}{(\rho q)^m} \right)$$

## What does the action look like?

- Recall,  $X = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T} \mid x_n = x_{n+1}^{pq}\}$
- Functions of the form  $f_m^a \in C(X)$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x) = (x_m)^a$ , form a dense subset of  $C(X)$ , by the Stone-Weierstraß theorem.
- Recall the isomorphism  $\psi : C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,  
 $\delta \left( \frac{a}{(pq)^m} \right) \mapsto f_m^a$ .
- Hence,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1} (f_m^a) = \delta \left( \frac{ap^r q^s}{(pq)^m} \right)$$

## What does the action look like?

- Recall,  $X = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T} \mid x_n = x_{n+1}^{\rho q}\}$
- Functions of the form  $f_m^a \in C(X)$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x) = (x_m)^a$ , form a dense subset of  $C(X)$ , by the Stone-Weierstraß theorem.
- Recall the isomorphism  $\psi : C^*\left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{\rho q}\right]\right) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,  
 $\delta_{\left(\frac{a}{(\rho q)^m}\right)} \mapsto f_m^a$ .
- Hence,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = \delta_{\left(\frac{a\rho^r q^s}{(\rho q)^m}\right)}$$

## What does the action look like?

- For  $r, s \geq 0$ ,  $ap^r q^s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_m^{ap^r q^s}$ , and

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = x^{p^r q^s}$$

- For  $r, s \leq 0$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = \delta\left(\frac{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}{(pq)^{m+|r|+|s|}}\right)$ ,  $ap^{|s|}q^{|r|} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
 $\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_{m+|r|+|s|}^{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}$ ,  $T$  being the left shift on  $X$ ,

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = (T^{|r|+|s|}(x))^{p^{|s|}q^{|r|}}$$

•

$$\beta_{(1,1)} = T$$

- The case(s) for  $rs < 0$  follow analogously.

## What does the action look like?

- For  $r, s \geq 0$ ,  $ap^r q^s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_m^{ap^r q^s}$ , and

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = x^{p^r q^s}$$

- For  $r, s \leq 0$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = \delta\left(\frac{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}{(pq)^{m+|r|+|s|}}\right)$ ,  $ap^{|s|}q^{|r|} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_{m+|r|+|s|}^{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}, \quad T \text{ being the left shift on } X,$$

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = (T^{|r|+|s|}(x))^{p^{|s|}q^{|r|}}$$

•

$$\beta_{(1,1)} = T$$

- The case(s) for  $rs < 0$  follow analogously.

## What does the action look like?

- For  $r, s \geq 0$ ,  $ap^r q^s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_m^{ap^r q^s}$ , and

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = x^{p^r q^s}$$

- For  $r, s \leq 0$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = \delta\left(\frac{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}{(pq)^{m+|r|+|s|}}\right)$ ,  $ap^{|s|}q^{|r|} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_{m+|r|+|s|}^{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}, \quad T \text{ being the left shift on } X,$$

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = (T^{|r|+|s|}(x))^{p^{|s|}q^{|r|}}$$



$$\beta_{(1,1)} = T$$

- The case(s) for  $rs < 0$  follow analogously.



## What does the action look like?

- For  $r, s \geq 0$ ,  $ap^r q^s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_m^{ap^r q^s}$ , and

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = x^{p^r q^s}$$

- For  $r, s \leq 0$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = \delta\left(\frac{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}{(pq)^{m+|r|+|s|}}\right)$ ,  $ap^{|s|}q^{|r|} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\psi \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{(r,s)} \circ \psi^{-1}(f_m^a) = f_{m+|r|+|s|}^{ap^{|s|}q^{|r|}}, \quad T \text{ being the left shift on } X,$$

$$\beta_{(r,s)}^{-1}(x) = (T^{|r|+|s|}(x))^{p^{|s|}q^{|r|}}$$



$$\beta_{(1,1)} = T$$

- The case(s) for  $rs < 0$  follow analogously.

# Outline

- 1 Part 1, by Arnab Bhattacharjee
  - Algebraically  $C^*$ -unique groups: definition, (non)-example
  - Conditions for  $C^*$ -uniqueness
- 2 Part 2, by Malay Mandal
  - A Bit on Actions . . .
  - $C^*$ -algebra of semidirect product vs crossed product
- 3 Part 3, by Timothy Rainone
  - Topologically free actions and ideal structure
  - A useful description of  $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])$
- 4 Part 4, by Marco Roschkowski
  - Statement of the Theorem (Furstenberg's Approximation)
  - Proof
- 5 Part 5, by Ujan Chakraborty
  - Actions on the (Abelian)  $C^*$  algebra vs the Spectrum
  - **Proof of  $C^*$  uniqueness: The Main Theorem**

# The Final Theorem

## Theorem

*The group  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^2$  is algebraically  $C^*$  unique.*

## The Proof ...

- Let  $I \triangleleft C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right)$  be an ideal such that  $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\}$ . We need to prove that  $I = \{0\}$
- With the topological identification of the spectrum,  $C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right) \cong C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- It is sufficient to prove that  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ , because then it follows that  $I = \{0\}$ .
- $C(X)$  is identified with  $C(X)u_e$ , a subalgebra of  $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
- $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\} \implies I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] = \{0\}$

## The Proof ...

- Let  $I \triangleleft C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right)$  be an ideal such that  $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\}$ . We need to prove that  $I = \{0\}$
- With the topological identification of the spectrum,  $C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right) \cong C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- It is sufficient to prove that  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ , because then it follows that  $I = \{0\}$ .
- $C(X)$  is identified with  $C(X)u_e$ , a subalgebra of  $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
- $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\} \implies I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] = \{0\}$

## The Proof ...

- Let  $I \triangleleft C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right)$  be an ideal such that  $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\}$ . We need to prove that  $I = \{0\}$
- With the topological identification of the spectrum,  $C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right) \cong C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- It is sufficient to prove that  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ , because then it follows that  $I = \{0\}$ .
- $C(X)$  is identified with  $C(X)u_e$ , a subalgebra of  $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
- $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\} \implies I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] = \{0\}$

## The Proof ...

- Let  $I \triangleleft C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right)$  be an ideal such that  $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\}$ . We need to prove that  $I = \{0\}$
- With the topological identification of the spectrum,  $C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right) \cong C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$
- It is sufficient to prove that  $I \cap C(X) = \{0\}$ , because then it follows that  $I = \{0\}$ .
- $C(X)$  is identified with  $C(X)u_e$ , a subalgebra of  $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
- $I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \right] = \{0\} \implies I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] = \{0\}$

## The Proof ...

$I \cap C(X)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  - invariant ideal of  $C(X)$ .

(This is a general fact for any group  $G$  acting on any  $C(X)$ .)

- Ideal, because  $C(X)u_e$  is a subalgebra.
- $G$  invariant, because for  $a \in I \cap C(X)$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $g \cdot a \in C(X)$  and  $(g \cdot a) u_e = (u_g) (a u_e) (u_{g^{-1}})$ .



## The Proof ...

$I \cap C(X)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  - invariant ideal of  $C(X)$ .

(This is a general fact for any group  $G$  acting on any  $C(X)$ .)

- Ideal, because  $C(X)u_e$  is a subalgebra.
- $G$  invariant, because for  $a \in I \cap C(X)$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $g \cdot a \in C(X)$  and  $(g \cdot a) u_e = (u_g) (a u_e) (u_{g^{-1}})$ .

## The Proof ...

There exists a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  - invariant closed subset  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $I \cap C(X) = C_0(F^c)$ .

- $F = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \forall f \in I \cap C_0(X)\}$
- Since the action on  $C(X)$  follows from the action  $\beta$  on  $X$ ,  $F^c$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -invariant subset of  $X$ , and so is  $F$ .

## The Proof ...

There exists a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -invariant closed subset  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $I \cap C(X) = C_0(F^C)$ .

- $F = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \forall f \in I \cap C_0(X)\}$
- Since the action on  $C(X)$  follows from the action  $\beta$  on  $X$ ,  $F^C$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -invariant subset of  $X$ , and so is  $F$ .

## The Proof ...

- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  canonical projection. Let  $B := \pi_1(F)$ .
- Recall,  $X = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T} \mid x_n = x_{n+1}^{\rho q}\}$ , and  $\beta_{(1,1)}$  is the left shift on  $X$ .
- $F$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  invariant.
- Hence,  $\pi_n(F) = B \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- By action of  $\beta_{(1,0)}^{-1}$  and  $\beta_{(0,1)}^{-1}$ , we see that  $\forall z \in B, z^p \in B$  and  $z^q \in B$ .

## The Proof ...

- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  canonical projection. Let  $B := \pi_1(F)$ .
- Recall,  $X = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T} \mid x_n = x_{n+1}^{\rho q}\}$ , and  $\beta_{(1,1)}$  is the left shift on  $X$ .
- $F$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  invariant.
- Hence,  $\pi_n(F) = B \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- By action of  $\beta_{(1,0)}^{-1}$  and  $\beta_{(0,1)}^{-1}$ , we see that  $\forall z \in B, z^p \in B$  and  $z^q \in B$ .

## The Proof ...

$B$  has infinitely many points.

- Suppose not: let  $B$  have only finitely many points.  
 $\implies \exists p \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , with  $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j z^j$  and  $p(B) = \{0\}$   
 $\implies (p \circ \pi_m) \in C_0(F^G) = I \cap C(X) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$

But, recall the isomorphism  $\psi : C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,

$\delta_{\left( \frac{a}{(pq)^m} \right)} \mapsto f_m^a$ , and hence

$$(p \circ \pi_m) = \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \delta_{\left( \frac{j}{(pq)^m} \right)} \right)$$

which implies

$$I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] \neq \{0\}$$

A contradiction!

## The Proof ...

$B$  has infinitely many points.

- Suppose not: let  $B$  have only finitely many points.

$$\implies \exists p \in C(\mathbb{T}), \text{ with } p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j z^j \text{ and } p(B) = \{0\}$$

$$\implies (p \circ \pi_m) \in C_0(F^{\mathbb{C}}) = I \cap C(X) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

But, recall the isomorphism  $\psi : C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,

$\delta_{\left( \frac{a}{(pq)^m} \right)} \mapsto f_m^a$ , and hence

$$(p \circ \pi_m) = \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \delta_{\left( \frac{j}{(pq)^m} \right)} \right)$$

which implies

$$I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] \neq \{0\}$$

A contradiction!

## The Proof ...

$B$  has infinitely many points.

- Suppose not: let  $B$  have only finitely many points.  
 $\implies \exists p \in C(\mathbb{T})$ , with  $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j z^j$  and  $p(B) = \{0\}$   
 $\implies (p \circ \pi_m) \in C_0(F^{\mathbb{C}}) = I \cap C(X) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$

But, recall the isomorphism  $\psi : C^* \left( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right) \rightarrow C(X)$ ,

$\delta_{\left( \frac{a}{(pq)^m} \right)} \mapsto f_m^a$ , and hence

$$(p \circ \pi_m) = \psi \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \delta_{\left( \frac{j}{(pq)^m} \right)} \right)$$

which implies

$$I \cap C \left[ \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{pq} \right] \right] \neq \{0\}$$

A contradiction!



## The Proof ...

- $B$  has infinitely many points, and hence by Fürstenberg's Diophantine result, must be  $\mathbb{T}$ .
- Hence,  $F = X$ , and  $I = \{0\}$ .

And that concludes the proof!

## The Proof ...

- $B$  has infinitely many points, and hence by Fürstenberg's Diophantine result, must be  $\mathbb{T}$ .
- Hence,  $F = X$ , and  $I = \{0\}$ .

And that concludes the proof!

## A review ...

- Finite  $\implies$   $C^*$  unique,  
but Infinite  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  non-unique
- Non-amenable  $\implies$  not  $C^*$  unique,  
but Amenable  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  unique<sup>1</sup>
- Locally finite (finitely generated subgroups finite)  $\implies$   $C^*$  unique<sup>2</sup>,  
but Not locally finite  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  non-unique<sup>3</sup>
- Torsion-free (no finite subgroup)  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  non-unique<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>V. Alekseev and D. Kyed, "Uniqueness questions for  $C^*$ -norms on group rings", Pacific J. Math. 298:2 (2019), 257-266

<sup>2</sup>R. Grigorchuk, M. Musat, and M. Rørdam, "Just-infinite  $C^*$ -algebras", Comment. Math. Helv. 93:1 (2018), 157-201

<sup>3</sup>N. Ozawa showed that the Lamplighter group is  $C^*$  unique, V. Alekseev, "(Non)-uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norms on group rings of amenable groups", pp. 2292-2293 in  $C^*$ -algebras, Oberwolfach Report 37/2019 16, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2019

<sup>4</sup>E. Scarparo, "A torsion-free algebraically  $C^*$ -unique group", Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50:6 (2020), 1813-1835

## A review ...

- Finite  $\implies$   $C^*$  unique,  
but Infinite  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  non-unique
- Non-amenable  $\implies$  not  $C^*$  unique,  
but Amenable  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  unique<sup>1</sup>
- Locally finite (finitely generated subgroups finite)  $\implies$   $C^*$  unique<sup>2</sup>,  
but Not locally finite  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  non-unique<sup>3</sup>
- Torsion-free (no finite subgroup)  $\not\implies$   $C^*$  non-unique<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>V. Alekseev and D. Kyed, "Uniqueness questions for  $C^*$ -norms on group rings", Pacific J. Math. 298:2 (2019), 257-266

<sup>2</sup>R. Grigorchuk, M. Musat, and M. Rørdam, "Just-infinite  $C^*$ -algebras", Comment. Math. Helv. 93:1 (2018), 157-201

<sup>3</sup>N. Ozawa showed that the Lamplighter group is  $C^*$  unique, V. Alekseev, "(Non)-uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norms on group rings of amenable groups", pp. 2292-2293 in  $C^*$ -algebras, Oberwolfach Report 37/2019 16, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2019

<sup>4</sup>E. Scarparo, "A torsion-free algebraically  $C^*$ -unique group", Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50:6 (2020), 1813-1835

## A review ...

- Finite  $\implies C^*$  unique,  
but Infinite  $\not\implies C^*$  non-unique
- Non-amenable  $\implies$  not  $C^*$  unique,  
but Amenable  $\not\implies C^*$  unique<sup>1</sup>
- Locally finite (finitely generated subgroups finite)  $\implies C^*$  unique<sup>2</sup>,  
but Not locally finite  $\not\implies C^*$  non-unique<sup>3</sup>
- Torsion-free (no finite subgroup)  $\not\implies C^*$  non-unique<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>V. Alekseev and D. Kyed, "Uniqueness questions for  $C^*$ -norms on group rings", Pacific J. Math. 298:2 (2019), 257-266

<sup>2</sup>R. Grigorchuk, M. Musat, and M. Rørdam, "Just-infinite  $C^*$ -algebras", Comment. Math. Helv. 93:1 (2018), 157-201

<sup>3</sup>N. Ozawa showed that the Lamplighter group is  $C^*$  unique, V. Alekseev, "(Non)-uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norms on group rings of amenable groups", pp. 2292-2293 in  $C^*$ -algebras, Oberwolfach Report 37/2019 16, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2019

<sup>4</sup>E. Scarparo, "A torsion-free algebraically  $C^*$ -unique group", Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50:6 (2020), 1813-1835

## A review ...

- Finite  $\implies C^*$  unique,  
but Infinite  $\not\implies C^*$  non-unique
- Non-amenable  $\implies$  not  $C^*$  unique,  
but Amenable  $\not\implies C^*$  unique<sup>1</sup>
- Locally finite (finitely generated subgroups finite)  $\implies C^*$  unique<sup>2</sup>,  
but Not locally finite  $\not\implies C^*$  non-unique<sup>3</sup>
- **Torsion-free (no finite subgroup)  $\not\implies C^*$  non-unique<sup>4</sup>**

---

<sup>1</sup>V. Alekseev and D. Kyed, "Uniqueness questions for  $C^*$ -norms on group rings", Pacific J. Math. 298:2 (2019), 257-266

<sup>2</sup>R. Grigorchuk, M. Musat, and M. Rørdam, "Just-infinite  $C^*$ -algebras", Comment. Math. Helv. 93:1 (2018), 157-201

<sup>3</sup>N. Ozawa showed that the Lamplighter group is  $C^*$  unique, V. Alekseev, "(Non)-uniqueness of  $C^*$ -norms on group rings of amenable groups", pp. 2292-2293 in  $C^*$ -algebras, Oberwolfach Report 37/2019 16, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2019

<sup>4</sup>E. Scarparo, "A torsion-free algebraically  $C^*$ -unique group", Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50:5 (2020), 1813-1815

# Thank you!